

Vv417 Lecture 5

Jing Liu

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

September 19, 2019

- With each $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , it is possible to associate a scalar, denoted $\det(\mathbf{A})$, whose value will tell us whether the matrix is invertible.
- Before proceeding to the general definition, let us consider the following,
- 1×1 Matrices: $\mathbf{A} = [a_{11}]$
- We know \mathbf{A} will have a multiplicative inverse if and only if $a_{11} \neq 0$.
- Thus, suppose we define

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = a_{11}$$

then \mathbf{A} will be invertible if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$.

- 2×2 Matrices: $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$
- We know \mathbf{A} will be invertible if and only if it is row equivalent to \mathbf{I} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ 0 & (a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}/a_{11}) \end{bmatrix}$$

- If $a_{11} \neq 0$, the resulting matrix will be row equivalent to \mathbf{I} if and only if

$$a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}/a_{11} \neq 0 \implies a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$$

- If $a_{11} = 0$, we can switch the two rows of \mathbf{A} . The resulting matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ 0 & a_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

will be row equivalent to \mathbf{I} if and only if $a_{21}a_{12} \neq 0$. This is equivalent to

$$a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$$

- Thus, if \mathbf{A} is any 2×2 matrix and we define

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$$

then \mathbf{A} is invertible if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$.

- 3×3 Matrices

- Similarly we can use row operations to see if the matrix is row equivalent to \mathbf{I}

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & \frac{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \frac{a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13}}{a_{11}} \\ 0 & \frac{a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \frac{a_{11}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{13}}{a_{11}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & \mathbf{M}_{11} & \\ 0 & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: Why is \mathbf{A} row equivalent to \mathbf{I} if and only if

$$a_{11} \det(\mathbf{M}_{11}) \neq 0$$

- Again, if we define this scalar quantity to be

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = a_{11} \det(\mathbf{M}_{11})$$

then \mathbf{A} is invertible if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$.

Q: How shall we extend the definition of $\det(\mathbf{A})$ for arbitrary \mathbf{A} of size $n \times n$?

- Recall we have

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} a_{11} & \text{if } \mathbf{A} \text{ is } 1 \times 1, \\ a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & \text{if } \mathbf{A} \text{ is } 2 \times 2, \end{cases}$$

- Although the algebra is somewhat messy, the definition of $\det(\mathbf{A})$ for 3×3 ,

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = a_{11} \det(\mathbf{M}_{11})$$

can be put in terms of elements of \mathbf{A} explicitly as

$$a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

Q: Can you see the pattern in the indices of a_{ij} ?

Q: Can you see how the signs, \pm , are related to the indices of a_{ij} ?

Definition

Any arrangement of a set $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in a specific order, for example,

$$\sigma_{\text{no}} = (1, 2, \dots, n) \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_j, \dots, k_n)$$

is called a **permutation** of \mathcal{S} , where σ_{no} above is defined to be in the **nature order**.

A pair of elements (k_i, k_j) in σ is said to be out of the nature order if

$$k_i > k_j \quad \text{where} \quad i < j$$

A permutation σ is said to be

- **even** if there is an **even** number of pairs of (k_i, k_j) out of the nature order
- **odd** if there is an **odd** number of pairs of (k_i, k_j) out of the nature order

The **Levi-Civita** symbol, $\varepsilon_\sigma = \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_n}$, is defined by

$$\varepsilon_\sigma = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is an even permutation,} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is an odd permutation,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition

The **determinant** of an $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$, denoted

$$\det(\mathbf{A}),$$

is a **scalar** associated with the matrix \mathbf{A} that is defined as follows:

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} a_{3k_3} \cdots a_{nk_n}$$

- Notice the determinant for an $n \times n$ matrix is an n -fold summation.
- However, only $n!$ numbers of ε_{σ} out of n^n possible ε_{σ} are nonzero.
- So the determinant is also a summation over the $n!$ distinct permutations of

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Q: Given \mathbf{A} is triangular, why is $\det(\mathbf{A})$ equal to the product of the diagonals?

