# **Supplement**

Meike Vösgen-Nordloh

Pawel R. Kulawiak

Tijs Bolz

meike.voesgen@uni-koeln.de

Helma M. Y. Koomen

Thomas Hennemann

Tatjana Leidig

# Table of contents

0.1 Online version	1
0.1 Online version	1
0.3 Table 2: Mean differences between dyadic TSR-quality in students with and without EBPs	1
0.3.1 Al-Yagon (2016)	1
0.3.1 Al-Yagon (2016) 0.3.2 Baker et al. (2009)	2
0.3.3 Henricsson & Rydell (2004)	3
0.3.4 Little & Kobak (2003)	6
0.3.5 Longobardi et al. (2019)	7
0.3.6 Murray & Zvoch (2011)	7
0.3.7 Rogers et al. (2015)	8
0.3.8 Vervoort et al. (2015)	
0.3.9 Zweers et al. (2021)	12
0.4 Table 3: Mean differences between dyadic TSR-quality as perceived by students with EBPs and	their teach
ers	13
ers	13
0.4.2 Van Loan & Garwood (2020)	14
0.4.3 Vervoort et al. (2015)	14
0.5 R session	16
Bibliography	16

## 0.1 Online version

https://pawelkulawiak.github.io/tsrsupplement/

## 0.2 R packages

Effect Size Computation for Meta Analysis [1]

```
library(esc)
```

# 0.3 Table 2: Mean differences between dyadic TSR-quality in students with and without EBPs

## 0.3.1 Al-Yagon (2016)

```
# Teacher's availability (TD > EP) (Group C > Group B) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 76.98,
  grp1sd = 22.53,
  grp1n = 91,
  grp2m = 79.60,
  grp2sd = 20.24,
```

```
grp2n = 99,
es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.1226
Standard Error: 0.1454

Variance: 0.0211

Lower CI: -0.4075

Upper CI: 0.1623

Weight: 47.3270
```

```
# Teacher's rejection (EP > TD) (Group B > Group C) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 17.10,
  grp1sd = 9.92,
  grp1n = 91,
  grp2m = 14.29,
  grp2m = 7.94,
  grp2n = 99,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: 0.3142

Standard Error: 0.1461

Variance: 0.0213

Lower CI: 0.0278

Upper CI: 0.6006

Weight: 46.8387
```

#### 0.3.2 Baker et al. (2009)

```
# Authoritative teaching (TD > EP) (Typical group > Externalizing group) (statistical
significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
    grp1m = 26.83,
    grp1sd = 9.68,
    grp1n = 174,
    grp2m = 29.04,
    grp2sd = 8.18,
    grp2n = 519,
    es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.2576
```

```
Standard Error: 0.0879

Variance: 0.0077

Lower CI: -0.4298

Upper CI: -0.0853

Weight: 129.5039
```

