Vv417 Lecture 14

Jing Liu

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

October 24, 2019

For any matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$,

$$rank(\mathbf{A})$$

is equal to the maximum number of linearly independent columns of A.

Proof

ullet rank (\mathbf{A}) is defined as the number of pivots in $\operatorname{rref}(\mathbf{A})$. So let $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{R} = \operatorname{rref}(\mathbf{A})$$

ullet Thus we only need to show the number of pivot columns in ${f R}$ is equal to the maximum number of linearly independent columns of ${f A}$, that is, to show

$$rank(\mathbf{A}) = \dim (\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A}))$$

• We apply column operations, which will not alter the column space, so that a non-pivot column \mathbf{r}_k is to the right of all j pivot columns

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_j & \cdots & \mathbf{r}_k & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Note pivot columns can only be the standard vectors,

$$\mathbf{e}_{j}$$

and ${f r}_k$ can only be a linear combination of those pivot columns, otherwise it would be a pivot column, so

$$rank(\mathbf{A}) = \dim (\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{R}))$$

Consider any selection of columns of A,

$$egin{aligned} &lpha_1\mathbf{a}_1+lpha_2\mathbf{a}_2+\cdotslpha_n\mathbf{a}_n=\mathbf{0} \ &lpha_1\mathbf{E}\mathbf{a}_1+lpha_2\mathbf{E}\mathbf{a}_2+\cdotslpha_n\mathbf{E}\mathbf{a}_n=\mathbf{E}\mathbf{0} \ &lpha_1\mathbf{r}_1+lpha_2\mathbf{r}_2+\cdotslpha_n\mathbf{r}_n=\mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$
 since $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{R}$,

where \mathbf{r}_i are the corresponding columns vectors in \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{a}_i in \mathbf{R} .

ullet Now consider the linear independence of any columns ${f r}_i$ of ${f R}$,

$$\beta_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{r}_2 + \cdots + \beta_n \mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\beta_1 \mathbf{E}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{E}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + \beta_n \mathbf{E}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{E}^{-1} \mathbf{0}$$

$$\beta_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + \beta_n \mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{since } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{E}^{-1} \mathbf{R},$$

where a_i are the corresponding columns vectors in A of r_i in R.

ullet This shows a set of columns of ${\bf A}$ is linearly independent if and only if the corresponding set of columns in ${\bf R}$ is linearly independent, hence

$$\dim \left(\operatorname{col}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)\right) = \dim \left(\operatorname{col}\left(\mathbf{R}\right)\right)$$

• Therefore,

$$rank(\mathbf{A}) = dim(col(\mathbf{A}))$$

The row space and the column space of a matrix A have the same dimension.

Proof

We have effectively shown

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{R})) = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A})), \qquad \text{where } \mathbf{R} = \operatorname{rref}(\mathbf{A})$$

• It is clear that the column and row space must share the same dimension

$$\dim(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{R})) = \dim(\operatorname{row}(\mathbf{R}))$$

• Since we have shown row operations do not alter the row space

$$\operatorname{row}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{row}(\mathbf{R}) \implies \dim(\operatorname{row}(\mathbf{A})) = \dim(\operatorname{row}(\mathbf{R}))$$

$$= \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A})$$

$$= \dim(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A})) \quad \square$$

ullet In the light of the last two theorems, the rank of a matrix ${f A}$ is essentially the common dimension of the row space and column space of ${f A}$.

Definition

If $\mathcal X$ and $\mathcal Y$ are subspaces of a vector space $\mathcal V$, then the sum of $\mathcal X$ and $\mathcal Y$ is defined to be the set of all possible sums of vectors from $\mathcal X$ with vectors from $\mathcal Y$. That is,

$$\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y} = \{ \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \}$$

Theorem

If $\mathcal X$ and $\mathcal Y$ are subspaces of a vector space $\mathcal V$, then

$$\dim(\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}) = \dim \mathcal{X} + \dim \mathcal{Y} - \dim (\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y})$$

Exercise

Use the above theorem to show

$$rank(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \le rank(\mathbf{A}) + rank(\mathbf{B}),$$
 for any matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$.

