### DQ Checks of 2024 Data

#### Track Variables

December 17, 2024

#### Pawan Johnson

Prof. Carl Gwilliam

Dr. Monika Weilers

Prof. Monica D'Onofrio

University of Liverpool

#### Introduction

- Verify the quality of track reconstruction in 2024 data
- Compare with 2023 data and identify any discrepancies
- Detailed objectives highlighted in the following TODO List: [General Physics Meeting 19 Nov]

## Data Description

- 2024 data can be found in the directories
  - /eos/experiment/faser/phys/2024/p0011
  - /eos/experiment/faser/phys/2024/p0012
- 2023 data can be found in the directory
  - /eos/experiment/faser/phys/2023/p0010
- The runlist (luminosities) used are from: /afs/cern.ch/user/t/torrence/public/faser/runlist/
  - ../runlist/2024/faser\_runlist\_2024\_stable.csv
  - ../runlist/2023/faser\_runlist\_2023\_stable.csv
- Runs excluded from above list
  - 11214 0 Lumi; 11705,6 Pb Col.; 10417-63 HighGain
  - **16851,2** Directory is empty
  - 16932, 16943, 16972 ROOT Files empty
- No cuts have been applied, since we want to look at the DQ

## Overview of Tracking Variables

- New tracking variables have been added to the 2024 NTuples
  - Track hitSet
  - Track\_module\_eta0
  - Track\_module\_phi0
- There are 56 tracking variables in total (excluding the above)
- They can be broadly classified as:
  - Track Parameters (e.g.  $\chi^2$ , charge, etc.) (10)
  - Track Positions at various modules (24)
  - Track Momentum (angles) at various modules (22)

### Distribution of Track Parameters

- Number of Tracks
- Track Charge
- Track  $\chi^2$
- Track nDoF [in Backup]
- Track In Station [in Backup]
- Track nLayers [in Backup]
- Track Propagation Error

### Distribution of longTracks

- Overall a higher number of tracks in 2024
- Partially can be due to much higher muon rate in 2024
- See this talk to see the difference in backgrounds [12 April General Meeting]




Figure: Distribution of Number of Tracks

## Distribution of Track Charge

- We have a higher percentage of anti-muons
- Consistent with earlier observation of "Much larger population of very high energy positive muons" [see Talk]




Figure: Distribution of Track Charge

## Distribution of Track $\chi^2$

- Overall we observe a lower Track  $\chi^2$  in 2024
- Do we understand why?




Figure: Distribution of Track  $\chi^2$ 




Figure: Distribution of Track  $\chi^2$  per DoF

## Track Propagation Error

- Much higher Track
   Propagation Error in 2024!
- How does this affect the track reconstruction?




Figure: Distribution of Track Propagation Error

## Track Positions (x, y)

- Vetonu
- VetoStation 1 [in Backup]
- VetoStation 2 [in Backup]
- Trigger/Timing Station [in Backup]
- Tracking Station 1
- Tracking Station 3
- Preshower 1 [in Backup]
- Preshower 2 [in Backup]
- Calo
- Max Radius [in Backup]

#### Track Positions at Vetonu




Figure: Track Position x at VetoNu






Figure: Track Position y at VetoNu

- Sharper Distribution in 2024: More particles on center? REF?
- The ypeak has shifted to the positive side. Expected with the change in beam crossing angle
- Same comments hold for the rest of the positions.

## Track Positions at Tracking Station 1





Only qualitative difference from the VetoNu plots are the sharper peaks here which are from the cut off at 125 mm. And the dips in the x-distributions at around 60mm are from the geometry of the tracking stations.

## Track Positions at Tracking Station 3




Figure: Track Position x at Tracking Station 3




Figure: Track Position y at Tracking Station 3

### Track Positions at Calo




Figure: Track Position x at Calo





Figure: Track Position y at Calo


## Track Angle $(\theta_x, \theta_y)$ at Various Stations

- Vetonu
- VetoStation 1 [in Backup]
- VetoStation 2 [in Backup]
- Trigger/Timing Station [in Backup]
- Tracking Station 1
- Tracking Station 3
- Preshower 1 [in Backup]
- Preshower 2 [in Backup]
- Calo [in Backup]
- Track Momenta at Station 1

Note: Track angles defined as  $\theta_{\rm X}=\arctan \frac{p_{\rm X}}{p_{\rm Z}}$  and  $\theta_{\rm Y}=\arctan \frac{p_{\rm Y}}{p_{\rm Z}}$ 