#### 0.3.3 Henricsson & Rydell (2004)

https://doi.org/10.1353/mpq.2004.0012

```
# Child report (IP > TD) (INT > PF) (n.s.)
esc_mean_se(
  grp1m = 1.51,
  grp1se = .08,
  grp1n = 21 + 23,
  grp2m = 1.52,
  grp2se = .11,
  grp2n = 8 + 17,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and se to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.0189

Standard Error: 0.2505

Variance: 0.0627

Lower CI: -0.5098

Upper CI: 0.4720

Weight: 15.9414
```

```
# Child report (EP > TD) (EXT > PF) (* p < .05)
esc_mean_se(
  grplm = 1.51,
  grplse = .08,
  grpln = 21 + 23,
  grp2m = 1.82,
  grp2se = .11,
  grp2n = 20 + 6,
  es.type = "d")</pre>
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and se to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.5804

Standard Error: 0.2522

Variance: 0.0636

Lower CI: -1.0747

Upper CI: -0.0862

Weight: 15.7244
```

```
# Conflict (IP > TD) (INT > PF) (** p < .01)
esc_mean_se(
  grp1m = 1.25,</pre>
```

```
grplse = .08,
grpln = 21 + 23,
grp2m = 1.61,
grp2se = .10,
grp2n = 8 + 17,
es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and se to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.7025

Standard Error: 0.2575

Variance: 0.0663

Lower CI: -1.2072

Upper CI: -0.1978

Weight: 15.0821
```

```
# Conflict (EP > TD) (EXT > PF) (*** p < .001)
esc_mean_se(
  grp1m = 1.25,
  grp1se = .08,
  grp1n = 21 + 23,
  grp2m = 2.29,
  grp2se = .10,
  grp2n = 20 + 6,
  es.type = "d")</pre>
```

```
Conversion: mean and se to effect size d
Effect Size: -2.0167
Standard Error: 0.3004
Variance: 0.0902
Lower CI: -2.6055
Upper CI: -1.4279
Weight: 11.0816
```

```
# Closeness (TD > IP) (PF > INT) (* p < .05)
esc_mean_se(
  grp1m = 4.19,
  grp1se = .08,
  grp1n = 21 + 23,
  grp2m = 3.95,
  grp2se = .10,
  grp2n = 8 + 17,
  es.type = "d")</pre>
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and se to effect size d

Effect Size: 0.4684
```

```
Standard Error: 0.2536

Variance: 0.0643

Lower CI: -0.0287

Upper CI: 0.9654

Weight: 15.5480
```

```
# Closeness (TD > EP) (EXT > PF) (n.s.)
esc_mean_se(
  grplm = 4.19,
  grplse = .08,
  grpln = 21 + 23,
  grp2m = 4.12,
  grp2se = .10,
  grp2n = 20 + 6,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and se to effect size d

Effect Size: 0.1357
Standard Error: 0.2476

Variance: 0.0613

Lower CI: -0.3496

Upper CI: 0.6211

Weight: 16.3078
```

```
# Dependency (IP > TD) (INT > PF) (*** p < .001)
esc_mean_se(
  grplm = 1.63,
  grplse = .10,
  grpln = 21 + 23,
  grp2m = 2.26,
  grp2se = .14,
  grp2n = 8 + 17,
  es.type = "d")</pre>
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and se to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.9450

Standard Error: 0.2631

Variance: 0.0692

Lower CI: -1.4605

Upper CI: -0.4294

Weight: 14.4513
```

```
# Dependency (EP > TD) (EXT > PF) (*** p < .001)
esc_mean_se(
  grplm = 1.63,
  grplse = .10,
  grpln = 21 + 23,
  grp2m = 2.33,</pre>
```

```
grp2se = .14,
grp2n = 20 + 6,
es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and se to effect size d

Effect Size: -1.0411
Standard Error: 0.2625
Variance: 0.0689
Lower CI: -1.5557
Upper CI: -0.5265
Weight: 14.5072
```

#### 0.3.4 Little & Kobak (2003)

https://doi.org/10.1207/S15374424JCCP3201 12

```
\# negative teacher events (SEBD > TD) (SED > Comparison) (*** p < .001)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 0.96,
  grp1sd = 1.15, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
  grp1n = 40,
  grp2m = 2.06,
  grp2sd = 1.15, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
 grp2n = 20,
  es.type = "d")
# positive teacher events (SEBD > TD) (SED > Comparison) (n.s.)
esc mean sd(
  grp1m = 2.76,
  grplsd = 0.74, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
  grp1n = 40,
  grp2m = 2.88,
  grp2sd = 0.74, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
 grp2n = 20,
  es.type = "d")
# worst day event involving the teacher (SEBD > TD) (SED > Comparison) (** p < .01)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 0.09,
  grp1sd = .20, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
  grp1n = 40,
  grp2m = 0.26,
  grp2sd = .20, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
  grp2n = 20,
  es.type = "d")
# best day event involving the teacher (SEBD > TD) (SED > Comparison) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 0.03,
  grplsd = 0.09, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
  grp1n = 40,
  grp2m = 0.05,
  grp2sd = 0.09, # From Table 1 (total sample SD)
```

```
grp2n = 20,
es.type = "d")
```