Interchanging two rows of \mathbf{A}

Suppose \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix and

$$\mathbf{E}_{i,j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & 1 & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the elementary matrix corresponding to interchanging row i with row j , then

$$\det(\mathbf{E}_{i,j}\mathbf{A}) = -\det(\mathbf{A})$$

Furthermore,

$$\det(\mathbf{E}_{i,j}\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{E}_{i,j}) \det(\mathbf{A})$$

Proof

- Let $\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{E}_{i,j} \mathbf{A}$, then the elements $a_{rs}^* = [\mathbf{A}^*]_{rs}$ are related to those of \mathbf{A}

$$a_{rs}^* = \begin{cases} a_{rs} & \text{if } r \neq i, j, \\ a_{js} & \text{if } r = i, \\ a_{is} & \text{if } r = j. \end{cases}$$

- According to the definition of the determinant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}^*) &= \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_i \cdots k_j \cdots k_n} a_{1k_1}^* a_{2k_2}^* \cdots a_{ik_i}^* \cdots a_{jk_j}^* \cdots a_{nk_n}^* \\ &= \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_i \cdots k_j \cdots k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} \cdots a_{jk_i} \cdots a_{ik_j} \cdots a_{nk_n} \end{aligned}$$

- If $i - j = 1$, that is, row i and row j are adjacent, then it is clear that

$$\varepsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_j k_i \cdots k_n} = -\varepsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_i k_j \cdots k_n}$$

Proof

- If $i - j > 1$,

$$(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_j, \dots, \underbrace{k_i}_{\leftarrow}, \dots, k_n)$$

interchanging row i to row j can be done by first successively interchanging adjacent rows to row i in the direction of row j to obtain

$$(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_i, k_j, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_n)$$

which requires $i - j$ adjacent row-switching.

- Next the old row j ,

$$(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_i, \underbrace{k_j}_{\rightarrow}, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_n)$$

which is now in row $j + 1$, is pushed into row i to complete the interchanging

- Notice this requires additional $(i - j) - 1$ adjacent row-switching.

Proof

- The total number of adjacent interchanges involved in the interchanging is

$$N = 2(i - j) - 1$$

which is always an odd integer, thus

$$\varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_j \dots k_i \dots k_n} = (-1)^N \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_i \dots k_j \dots k_n} = -\varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_i \dots k_j \dots k_n}$$

- Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}^*) &= \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_i \dots k_j \dots k_n} a_{1k_1}^* a_{2k_2}^* \cdots a_{ik_i}^* \cdots a_{jk_j}^* \cdots a_{nk_n}^* \\ &= \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_i \dots k_j \dots k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} \cdots a_{jk_i} \cdots a_{ik_j} \cdots a_{nk_n} \\ &= - \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_j \dots k_i \dots k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} \cdots a_{nk_n} = -\det(\mathbf{A}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof

- For the second part of the theorem, since identity matrices are triangular,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}) = 1$$

together with the fact that $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ is one interchange of rows away from \mathbf{I}

$$\det(\mathbf{E}_{i,j}) = -1$$

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\mathbf{E}_{i,j}\mathbf{A}) &= \det(\mathbf{A}^*) = -\det(\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{E}_{i,j})\det(\mathbf{A})\end{aligned}$$

Q: What is the relationship between

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{E}_{i,j})$$

Theorem

Suppose \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix, then

$$\det(\mathbf{A}^T) = \det(\mathbf{A})$$

- What does the above theorem imply when we interchange two columns?

Proof

- Using the definition, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{A}^T) = \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_n} a_{k_1 1} a_{k_2 2} a_{k_3 3} \cdots a_{k_n n}$$

- Since $\sigma = (k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots, k_n)$ is a permutation of

$$\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$$

we can interchange terms in σ so that σ becomes σ_{no} .

