DQ Checks of 2024 Data

Track Variables

December 16, 2024

Pawan Johnson

Prof. Carl Gwilliam

Dr. Monika Weilers

Prof. Monica D'Onofrio

University of Liverpool

Introduction

- Verify the quality of 2024 data
- Compare with 2023 data and identify any discrepancies
- This presentation focuses on the track variables
- Detailed objectives highlighted in the following TODO List: General Physics Meeting 19 Nov

Data Description

- 2024 data can be found in the directories
  - **/eos/experiment/faser/phys/2024/p0011**
  - **/eos/experiment/faser/phys/2024/p0012**
- 2023 data can be found in the directory
  - **/eos/experiment/faser/phys/2023/p0010**
- The runlist (luminosities) used are from: **/afs/cern.ch/user/t/torrence/public/faser/runlist/**
  - **../runlist/2024/faser_runlist_2024_stable.csv**
  - **../runlist/2023/faser_runlist_2023_stable.csv**
- Some problematic runs
  - **11214** : (2023) Run with 0 Lumi
  - **16851**, **16852** : Directory is empty
- No cuts have been applied, since we want to look at the DQ

Overview of Tracking Variables

- Some new tracking variables have been introduced:
  - Track_hitSet
  - Track_module_eta0
  - Track_module_phi0
- There are 56 tracking variables in total (excluding the above)
- They can be broadly classified as:
  - Track Positions at various modules (24)
  - Track Momentum at various modules (22)
  - Track Parameters (e.g. χ^2, charge, etc.) (10)

Distribution of Track Parameters

- Number of Tracks
- Track Charge
- Track χ^2
- Track nDoF
- Track In Station
- Track nLayers
- Track Propagation Error

Distribution of Number of Tracks

- Overall a higher number of tracks in 2024
- Partially can be due to much higher muon rate in 2024
- See this talk to see the difference in backgrounds 12 April General Meeting



Figure: Distribution of Number of Tracks

Distribution of Track Charge

- We have a higher percentage of anti-muons
- Consistent with earlier observation of "Much larger population of very high energy positive muons" [see Talk]



Figure: Distribution of Track Charge

Distribution of Track χ^2

- Overall we observe a lower Track χ^2 in 2024
- Do we understand why?



Figure: Distribution of Track χ^2



Figure: Distribution of Track χ^2 per DoF

Track Propagation Error [SKIP]



Figure: Distribution of Track Propagation Error

Track Positions (x, y)

- Vetonu
- VetoStation 1 [in Backup]
- VetoStation 2 [in Backup]
- Trigger/Timing Station [in Backup]
- Tracking Station 1
- Tracking Station 3
- Preshower 1 [in Backup]
- Preshower 2 [in Backup]
- Calo
- Max Radius

Track Positions at Vetonu



Figure: Track Position x at VetoNu



Figure: Track Position y at VetoNu

- Sharper Distribution in 2024: More particles on center? REF?
- The ypeak has shifted to the positive side. Expected with the change in beam crossing angle
- Same comments hold for the rest of the positions.

Track Positions at Tracking Station 1



Figure: Track Position x at Tracking Station 1



Figure: Track Position y at Tracking Station 1

Only qualitative difference from the VetoNu plots are the sharper peaks here which are from the cut off at 125 mm. And the dips in the x-distributions at around 60mm these are from the geometry of the tracking stations.