#### 0.3.5 Longobardi et al. (2019)

https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.22175

```
# Warmth (TD > IP) (without SM > with SM) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 0.83,
  grp1sd = 0.14,
  grp1n = 15,
  grp2m = 0.87,
  grp2sd = 0.11,
 grp2n = 60,
 es.type = "d")
# Autonomy support (IP > TD) (with SM > without SM) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = .53,
  grp1sd = .25,
  grp1n = 15,
  grp2m = .52,
  grp2sd = .23,
 grp2n = 60,
 es.type = "d")
# Conflict (TD > IP) (without SM > with SM) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = .27,
  grp1sd = .28,
  grpln = 15,
  grp2m = .31,
  grp2sd = .27,
  grp2n = 60,
  es.type = "d")
```

#### Transformation r to d [2]

```
r_to_d <- function(r = NULL) { ((2*r) / sqrt(1 - (r)^2)) |> round(2) |> abs() }

# Closeness (TD > IP) (without SM > with SM) (**p < .01)
r_to_d(-0.41)

# Conflict (IP > TD) (with SM > without SM) (n.s.)
r_to_d(0.12)
```

#### 0.3.6 Murray & Zvoch (2011)

```
## Child ratings

# Communication (TD > EP) (Nonclinical > Clinical) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 20.53,
  grplsd = 5.89,
  grpln = 64,
```

```
grp2m = 21.12,
  grp2sd = 6.58,
  grp2n = 129,
  es.type = "d")
# Trust (TD > EP) (Nonclinical > Clinical) (* p < .05)</pre>
# Univariate ANOVAs on each of the three measures comprising the multivariate composite
revealed a statistically significant mean difference between clinical groups on relationship
trust
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 14.11,
  grp1sd = 3.70,
  grp1n = 64,
  grp2m = 15.70,
  grp2sd = 3.93,
  grp2n = 129,
 es.type = "d")
# Alienation (EP > TD) (Clinical > Nonclinical) (n.s.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 11.78,
  grp1sd = 3.24,
  grp1n = 64,
  grp2m = 10.88,
  grp2sd = 2.95,
  grp2n = 129,
 es.type = "d")
## Teacher ratings
# All of the Bonferroni-corrected univariate tests for both grouping variables [male vs.
female; nonclinical vs. clinical] were statistically significant (p < .05)
# Closeness (TD > EP) (Nonclinical > Clinical) (p < .05)</pre>
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 36.49,
  grp1sd = 8.92,
  grp1n = 64,
  grp2m = 42.48,
  grp2sd = 7.61,
  grp2n = 129,
  es.type = "d")
\# Conflict (EP > TD) (Clinical > Nonclinical) (p < .05)
esc mean sd(
  grp1m = 31.13,
  grp1sd = 7.90,
  grp1n = 64,
  grp2m = 18.30,
  grp2sd = 6.05,
  grp2n = 129,
  es.type = "d")
```

#### 0.3.7 Rogers et al. (2015)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13632752.2014.972039

```
## teacher reported
```

```
# Bond (TD > EP) (Non-ADHD group > ADHD group) (** p < .01.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 4.32,
  grplsd = .09,
  grpln = 35,
  grp2m = 4.74,
  grp2sd = .09,
  grp2n = 36,
  es.type = "d")</pre>
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -4.6667
Standard Error: 0.4579
Variance: 0.2097
Lower CI: -5.5642
Upper CI: -3.7691
Weight: 4.7684
```

```
# Collaboration (TD > EP) (Non-ADHD group > ADHD group) (** p < .01.)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 4.02,
  grplsd = .59,
  grpln = 35,
  grp2m = 4.63,
  grp2sd = .49,
  grp2n = 36,
  es.type = "d")</pre>
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -1.1263

Standard Error: 0.2555

Variance: 0.0653

Lower CI: -1.6271

Upper CI: -0.6255

Weight: 15.3179
```

```
## student-reported

# Bond (significant interaction effect of ADHD status by gender, * p < .05)
# Boys (EP > TD) (ADHD > Non-ADHD)

esc_mean_sd(
    grp1m = 4.08,
    grp1sd = .97,
    grp1n = 35 * 0.75,
    grp2m = 4.00,
    grp2sd = .87,
    grp2n = 36 * 0.37,
    es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: 0.0853
Standard Error: 0.3365
Variance: 0.1133
Lower CI: -0.5744
Upper CI: 0.7449
Weight: 8.8291
```

```
# Girls (TD > EP) (Non-ADHD > ADHD)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 3.98,
  grp1sd = .84,
  grp1n = 35 * 0.25,
  grp2m = 4.69,
  grp2sd = .41,
  grp2n = 36 * 0.63,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d
Effect Size: -1.2759
Standard Error: 0.4293
Variance: 0.1843
Lower CI: -2.1173
Upper CI: -0.4346
Weight: 5.4266
```

```
# Collaboration (significant interaction effect of ADHD status by gender, ** p < .01)
# Boys (TD > EP) (Non-ADHD > ADHD)
esc_mean_sd(
   grplm = 3.92,
   grplsd = .56,
   grpln = 35 * 0.75,
   grp2m = 4.07,
   grp2sd = .54,
   grp2n = 36 * 0.37,
   es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.2710

Standard Error: 0.3378

Variance: 0.1141

Lower CI: -0.9330

Upper CI: 0.3911

Weight: 8.7644
```

```
# Girls (TD > EP) (Non-ADHD > ADHD)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 3.59,
  grplsd = .71,
  grpln = 35 * 0.25,
  grp2m = 4.39,
  grp2sd = .39,
  grp2n = 36 * 0.63,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -1.6169
Standard Error: 0.4472
Variance: 0.2000
Lower CI: -2.4934
Upper CI: -0.7405
Weight: 5.0008
```