Proof

- In terms of elements of \mathbf{A} , it is always possible to rearrange the terms so that

$$a_{k_1 1} a_{k_2 2} a_{k_3 3} \cdots a_{k_n n} = a_{1 k_1^*} a_{2 k_2^*} a_{3 k_3^*} \cdots a_{n k_n^*}$$

where $\sigma^* = (k_1^*, k_2^*, k_3^*, \dots, k_n^*)$ is some other permutation of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$

- Note the number of interchanges, N , needed in taking $\sigma_{\text{no}} = (1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$ to σ^* is the same as the number of interchanges in taking σ to σ_{no} , so

$$\varepsilon_{\sigma} = (-1)^N \varepsilon_{\sigma_{\text{no}}} = \varepsilon_{\sigma^*}$$

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}^T) &= \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_n} a_{k_1 1} a_{k_2 2} a_{k_3 3} \cdots a_{k_n n} \\ &= \sum_{k_1^*=1}^n \sum_{k_2^*=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n^*=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1^* \dots k_n^*} a_{1 k_1^*} a_{2 k_2^*} a_{3 k_3^*} \cdots a_{n k_n^*} = \det(\mathbf{A}) \end{aligned}$$

- As you know, we don't usually use the definition directly to find \mathbf{A} .

Definition

Let \mathbf{A} be an $n \times n$ matrix. The **cofactor** of the element a_{ij} is defined by

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}$$

where M_{ij} is the **minor** of the element a_{ij} , which is the determinant of the matrix obtained by deleting the i th row and the j th column of \mathbf{A} .

Cofactor Expansion

Suppose \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix, where $n \geq 2$, and C_{ij} denotes the cofactors, then

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}C_{in} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ a_{1j}C_{1j} + a_{2j}C_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj}C_{nj} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

- It states $\det(\mathbf{A})$ is a weighted sum of cofactors with a_{ij} as the coefficients.

Exercise

Find $\det(\mathbf{A})$, where $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Solution

- Using the cofactor expansion on the row/column that has the most zeros,

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\mathbf{A}) &= (-1)^{4+1} \cdot 2 \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = -2 \cdot (-1)^{3+3} \cdot 3 \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= -6 \cdot (10 - 12) = 12\end{aligned}$$

- To most of you, Laplace's cofactor expansion is not new, it is often used as the definition in an elementary course, but now we are ready to prove it.

Proof

- Recall the definition

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(\mathbf{A}) &= \sum_{k_1=1}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} a_{3k_3} \cdots a_{nk_n} \\
 &= \underbrace{a_{11} \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{1k_2 \dots k_n} a_{2k_2} a_{3k_3} \cdots a_{nk_n}}_{\alpha} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k_1=2}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} a_{3k_3} \cdots a_{nk_n}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Notice if any one of those k_i in α is equal to 1,

$$\sigma = (1, k_2, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_n)$$

then σ is no longer a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, in which case

$$\varepsilon_{\sigma} = \varepsilon_{1k_2 \dots k_n} = 0$$

Proof

- If $\sigma = (1, k_2, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_j, \dots, k_n)$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then

$$1 < k_i \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 2, \dots, n$$

thus there are the same number of pairs of

$$k_i > k_j \quad \text{where} \quad i < j$$

in σ as there are in

$$(k_2, k_3, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_j, \dots, k_n)$$

which is a permutation of $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

- Furthermore, note shifting indices according to the following definition

$$k_j^* = k_{j+1} - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, (n-1)$$

has no effect on the relative ordering of the permutation.