Solution

• If $b \in col(A + B)$, then there exists a vector x such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{b} \\ \underbrace{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}_{\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A})} + \underbrace{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}}_{\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})} &= \mathbf{b} \in \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B}) \\ \Longrightarrow & \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \subset \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B}) \end{aligned}$$

• Invoke the theorem on [??] and [6], then the dimensions must satisfy

$$\begin{split} \dim\Big(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})\Big) &\leq \dim\Big(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})\Big) \\ &= \dim\Big(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A})\Big) + \dim\Big(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})\Big) - \dim\Big(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})\Big) \\ &\leq \dim\Big(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{A})\Big) + \dim\Big(\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})\Big) \end{split}$$

 $\implies \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \le \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B})$

If **A** is a matrix of $m \times n$ and **B** is a matrix of $n \times r$, then

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}) - \dim\left(\operatorname{null}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})\right)$$

Proof

• The main idea is to find dimensions of each vectors spaces involved

$$\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{AB})$$
 $\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})$ $\operatorname{null}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})$

and confirm the the equality is true.

- We do that by consider the basis for each vector space involved.
- Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$ be a basis for

$$\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \text{col}(\mathbf{B})$$

• Assume $rank(\mathbf{B}) = dim(col(\mathbf{B})) = s + t$, then there exist an extension set,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{ext}} = \{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_t\}$$

such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s, | \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_t \}$$

is a basis for $col(\mathbf{B})$.

We need to show

$$rank(\mathbf{AB}) = dim(col(\mathbf{AB})) = t$$

• This is done by showing

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbf{Az}_1, \mathbf{Az}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Az}_t\}$$

is a basis for col(AB).

- \mathcal{W} spans $\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{AB})$ if \mathbf{b} can be represented as a linear combination of \mathbf{Az}_j 's, where $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{AB})\mathbf{y}$ for any vector \mathbf{y} that makes the product defined.
- ullet Since $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}\in\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})$, there must exist $lpha_i$'s and eta_j 's such that

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \beta_j \mathbf{z}_j$$

So

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{AB})\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \beta_j \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}_j$$

• Since \mathbf{x}_i 's form a basis for $\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \text{col}(\mathbf{B})$.

• Since x_i 's form a basis for $null(A) \cap col(B)$, thus

$$\frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_{i}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{i}}{\Longrightarrow} \ \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=1}^{t}\beta_{j}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}_{j}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \ \mathcal{W} \ \mathrm{spans} \ \mathrm{col}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}).$$

ullet ${\cal W}$ is also linearly independent for if

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{j=1}^{t} \gamma_j \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{A} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \gamma_j \mathbf{z}_j$$

 $\bullet \ \ \text{It is clear that } \sum_{j=1}^t \gamma_j \mathbf{z}_j \in \operatorname{null}(\mathbf{A}), \ \text{but } \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \gamma_j \mathbf{z}_j \right| \in \overline{\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})} \ \ \text{for } \mathbf{z}_j \in \mathcal{B},$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^t \gamma_j \mathbf{z}_j \in \mathbf{null}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \mathbf{col}(\mathbf{B})$$

ullet So there must be λ_i such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^t \gamma_j \mathbf{z}_j = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i \implies \sum_{j=1}^t \gamma_j \mathbf{z}_j - \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$$

• γ_i 's and λ_i 's must all be zero because

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s, | \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_t \}$$

is a basis for $col(\mathbf{B})$ and thus linearly independent.

ullet So ${\mathcal W}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{col}({\mathbf A}{\mathbf B})$, and we have all the bases and their dimensions.