Track Positions at Tracking Station 2



Figure: Track Position x at Tracking Station 2



Figure: Track Position y at Tracking Station 2

Track Positions at Calo



Figure: Track Position x at Calo



Figure: Track Position y at Calo

Track Positions at Max Radius



Figure



Figure

Track Momenta (θ_x, θ_y) at Various Stations

- Vetonu
- VetoStation 1 [in Backup]
- VetoStation 2 [in Backup]
- Trigger/Timing Station [in Backup]
- Tracking Station 1
- Tracking Station 3
- Preshower 1 [in Backup]
- Preshower 2 [in Backup]
- Calo

Note: Technically not momentum rather angles defined as $\theta_x = \arctan \frac{p_x}{p_z}$ and $\theta_y = \arctan \frac{p_y}{p_z}$

Track Momenta at VetoNu



Figure: Track ThetaX at VetoNu



Figure: Track ThetaY at VetoNu

- Needs investigation to understand why the difference

Track Momenta at Tracking Station 1



Figure: Track ThetaX at Tracking Station 1



Figure: Track ThetaY at Tracking Station 1

- There is a peak in 2024 data at -0.07 rad. Do we understand why?
- Similar features observed in the Background studies. [See Page 15-16]

Track momentum at Tracking Station 3



Figure: Track ThetaX at Tracking Station 3



Figure: Track ThetaX at Tracking Station 3

Track Momenta at Calo



Figure: Track ThetaX at Calo



Figure: Track ThetaY at Calo

Track Momenta at Tracking Station 1



Figure: Track mometum (total) at Tracking Station 1



Figure: Track momentum (pz) at Tracking Station 1

- We have more high momenta positively chagred muons in 2024.
- Background studies again showed similar features.
- See Page 15-16 of earlier talk

2024 Runs Splits

- Due to the higher backgrounds FaserNu had to be replaced every 10 ifb.
- The replacement schedule was as follows

Box	**Installed**	**Removed**	**Lumi (ifb)**
F241	20/3	6/5	11.6
Tungsten only	6/5	12/6	18.5
F242	12/6	8/7	9.9
CaloNu	10/7	4/10	69.8
F243	4/10	22/10	11.9

Table: Replacement Schedule [Source: FASER General Meeting 8.11.24]

Runwise Plots of 2023





Runwise Plots of F241- 2024





Runwise Plots of Tungsten only- 2024





Runwise Plots of F242- 2024





Runwise Plots of CaloNu - 2024





Runwise Plots of F243- 2024





Micellaneous

- Similar plots can be made using the compareproductions_faser tool.
- Link to Repo containing the code for plots in this presentation.
- Link to variants of the plots more more filtered like charge separated, good tracks only etc. [Will be Added]
- Detailed Runwise plots were presented by Oscar. [See previous DQ Talk]

Backup

Distribution of Track in Station [SKIP]









There are always 0 tracks in Station0. Possibly an issue in NTupleDumper. Haven't located this yet.

Track_nLayers [SKIP]



Figure: Distribution of Track_nLayers

Track Positions at Veto Station 1 [SKIP]



Figure: Track Position x at Veto Station 1



Figure: Track Position y at Veto Station 1

Track Positions at Veto Station 2 [SKIP]



Figure: Track Position x at Veto Station 2



Figure: Track Position y at Veto Station 2

Track Positions at Trigger [SKIP]



Figure: Track Position x at Trigger/Timing Station



Figure: Track Position y at Trigger/Timing Station

Track Positions at Preshower 1 [SKIP]



Figure: Track Position x at Preshower 1



Figure: Track Position y at Preshower 1

Track Positions at Preshower 2 [SKIP]



Figure: Track Position x at Preshower 2



Figure: Track Position y at Preshower 2

Track Momenta at VetoStation 1 [SKIP]



Figure: Track ThetaX at atVetoStation 1



Figure: Track ThetaY at VetoStation 1

Track Momenta at VetoStation 2 [SKIP]



Figure: Track ThetaX at VetoStation 2



Figure: Track ThetaY at VetoStation 1

Track Momenta at Trigger [SKIP]



Figure: Track ThetaX at Trigger



Figure: Track ThetaY at Trigger

Track Momenta at Preshower 1 [SKIP]



Figure: Track ThetaX at Preshower 1



Figure: Track ThetaY at Preshower 1

Track Momenta at Preshower 2 [SKIP]



Figure: Track ThetaX at Preshower 2



Figure: Track ThetaY at Preshower 2