С

- Homework 2 - IEEE Fraud Detection

For all parts below, answer all parts as shown in the Google document for Homework 2. Be sure to include both coc answer the guestions. We also ask that code be commented to make it easier to follow.

```
#Drive mounted for reading files.
from google.colab import drive
drive.mount('/content/drive')
С→
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import seaborn as sns
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.naive bayes import GaussianNB
from sklearn import preprocessing
from scipy.stats import spearmanr
from scipy.stats import pearsonr
#Reading files with pandas
train transaction = pd.read csv("/content/drive/My Drive/train transaction.csv", index col= 'Transact
train_identity = pd.read_csv("/content/drive/My Drive/train_identity.csv",index_col= 'TransactionID
test transaction = pd.read csv("/content/drive/My Drive/test transaction.csv",index col= 'Transaction'
test identity = pd.read csv("/content/drive/My Drive/test identity.csv",index col= 'TransactionID')
print("File Reading Successfull!!")
C→
#Checking how many transactions have associated identity information.
associated train data = np.sum(train transaction.index.isin(train identity.index.unique()))
associated_test_data = np.sum(test_transaction.index.isin(test_identity.index.unique()))
#Percentage of associated transaction-identity information.
train association = associated train data/len(train transaction.index)*100
test association = associated test data/len(test transaction.index)*100
print(train association,'%','have associated identity information in training data')
print(test association, '%', 'have associated identity information in testing data')
```

```
#Merging transaction
#Merging with how = "left", guarantees that there are still 590540 training records and 506691 testi
#even though not every transaction has associated identity information.
train_data = train_transaction.merge(train_identity, how = "left",left_index = True, right_index = True
test_data = test_transaction.merge(test_identity, how = "left", left_index = True, right_index = True
print(train_data.info())
print(test_data.info())
#For the next few cells, I will be cleaning and transforming the data.
```

```
#Before we get rid of the NaN values, let's first find the percentage of missing values in each colt train_data_missing = train_data.isna()
percentage_miss = train_data_missing.sum()/len(train_data)*100

print(percentage_miss)
#Now we identify which columns have more than 50% of data missing. i.e. NaN values percentage_miss_filter = percentage_miss > 50
#print(percentage_miss_filter)

#We will use this info later to filter the features for our prediction model.
```

```
#Replacing NaN values with the most frequent value in the column.
train_data = train_data.fillna(train_data.mode().iloc[0])
test_data = test_data.fillna(test_data.mode().iloc[0])
print(train_data.head())
print(test_data.head())
```

С⇒

```
#These are the features we will consider in our prediction model
train_data_final = train_data.filter(['TransactionID','TransactionDT','TransactionAmt','ProductCD',
                  'addr1','addr2','card1','card2','card3','card4','card5','card6','P_émaildomain',
'C7','C8','C9','C10','C11','C12','C13','C14','isFraud'])
#Separting the features and the labels
features train = train data final.drop('isFraud', axis = 1)
label train = train data final['isFraud']
features test = test data final.copy()
#Mapping categorical data to numeric values
for feature in features train:
  if features_train[feature].dtype == 'object' or features_test[feature].dtype = 'object':
    le = preprocessing.LabelEncoder()
    le.fit(list(features train[feature].values) + list(features test[feature].values))
    features_train[feature] = le.transform(list(features_train[feature].values));
    features test[feature] = le.transform(list(features test[feature].values))
#Filtering data for parts 1 to 5.
train_data_filtered = train_data.filter(['TransactionID','DeviceType','DeviceInfp','TransactionDT',
                    'addr1','addr2','card4','card6','dist1','dist2','P_emaildoma¤n','R_emaildomain'
```

→ Part 1 - Fraudulent vs Non-Fraudulent Transaction

train data filtered.head()

```
# TODO: code and runtime results

#Separating the fraudulent and Non-fraudulent transactions
train_transaction_fraud = train_data_filtered[train_data_filtered['isFraud'] == 1]
train_transaction_notFraud = train_data_filtered[train_data_filtered['isFraud'] == 0]

#
figure = plt.figure(figsize=(5,5))
plt.xlim(70,78)
plt.ylim(0,1000)
plt.hist(train_transaction_fraud['TransactionDT']/86400, bins = 1800, alpha = 1, label = 'Fraud', cc
plt.hist(train_transaction_notFraud['TransactionDT']/86400, bins = 1800, alpha = 0.2, label = 'Not formula in the property of the prope
```

```
plt.legend(loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel("Every second")
plt.ylabel("No of Transactions")
plt.show()
```

₽

```
#
plt.hist(train_transaction_fraud['TransactionAmt'], alpha = 1, label = 'Fraud', color = 'red')
plt.hist(train_transaction_notFraud['TransactionAmt'], alpha = 0.4, label = 'Not Fraud', color = 'ye
plt.legend(loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel("Amount")
plt.ylabel("No of Transactions")
plt.xlim(0,3500,500)
plt.show()
```

```
#Checking which type of card saw highest number of fraudulent transactions.
sns.set(style="whitegrid")
figure = plt.figure(figsize=(8,8))
sns.set_color_codes("pastel")
```