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}) = s + t = \dim\left(\operatorname{null}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \operatorname{col}(\mathbf{B})\right) + \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}) \quad \Box$$

Definition

The dimension of the null space of ${\bf A}$ is called the nullity of ${\bf A}$ and is denoted by $nullity({\bf A})$

• The fundamental relationship between the rank and the nullity is given by

Dimension theorem for matrices

If ${\bf A}$ is a matrix with n columns, then

$$rank(\mathbf{A}) + nullity(\mathbf{A}) = n$$

- Loosely speaking, it is kind of conservation law, it states as the amount of content in col(A) increases, the amount in null(A) drops, and vice versa.
- Any matrix $\mathbf{A}_{m \times n}$ seems to define some division of the vector space \mathbb{R}^n .

ullet Since old A has n columns, so the corresponding linear system

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
 has n unknowns.

- These unknowns fall into two distinct categories:
 - 1. Leading variables, let's assume we have p leading variables.
 - 2. Free variables, then there must be n-p free variables.
- ullet p is the same as the number of pivots in $\operatorname{ref}(\mathbf{A})$

$$p = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A})$$

ullet n-p is the number of parameters in the general solution of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$,

$$n - p = \dim(\text{null}(\mathbf{A})) = \text{nullity}(\mathbf{A})$$

Thus

$$rank(\mathbf{A}) + nullity(\mathbf{A}) = n \quad \square$$

Q: Are there any relationships among the solutions of a linear system

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

and the row space, column space, and null space of the coefficient matrix A?

ullet Using matrix multiplication by columns ${f c}_i$ of ${f A}$, the product ${f A}{f x}$,

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = x_1\mathbf{c}_1 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{c}_n$$

ullet A linear system, $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, of m equations in n unknowns can be written as

$$x_1\mathbf{c}_1 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{b}$$

• From which we conclude Ax = b is consistent if and only if b is a linear combination of the column vectors of A.

Theorem

A system of linear equations

$$Ax = b$$

is consistent if and only if b is in the column space of A.

The general solution of a consistent linear system Ax = b can be obtained by adding any particular solution of Ax = b to the general solution of Ax = 0.

Proof

ullet Let \mathbf{x}_p be any particular solution of

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b},$$

 \bullet Let $\mathbf{x}_{c} \in \mathrm{null}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)$ denote a solution to the corresponding equation

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

Let the following denote

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{p}} + \mathrm{null}(\mathbf{A})$$

the set of all vectors that resulted by adding \mathbf{x}_p to each vector in $\mathrm{null}(\mathbf{A})$

We must show if

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{p}} + \mathrm{null}(\mathbf{A}),$$

then x is a solution of Ax = b, and conversely that every solution of

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

is in $\mathbf{x}_p + \text{null}(\mathbf{A})$.

• Assume \mathbf{x} is in $\mathbf{x}_p + \mathrm{null}(\mathbf{A})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{p}} + \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{c}}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{p}} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{c}} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{b} \\ &\implies \mathbf{x} \text{ is a solution of } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}. \end{aligned}$$

 \bullet Assume x is the general solution of Ax=b, and x_{p} is any particular x

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_p) &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_p = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0} \implies (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_p) \in \mathrm{null}(\mathbf{A}) \\ &\implies \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x}_p + \mathrm{null}(\mathbf{A}) \quad \Box \end{split}$$

If \mathbf{x}_{p} is any solution of a consistent linear system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b},\;$ and if

$$S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$$

is a basis for the null space of A, then

every solution of Ax = b can be expressed in the form

$$\mathbf{x} = \underbrace{\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{p}}}_{\mathsf{particular solution}} + \underbrace{\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{v}_k}_{\mathsf{general solution}}$$

Conversely, for all choices of scalars

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k$$

the vector \mathbf{x} in this formula is a solution of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

Q: What does this theorem mean geometrically?

Equivalence Theorem

If ${\bf A}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, then the following statements are equivalent,

- 1. A is invertible.
- 2. Ax = 0 has only the trivial solution.
- 3. The reduced echelon form of A is I_n .
- f A is expressible as a product of elementary matrices.
- 5. Ax = b is consistent for every $n \times 1$ matrix b.
- 6. Ax = b has exactly one solution for every $n \times 1$ matrix b.
- 7. $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$.
- 8. The column vectors of \mathbf{A} are linearly independent.
- 9. The row vectors of A are linearly independent.
- 10. A has rank n
- 11. A has nullity 0.