#### 0.3.8 Vervoort et al. (2015)

https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2014.989984

```
# CARTS closeness (TD > SEBD) (General education > Special education) (statistical
significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 3.96,
  grplsd = 1.05,
  grpln = 82,
  grp2m = 4.19,
  grp2sd = 0.83,
  grp2n = 145,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.2513

Standard Error: 0.1387

Variance: 0.0192

Lower CI: -0.5231

Upper CI: 0.0205

Weight: 52.0000
```

```
# CARTS conflict (SEBD > TD) (Special education > General education) (statistical significance
not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 2.69,
  grplsd = 1.07,
  grpln = 82,
  grp2m = 1.72,
  grp2sd = 0.72,
```

```
grp2n = 145,
es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: 1.1246

Standard Error: 0.1479

Variance: 0.0219

Lower CI: 0.8347

Upper CI: 1.4145

Weight: 45.7091
```

```
# CARTS dependency (TD > SEBD) (General education > Special education) (statistical
significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
   grp1m = 3.59,
   grp1sd = 1.03,
   grp1n = 82,
   grp2m = 3.12,
   grp2m = 3.12,
   grp2sd = 0.97,
   grp2n = 145,
   es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d
Effect Size: 0.4738
Standard Error: 0.1400
Variance: 0.0196
Lower CI: 0.1995
Upper CI: 0.7481
Weight: 51.0566
```

#### 0.3.9 Zweers et al. (2021)

https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025420915527

```
# Student-teacher conflict (SEBD in SE > TD; SEBD in RE > TD) (SEBD in SE > TD: non overlapping
Bayesian 95% CI)

# M (SEBD in SE)
(2.373 + 2.818) / 2 # Bayesian 95% CI [2.373; 2.818]
```

#### [1] 2.5955

```
# M (SEBD in RE)
(1.544 + 2.482) / 2 # Bayesian 95% CI [1.544, 2.482]
```

```
[1] 2.013
```

```
# M (TD)
(1.464 + 1.640) / 2 # Bayesian 95% CI [1.464, 1.640]
```

```
[1] 1.552
```

# 0.4 Table 3: Mean differences between dyadic TSR-quality as perceived by students with EBPs and their teachers

#### 0.4.1 Knowles et al. (2020)

```
# Bond (SP > TP) (student > teacher) (significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
    grplm = 4.34,
    grplsd = 0.79,
    grpln = 182,
    grp2m = 4.11,
    grp2sd = 0.61,
    grp2n = 76,
    es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: 0.3101

Standard Error: 0.1373

Variance: 0.0188

Lower CI: 0.0410

Upper CI: 0.5791

Weight: 53.0822
```

```
# Task/goal (SP > TP) (student > teacher) (significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 3.91,
  grplsd = 0.74,
  grpln = 182,
  grp2m = 3.51,
  grp2sd = 0.63,
  grp2n = 76,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: 0.5637

Standard Error: 0.1388

Variance: 0.0193

Lower CI: 0.2917

Upper CI: 0.8358

Weight: 51.8987
```