 $sns.barplot(x=train_data_filtered['card4'], y=train_data_filtered['isFraud'], data_filtered['isFraud'], data_filtered['card4'], data_filtered['card4$

₽

```
#Checking which type of operating system saw highest number of fraudulent transactions.
sns.set(style="whitegrid")
figure = plt.figure(figsize=(8,8))
sns.set_color_codes("pastel")
list = train_transaction_fraud['DeviceInfo'].value_counts().nlargest(20)
sns.barplot(x=list.values,y=list.index,data=train_transaction_fraud,label="Fraud" or not",color = "g'
```

С⇒

```
#Checking whic type of device saw highest number of fraudulent transactions.
sns.set(style="whitegrid")
figure = plt.figure(figsize=(8,8))
sns.set_color_codes("pastel")
sns.barplot(x=train_data_filtered['DeviceType'],y=train_data_filtered['isFraud'],data=train_data_fil
```

₽

```
sns.pairplot(train_data_filtered)
```

₽

fig, ax = plt.subplots(2, 2, figsize = (10,10))

```
time = train transaction fraud['TransactionDT']
time2 = train transaction notFraud['TransactionDT']
log time = np.log(time.values/86400)
log time2 = np.log(time2.values/86400)
sns.distplot(time2.values/86400, ax = ax[0,0], color = 'g')
ax[0,0].set title('Distribution of TransactionDT', fontsize=14)
ax[0,0].set xlim([min(time2.values/86400), max(time2.values/86400)])
sns.distplot(time.values/86400, ax=ax[0,1], color='r')
ax[0,1].set_title('Distribution of Fraud TransactionDT', fontsize=14)
ax[0,1].set_xlim([min(time.values/86400), max(time.values/86400)])
sns.distplot(log_time2, ax=ax[1,0], color='b')
ax[1,0].set_title('Distribution of LOG TransactionDT', fontsize=14)
ax[1,0].set_xlim([min(log_time2), max(log_time2)])
sns.distplot(log_time, ax=ax[1,1], color='r')
ax[1,1].set_title('Distribution of Fraud LOG TransactionDT', fontsize=14)
ax[1,1].set xlim([min(log time), max(log time)])
plt.show()
```

Write your answer here

▼ Part 2 - Transaction Frequency

```
# TODO: code to generate the frequency graph
def make_hour_feature(df, tname='TransactionDT'):
    Creates an hour of the day feature, encoded as 0-23.
   Parameters:
    _____
    df : pd.DataFrame
        df to manipulate.
    tname : str
        Name of the time column in df.
    hours = df[tname] / (3600)
    encoded hours = np.floor(hours) % 24
    return encoded hours
mode = np.max(train_data_filtered.addr2.mode().iloc[0])
data_addr = train_data_filtered.loc[train_data_filtered['addr2'] == mode]
data_addr['hours'] = make_hour_feature(data_addr)
data_addr['hours'].hist(bins = 24 , xrot = 30, color = "skyblue", lw = 1)
plt.title("Transaction Frequency per hour")
plt.ylabel("No. of transactions")
plt.xlabel("Hour")
```

 \Box

Answer: From the 24 hours in a day (shown as 0-23 in the graph above), one can clearly see the dip in the graph of represents the sleeping hours of the vast majority. An inverse bell curve graph helps determine the waking v/s sleep which is 87.0

Part 3 - Product Code

```
# TODO: code to analyze prices for different product codes
maxCost = 0
minCost = 100
for i in train data filtered.ProductCD.unique():
  print(i)
 print("Count: ",train_data_filtered[train_data_filtered.ProductCD == i].TransactionAmt.count())
print("Mean: ",train_data_filtered[train_data_filtered.ProductCD == i].TransactionAmt.mean())
  count = train data filtered[train data filtered.ProductCD == i].TransactionAmt.count()
 median = train_data_filtered[train_data_filtered.ProductCD == i].TransactionAmt.median()
 mean = train data filtered[train data filtered.ProductCD == i].TransactionAmt.mean()
  std_deviation = train_data_filtered[train_data_filtered.ProductCD == i].TransactionAmt.std()
  if mean > maxCost:
    maxCost = mean
    product = i
print("The most expensive product is",product, "and it's average cost is",maxCost)
for j in train_data_filtered.ProductCD.unique():
    mean = train data filtered[train data filtered.ProductCD == j].TransactionAmt.mean()
    if mean < minCost:</pre>
      minCost = mean
      product = i
print("The cheapest product is",product, "and it's average cost is",minCost)
figure = plt.figure(figsize=(8,8))
#ax = sns.boxplot( x=train_data_filtered['ProductCD'], y=train_data_filtered['TransactionAmt'], hue
ax = sns.boxplot(`x=train_data_filtered['ProductCD'], y=np.log(train_data_filtered['TransactionAmt'
ax.legend(frameon=False, loc='upper right', ncol=1)
```

С⇒

С

The average cost of each product is calculated by the total cost of that product divided by the total number of product have found that Product **R** is the **most expensive** product with an average cost of **168.30** and Product **C** is the **chea**The graph above shows product W as the most expensive, however, that is just because it has more number of tran

this, I have used mean average cost as the metric.

