

# Practical Exercise: Mobile Platforms & Applications Evolution

## Analysis Using Gartner Hype Cycle & Magic Quadrant

**Course:** History of Mobile Platforms (Chapter 1)

**Level:** Technical University Students

**Duration:** 10 hours self-study + 2 hours online

**Format:** Individual Analysis Report (1–2 pages)

---

### Exercise Overview

Using **Gartner's Hype Cycle** and **Magic Quadrant** frameworks, analyze the evolution of:

1. **Mobile Platforms** (OS ecosystems: Symbian, BlackBerry OS, Palm OS, Android, iOS, etc.)
  2. **Mobile Applications** (app categories: native, cross-platform, web-based, progressive web apps)
- 

### Part A: Gartner Hype Cycle Analysis

#### Task 1: Platform Evolution Mapping (Self-Study: 4 hours)

**Objective:** Plot mobile platforms on the Hype Cycle timeline.

##### Instructions:

- Research the **launch date** and **peak adoption period** for each platform:
  - Symbian (1998–2012)
  - BlackBerry OS (2002–2016)
  - Palm OS (1996–2009)
  - Android (2008–present)
  - iOS (2007–present)
  - Windows Phone (2010–2015)
- For **each platform**, identify and document:
  1. **Technology Trigger** — When was it introduced? What problem did it solve?
  2. **Peak of Inflated Expectations** — When did hype peak? (market share, media coverage)
  3. **Trough of Disillusionment** — When did adoption plateau or decline? Why?
  4. **Slope of Enlightenment** — How did the platform adapt or fail?
  5. **Plateau of Productivity** (if applicable) — Is it still relevant today?

**Deliverable:** Create a **timeline diagram** (hand-drawn or digital) showing all platforms on a single Hype Cycle curve, labeled with key dates and transition points.

---

## Task 2: Application Category Evolution (Self-Study: 3 hours)

**Objective:** Track how application development paradigms evolved through hype cycles.

**Instructions:**

- Map **application development approaches** onto separate Hype Cycles:
  - Native Apps (platform-specific: Java/Android, Objective-C/Swift/iOS)
  - Cross-Platform Frameworks (React Native, Flutter, Xamarin, Cordova)
  - Web-Based Apps (HTML5, PWA, responsive design)
  - Hybrid Apps (embedded browser + native APIs)
- For **each category**, identify:
  1. When adoption began
  2. Peak hype period (conferences, VC funding, media buzz)
  3. Current maturity stage
  4. Future trajectory

**Deliverable:** Create **two Hype Cycle curves** — one for platforms, one for application paradigms — and compare their trajectories.

---

## Part B: Gartner Magic Quadrant Analysis

### Task 3: Current Market Position (Online: 1.5 hours)

**Objective:** Use Magic Quadrant to evaluate current competitive landscape.

**Instructions:**

Magic Quadrant axis definitions:

- **X-axis (Ability to Execute):** Technical maturity, developer tools, ecosystem support, documentation
- **Y-axis (Completeness of Vision):** Innovation, roadmap clarity, platform differentiation, market demand

**Assessment Table:**

<b>Platform /Approach</b>	<b>Ability to Execute (Score 1–10)</b>	<b>Completeness of Vision (Score 1–10)</b>	<b>Quadrant</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>Android</b>	9	9	Leaders	Mature ecosystem, extensive tools, strong vision for open ecosystem
<b>iOS</b>	9	8	Leaders	Mature, control-focused strategy, premium positioning
<b>Flutter</b>	8	8	Leaders	Growing adoption, strong backing (Google), clear cross-platform focus
<b>React Native</b>	7	7	Visionaries	High adoption but execution challenges, strong community
<b>Xamarin</b>	7	6	Niche Players	Mature but limited market share, Microsoft backing
<b>Progressive Web Apps (PWA)</b>	6	7	Visionaries	Promise unfulfilled in market adoption, strong technical vision

**Your Task:**

- Fill in **2–3 additional** platforms or app paradigms (Windows, Kotlin Multiplatform Mobile, etc.)
- Plot them on a **Magic Quadrant diagram** (X: 1–10, Y: 1–10)
- Identify **Leaders, Visionaries, Niche Players, Challengers**