#### 0.4.2 Van Loan & Garwood (2020)

https://doi.org/10.1177/1534508418786779

```
# conflict in the relationship (SP > TP) (student > teacher) (p ** < .01 | independent
sample t test)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 2.53,
  grplsd = 0.83,
  grpln = 92,
  grp2m = 2.95,
  grp2m = 2.95,
  grp2sd = 0.97,
  grp2n = 92,
  es.type = "d")</pre>
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.4653

Standard Error: 0.1494

Variance: 0.0223

Lower CI: -0.7581

Upper CI: -0.1724

Weight: 44.7881
```

```
# closeness in the relationship (SP > TP) (student > teacher) (not significant | independent
sample t test)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 3.17,
  grplsd = 0.59,
  grpln = 92,
  grp2m = 3.37,
  grp2sd = 0.97,
  grp2n = 92,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: -0.2491

Standard Error: 0.1480

Variance: 0.0219

Lower CI: -0.5392

Upper CI: 0.0410

Weight: 45.6459
```

### 0.4.3 Vervoort et al. (2015)

https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2014.989984

```
# CARTS closeness (SP > TP) (student > teacher) (significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 3.96,
  grplsd = 1.05,
  grpln = 82,
```

```
grp2m = 3.65,
grp2sd = 0.65,
grp2n = 82,
es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: 0.3550
Standard Error: 0.1574
Variance: 0.0248
Lower CI: 0.0465
Upper CI: 0.6635
Weight: 40.3641
```

```
# CARTS conflict (SP > TP) (student > teacher) (significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
  grplm = 2.69,
  grplsd = 1.07,
  grpln = 82,
  grp2m = 2.29,
  grp2sd = 0.83,
  grp2n = 82,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d
Effect Size: 0.4177
Standard Error: 0.1579
Variance: 0.0249
Lower CI: 0.1083
Upper CI: 0.7271
Weight: 40.1248
```

```
# STRS dependency (SP > TP) (student > teacher) (significance not reported)
esc_mean_sd(
  grp1m = 3.59,
  grp1sd = 1.03,
  grp1n = 82,
  grp2m = 2.60,
  grp2sd = 0.78,
  grp2n = 82,
  es.type = "d")
```

```
Effect Size Calculation for Meta Analysis

Conversion: mean and sd to effect size d

Effect Size: 1.0836
Standard Error: 0.1672
Variance: 0.0280
```

```
Lower CI: 0.7558
Upper CI: 1.4114
Weight: 35.7522
```

#### 0.5 R session

```
sessionInfo()
```

```
R version 4.4.1 (2024-06-14 ucrt)
Platform: x86_64-w64-mingw32/x64
Running under: Windows 11 x64 (build 22631)
Matrix products: default
locale:
[1] LC_COLLATE=German_Germany.utf8 LC_CTYPE=German_Germany.utf8
[3] LC_MONETARY=Germany.utf8 LC_NUMERIC=C
[5] LC_TIME=German_Germany.utf8
time zone: Europe/Berlin
tzcode source: internal
attached base packages:
[1] stats graphics grDevices utils datasets methods
                                                           base
other attached packages:
[1] esc_0.5.1
loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
 [1] compiler_4.4.1 fastmap_1.2.0 cli_3.6.3
                                                      tools 4.4.1
 [5] htmltools_0.5.8.1 rstudioapi_0.16.0 yaml_2.3.9
                                                      rmarkdown_2.27
digest 0.6.36
                                     xfun 0.45
[13] rlang_1.1.4
```

# **Bibliography**

- [1] D. Lüdecke, "esc: Effect Size Computation for Meta Analysis (Version 0.5.1)," 2019, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1249218.
- [2] J. Ruscio, "A probability-based measure of effect size: Robustness to base rates and other factors.," *Psychological Methods*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 19–30, 2008, doi: 10.1037/1082-989x.13.1.19.