Proof

- Since the relative ordering is preserved, the number of pairs of

$$k_i > k_j \quad \text{where} \quad i < j$$

in $\sigma = (1, k_2, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_j, \dots, k_n)$ is also equal to the number of pairs of

$$k_i^* > k_j^* \quad \text{where} \quad i < j$$

in $\sigma^* = (k_1^*, k_2^*, \dots, k_i^*, \dots, k_j^*, \dots, k_{n-1}^*)$ which is a permutation of

$$\sigma_{\text{no}} = (1, 2, \dots, n-1)$$

- Hence

$$\varepsilon_{1k_2 \dots k_i \dots k_n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if there exists } k_i = 1 \text{ for any } i = 2, \dots, n, \\ \varepsilon_{k_1^* \dots k_{n-1}^*} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof

- If follows then that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= a_{11} \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{1k_2 \dots k_n} a_{2k_2} a_{3k_3} \cdots a_{nk_n} \\
 &= a_{11} \sum_{k_2=2}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=2}^n \varepsilon_{1k_2 \dots k_n} a_{2k_2} a_{3k_3} \cdots a_{nk_n} \\
 &= a_{11} \sum_{k_1^*=1}^{n-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}^*=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{k_1^* \dots k_{n-1}^*} a_{2(k_1^*+1)} a_{3(k_1^*+1)} \cdots a_{n(k_{n-1}^*+1)} \\
 &= a_{11} \sum_{k_1^*=1}^{n-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}^*=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{k_1^* \dots k_{n-1}^*} a_{1k_1^*}^* a_{2k_2^*}^* \cdots a_{(n-1)k_{n-1}^*}^* = a_{11} \det(\mathbf{A}^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^* = [a_{ij}^*]$ is the submatrix without the 1st row and column of \mathbf{A} .

- Since $C_{11} = (-1)^{1+1} M_{11} = M_{11} = \det(\mathbf{A}^*)$, we have $\alpha = a_{11} C_{11}$.

Proof

- So we have shown the term involving a_{11} in

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = a_{11}C_{11} + \sum_{\substack{k_1=2 \\ \text{red}}}^n \sum_{k_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_n} a_{1k_1} a_{2k_2} a_{3k_3} \cdots a_{nk_n}$$

is the cofactor of a_{11} . Note the sum can be split according to coefficients a_{ij}

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} a_{i1}\hat{C}_{i1} + a_{i2}\hat{C}_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}\hat{C}_{in} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ a_{1j}\hat{C}_{1j} + a_{2j}\hat{C}_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj}\hat{C}_{nj} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

where \hat{C}_{ij} is a sum involves no elements from row i or column j .

- We just need to show for every coefficient a_{ij} ,

$$\hat{C}_{ij} = C_{ij} \quad \text{where } C_{ij} \text{ is the cofactor of } a_{ij}.$$

- Notice we can put a_{ij} into the 1st-row-1st-column position by interchanging adjacent rows $i - 1$ times and interchanging adjacent columns $j - 1$ times.

Proof

- Let the result matrix after the interchanges to be \mathbf{A}' . Consequently

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = (-1)^{i+j-2} \det(\mathbf{A}') = (-1)^{i+j} \det(\mathbf{A}')$$

- Since the relative positions of elements in the rest of rows and columns in

\mathbf{A}

remain intact after the interchanges, that is, the submatrix

\mathbf{M}_{ij}

obtained by deleting row i and column j of \mathbf{A} is identical to the submatrix

\mathbf{M}'_{11}

obtained by deleting the 1st row and the 1st column of \mathbf{A}' . Hence

$$C'_{11} = M'_{11} = M_{ij}$$

Proof

- By the construction of \mathbf{A}' , we have

$$a_{ij} = a'_{11}$$

- Using our results we have discussed so far in this proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\mathbf{A}) &= (-1)^{i+j} (a'_{11} C'_{11} + \beta) \\ &= a_{ij} C_{ij} + (-1)^{i+j} \beta\end{aligned}$$

where β denotes terms that does not involve $a_{ij} = a'_{11}$, which shows indeed

$$\hat{C}_{ij} = C_{ij}$$

- This establishes that the cofactor expansion is true along a particular row, the expansion along a particular column follows immediately, since

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{A}^T) \quad \square$$