## Track Angles at VetoNu





- Flatter distribution in 2024 compared to 2023
- Needs investigation to understand where the difference comes from

### Track Angles at Tracking Station 1





Figure: Track ThetaX at Tracking Station 1






Figure: Track ThetaY at Tracking Station 1

- There is a peak in 2024
   ThetaX at -0.07 rad. Do we understand why?
- Similar features observed in the Background studies. [See Page 15-16]

## Track Angles at Tracking Station 3




Figure: Track ThetaX at Tracking Station 3




Figure: Track ThetaX at Tracking Station 3

### Track Momenta at Tracking Station 1




Figure: Track momentum at Station 1 (linear p scale)




Figure: Track momentum at Station 1 (log p scale)




Figure: Track momentum at Station 1 (positive)




Figure: Track momentum at Station 1 (negative)

## Track Momenta at Tracking Station 1 (contd.)

- We have more high momenta positively charged muons in 2024.
- Background studies again showed similar features.
- See Page 15-16 of earlier talk




Figure: Background studies (slide15)

## 2024 Runs Splits

- Due to the higher backgrounds FaserNu had to be replaced every 10 ifb.
- The replacement schedule was as follows


Box	Installed	Removed	Lumi (ifb)
F241	20/3	6/5	11.6
Tungsten only	6/5	12/6	18.5
F242	12/6	8/7	9.9
CaloNu	10/7	4/10	69.8
F243	4/10	22/10	11.9

 Table: Replacement Schedule [Source: FASER General Meeting 8.11.24]


- The runs are split into categories based on the above schedule
- Allowed a construction of Yield plots for each category, NEvents/Lumi (Top) and NTracks/Lumi (Bottom)

Note: Run numbers are estimated from the schedule and aforementioned run-list thus, it may not be accurate or complete.


### Yield Plots of 2023




### Yield Plots of F241- 2024




# Yield Plots of Tungsten only- 2024




### Yield Plots of F242- 2024



### Yield Plots of CaloNu - 2024



### Yield Plots of F243- 2024



## Directions going Forward


- Understand the DQ of other variables
- Investigate and understand the changes in Track Momenta
- Have a good run-list for the 2024 data (also for 2023)
- Investigate outliers in yield plots
- Investigate track variables as a function of run-splits in 2024

#### Micellaneous

- Similar plots can be made using the compareproductions\_faser tool. Some of the plots were used for validation.
- Link to Repo containing the code for plots in this presentation.
- Link to variants of the plots more more filtered like charge separated, good tracks only etc. [Will be added to above repo]
- Detailed yield plots were presented by Oscar. [See DQ Talk]

# Backup

### Distribution of Track in Station



There are always 0 tracks in Station0. Possibly an issue in NTupleDumper. Haven't located this yet.

## Track\_nLayers




Figure: Distribution of Track\_nLayers

### Track Positions at Veto Station 1




Figure: Track Position x at Veto Station 1




Figure: Track Position y at Veto Station 1

### Track Positions at Veto Station 2




Figure: Track Position x at Veto Station 2




Figure: Track Position y at Veto Station 2

## Track Positions at Trigger




Figure: Track Position x at Trigger/Timing Station




Figure: Track Position y at Trigger/Timing Station

### Track Positions at Preshower 1




Figure: Track Position x at Preshower 1




Figure: Track Position y at Preshower 1

### Track Positions at Preshower 2




Figure: Track Position x at Preshower 2






Figure: Track Position y at Preshower 2

### Track Positions at Max Radius





## Track Angles at VetoStation 1




Figure: Track ThetaX at atVetoStation 1




Figure: Track ThetaY at VetoStation 1

## Track Angles at VetoStation 2




Figure: Track ThetaX at VetoStation 2




Figure: Track ThetaY at VetoStation 1

## Track Angles at Trigger




Figure: Track ThetaX at Trigger




Figure: Track ThetaY at Trigger

## Track Angles at Preshower 1




Figure: Track ThetaX at Preshower 1




Figure: Track ThetaY at Preshower 1

## Track Angles at Preshower 2




Figure: Track ThetaX at Preshower 2





Figure: Track ThetaY at Preshower 2

## Track Angles at Calo



Distribution of Track, Thetay, alCalo

2023 Runs

2024 Runs

2024 Runs

2024 Runs

2025 Runs

2026 Runs

2026 Runs

2027 Runs

2028 Runs

2029 Runs

2029 Runs

2020 Runs

2020

Figure: Track ThetaY at Calo