Cognizant

Waterfall vs. Agile

Waterfall

PROS

- □Clearly define Scope as the approach is well defined before starting of any process.
- Detail execution of the project for being completed before continuing to the next process.
- Flexible for small projects which provide high quality product considering completed.
- □ Predefined steps are followed which are validate already for the authentication and working model.

CONS

- □Rigid for the model as incapable dealing of uncertainty in both the requirements and the solution
- □Not dynamic (not frequently changes)
 Though, it can deal with change through a
 formal change-management process, the result
 is not effective and costly, and is not intended to
 deal with many small changes
- □ Lack in flexibility projects where the functionality can't be delivered in pieces
- Longer delivery time(as compared to agile) When the deliverable is offline software that can't be updated easily, it's important to try to deliver a fully developed project

Cognizant

Agile

PROS

- □Fast & Flexible as it follows the iterative model or technique with each phase allowing forward and backward movement as necessary
- ☐ Embracing uncertainty as dealing with conditions of high uncertainty
- □ Cheaper and delivered quickly.
- □Less Defective products because Focused on end user outcomes and end results

CONS

- Less predictable results due to the uncertainty and unclear nature of many of the project characteristics
- Lack of Documentation as it requires Minimal documenting and measured against the availability
- □ Dynamic process (Changes requirements)
- □ Lack in Scope because the approach is Undefined before starting