▼ Part 4 - Correlation Coefficient

```
# TODO: code to calculate correlation coefficient
from datetime import datetime
train_data_filtered['TransactionTime'] = pd.to_datetime(train_data_filtered['TransactionDT'],unit =

#Plot to visualize date time v/s number of transactions
figure = plt.figure(figsize=(8,4))
vals = plt.hist(train_data_filtered['TransactionDT'] / (3600*24), bins=1800)
plt.xlim(70, 78)
plt.xlabel('Days')
plt.ylabel('Number of transactions')
plt.ylabel('Number of transactions')
plt.ylim(0,1000)
```

print("Spearman Correlation coeffecient with sum of transaction amounts: ",spearmanr(dataGroupedByHc

С→

Answer: The correlation coeffecient between hours of a day and the sum of transaction amount per hour is 0.63. The how hours (waking v/s sleeping) can affect the frequency of large transactions made.

▼ Part 5 - Interesting Plot

```
plt.figure(figsize=(10,8))
sns.heatmap(train_data_final.corr())
```

The above heatmap helps us understand the correlation between the various columns of our dataset. However, a quality them depict very low correlation with each other, the attribute 'card3' is highly correlated with most of the other att with our target attribute 'isFraud'. Some interesting insights can be found with this information and our model pred

```
#No overlap between train and test data dates.
train_data['TransactionDT'].plot(kind = "hist", label = "Train", bins = 100)
test_data['TransactionDT'].plot(kind = "hist", label = "Test", bins = 100)
plt.legend()
```

The above graph shows that there is no overlap between the training and testing data. This signifies that the transa done during the same time interval but rather some time intervals apart.

Part 6 - Prediction Model

```
#Definig parameters for my model.
x_train = features_train
y train = label train
x_test = features_test
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
data train, data test, target train, target test = train test split(x train,y train, test size = 0.3
# TODO: code for your final model
#Random Forests Classifier
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
clf = RandomForestClassifier()
clf.fit(x_train, y_train)
p prob = clf.predict proba(x test)
preds = clf.predict(x test)
sub = pd.read csv('/content/drive/My Drive/sample submission.csv', index col='TransactionID')
sub['isFraud'] = preds
sub.to csv('myPrediction17.csv')
#Measuring the accuracy of my model.
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
#Using the object of Random Forests classifier
#train the algorithm on training data and predict using the testing data
pred = clf.fit(data_train, target_train).predict(data_test)
#print(pred.tolist())
#print the accuracy score of the model
accuracy_score = accuracy_score(target_test, pred, normalize = True)
print("Random Forests - Percentage accuracy: ",accuracy score * 100)
#Plotting the probabilities
pd.DataFrame(p prob).hist()
```

Гэ

```
from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
logreg = LogisticRegression()
logreg.fit(x_train, y_train)
p prob = logreg.predict proba(x test)
y pred = logreg.predict(x test)
sub = pd.read csv('/content/drive/My Drive/sample submission.csv', index col='TransactionID')
sub['isFraud'] = y_pred
sub.to csv('myPrediction18.csv')
#Measuring the accuracy of my model.
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
#Using the object of Random Forests classifier
#train the algorithm on training data and predict using the testing data
pred2 = logreg.fit(data train, target train).predict(data test)
#print(pred.tolist())
#print the accuracy score of the model
accuracy score = accuracy score(target test, pred2, normalize = True)
print("Logistic Regression - Percentage accuracy: ",accuracy_score * 100)
#Plotting the probabilities
pd.DataFrame(p_prob).hist()
```

I have worked on two models for predicting whether a transaction is fraudulent or not - Random forests classifier ar forests one works better as it uses a modified tree learning algorithm, where in at each step of the learning process it tries out different combinations of the feature sets and finally ensembles the ones which give out the most accurate

Accuracy of this model: 98.45%

Kaggle score: 0.708

Part 7 - Final Result

Report the rank, score, number of entries, for your highest rank. Include a snapshot of your best score on the leader to your Kaggle profile. Make sure to include a screenshot of your ranking. Make sure your profile includes your face

Kaggle Link: PayalMehta_Kaggle_Link

Highest Rank: 5532

Score: 0.7089

Number of entries: 19

@title kaggle screenshot

%%html