Alma9 vs Centos7 Dark Photon Efficiency Check

December 3rd 2024

Intro

- Checked the efficiencies for alma 9 vs centos7 for the 3 dark photon samples
- Looks like tracking efficiency generally improved

Sample 110038

CentOS7

Alma9

Cut	# Events	Efficiency	Cum. Effs	Cut	# Events	Efficiency	Cum.
No Cuts	20k	1	1	No Cuts	20k	1	1
No Timing Saturation	19955	0.998	0.998	No Timing Saturation	19955	0.998	0.998
No VetoNu	19955	1	0.998	No VetoNu	19955	1	0.998
No Veto	19895	0.997	0.977	No Veto	19895	0.997	0.995
Timing Signal	19547	0.982	0.977	Timing Signal	19546	0.982	0.977
Preshower Signal	19547	1	0.977	Preshower Signal	19546	1	0.977
>=1 Good Track	18281	0.935	0.914	>=1 Good Track	18649	0.954	0.932
==2 Good Track	11954	0.654	0.598	==2 Good Track	12530	0.671	0.627
Fiducial	10874	0.91	0.544	Fiducial	11387	0.909	0.569
Calo Energy	10729	0.987	0.536	Calo Energy	11243	0.987	0.562

Sample 110033

CentOS7

Α	lm	a9
<i>,</i> , , ,		uu

Cut	# Events	Efficiency	Cum. Effs	Cut	# Events	Efficiency	Cum. I
No Cuts	20k	1	1	No Cuts	20k	1	1
No Timing Saturation	19788	0.989	0.989	No Timing Saturation	19788	0.989	0.989
No VetoNu	19788	1	0.989	No VetoNu	19788	1	0.989
No Veto	19766	0.999	0.988	No Veto	19767	0.999	0.988
Timing Signal	19299	0.976	0.965	Timing Signal	19300	0.976	0.965
Preshower Signal	19295	0.999	0.965	Preshower Signal	19296	0.999	0.965
>=1 Good Track	16593	0.86	0.83	>=1 Good Track	17112	0.887	0.856
==2 Good Track	8987	0.542	0.45	==2 Good Track	9617	0.562	0.481
Fiducial	7685	0.855	0.385	Fiducial	8095	0.842	0.405
Calo Energy	2354	0.306	0.118	Calo Energy	2352	0.291	0.117

Sample 110051

CentOS7

Α	lm	ล	9
<i>,</i> , , ,		ч	$\mathbf{-}$

Cut	# Events	Efficiency	Cum. Effs
No Cuts	20k	1	1
No Timing Saturation	19958	0.998	0.998
No VetoNu	19956	0.999	0.998
No Veto	19900	0.997	0.998
Timing Signal	19566	0.983	0.978
Preshower Signal	19566	1	0.978
>=1 Good Track	18226	0.932	0.911
==2 Good Track	12163	0.667	0.608
Fiducial	11002	0.905	0.55
Calo Energy	10849	0.986	0.542