

## CSCI 570 Fall 2016: Discussion 1 Problems

**1.8** For this problem, we will explore the issue of *truthfulness* in the Stable Matching Problem and specifically in the Gale-Shapley algorithm. The basic question is: Can a man or a woman end up better off by lying about his or her preferences? More concretely, we suppose each participant has a true preference order. Now consider a woman  $w$ . Suppose  $w$  prefers man  $m$  to  $m'$ , but both  $m$  and  $m'$  are low on her list of preferences. Can it be the case that by switching the order of  $m$  and  $m'$  on her list of preferences and running the algorithm with this false preference list,  $w$  will end up with a man  $m''$  that she truly prefers to both  $m$  and  $m'$ ?

Resolve this question by doing one of the following two things:

- \* Give a proof that, for any set of preference lists, switching the order of a pair on the list cannot improve a woman's partner in the Gale-Shapley algorithm; or
- \* Give an example of a set of preference lists for which there is a switch that would improve the partner of a woman who switched preferences.

**2.** Given  $n$  men and  $n$  women along with their preference lists, a *consensus-optimal* stable matching is a matching which simultaneously pairs every man with his best **valid** partner and pairs every woman with her best **valid** partner. Recall that a valid partnership must be a matched pair in some stable matching solution. Give an algorithm to determine whether a consensus-optimal stable matching exists for a given set of preference lists; the running time of your algorithm should not be slower than a constant times the running time of a single call to the Gale-Shapley algorithm.

**3.** In a connected bipartite graph, is the bipartition unique? Justify your answer.