**Deliverable:** Completed Magic Quadrant table + diagram (quadrant plot).

---

## Task 4: Historical Magic Quadrant (Online: 0.5 hours)

**Objective:** Compare current vs. past market positions.

**Instructions:**

- Create a **historical Magic Quadrant** showing positions **5 years ago** (2020):
  - Where was React Native? Flutter? PWA?
  - Where were legacy platforms (Symbian, BlackBerry)?
- Identify **movement patterns**:
  - Which platforms moved from Visionaries → Leaders?
  - Which moved from Leaders → Niche Players (decline)?
  - Which entered the quadrant newly?

**Deliverable:** Historical Magic Quadrant (2020) + analysis of movement and reasons.

---

## Part C: Synthesis & Conclusions

### Task 5: Comparative Analysis (Online: 0.5 hours)

**Objective:** Draw insights from both frameworks.

**Instructions:**

Write a **short analysis** (300–500 words) addressing:

1. **Convergence:** Do Hype Cycle stages align with Magic Quadrant positions?
  - (e.g., are platforms in the "Trough of Disillusionment" also in "Niche Players"?)
2. **Future Predictions:** Based on current position, predict where **three platforms** will be in 2028.
  - Example: "Android will remain in Leaders quadrant, focusing on AI/ML integration (Slope of Enlightenment → Plateau)."
3. **Platform Lifecycle Patterns:** What do the two directions (platforms vs. applications) have in common?
  - (e.g., Do applications follow the same hype cycles as platforms? Why/why not?)

**Deliverable:** 300–500 word synthesis essay.

---

## Evaluation Criteria

Criteria	Excellent (9–10)	Good (7–8)	Acceptable (5–6)	Needs Improvement (<5)
<b>Historical Accuracy</b>	All dates, events, milestones verified	1–2 minor errors	3–4 minor errors	Multiple significant errors
<b>Hype Cycle Mapping</b>	Precise stage placement with evidence	Generally accurate placement	Rough placement, some confusion	Incomplete or incorrect
<b>Magic Quadrant Analysis</b>	Well-justified scores, clear reasoning	Reasonable scores with justification	Scores present but limited reasoning	Unjustified or illogical
<b>Comparative Insights</b>	Deep synthesis, patterns identified	Synthesis attempted, some patterns noted	Basic comparison	Little or no synthesis
<b>Presentation</b>	Clear, professional, well-organized	Clear and organized	Readable but basic	Disorganized, hard to follow

---

## Resources

### Recommended Reading:

- [Gartner Hype Cycle Overview](#)
- Gartner Magic Quadrant reports on "Mobile Development Platforms" (current year)
- Mobile OS Wikipedia timeline: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History\\_of\\_mobile\\_operating\\_systems](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_mobile_operating_systems)

### Tools for Diagramming:

- Lucidchart, [drawio](#), Miro (for Hype Cycle & Magic Quadrant plots)
- Google Sheets or Excel (for data tables)

**Deliverable Format:**

- Submit as **PDF or DOCX** (1–2 pages)
  - Include diagrams, tables, and 300–500 word synthesis
  - Cite sources (APA format preferred)
- 

## Timeline Guidance

**Self-Study (10 hours):**

- Hours 1–4: Task 1 (Hype Cycle platform mapping)
- Hours 5–7: Task 2 (Application paradigm evolution)
- Hours 8–10: Data collection, diagram drafting

**Online Sessions (2 hours):**

- 0.5 hours: Task 3 presentation & feedback
  - 0.5 hours: Task 4 historical analysis discussion
  - 0.5 hours: Task 5 synthesis review
  - 0.5 hours: Q&A and refinement
- 

## Notes for Instructors

This exercise bridges **theory (Gartner frameworks)** and **practice (real mobile ecosystem evolution)**. Students develop:

- Historical research skills
- Strategic analysis capability (competitive positioning)
- Systems thinking (platform vs. application layer dynamics)
- Presentation & synthesis skills

**Extension (Advanced):** Have students propose their own "emerging platform" (e.g., foldable OS, cross-AR frameworks) and place it on both Hype Cycle and Magic Quadrant to predict its future.