

# Vv417 Lecture 16

Jing Liu

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

October 31, 2019

- Recall we defined a **matrix transformation**

$$T_{\mathbf{A}}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

to be a transformation of the form

$$T_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$$

in which  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix. We established later that every matrix transformation is a **linear transformation** from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and vice versa.

- We continue the study of **linear transformations** by turning our attention to arbitrary vector spaces instead of focusing on Euclidean spaces.
- A transformation  $T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is linear if

$$T(\alpha \mathbf{u}_1 + \beta \mathbf{u}_2) = \alpha T(\mathbf{u}_1) + \beta T(\mathbf{u}_2)$$

which simply combines the two linearity properties.

### Theorem

If  $T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a linear transformation, then

$$T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$$

## Proof

- Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be any vector in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then

$$T(\mathbf{0}) = T(0\mathbf{u})$$

since  $\mathbf{0} = 0\mathbf{u}$  for any vector space.

- Use the fact that  $T$  is linear, thus

$$T(\mathbf{0}) = T(0\mathbf{u}) = 0T(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$$

- This states the zero vector is in the kernel of every linear transformation, e.g.

$$\mathbf{0} \in \text{null}(\mathbf{A})$$

Q: Can you think of any linear transformations between non-Euclidean spaces?

- The zero transformation and the identity operator are linear.

$$T(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad T(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u}$$

Q: Is the following transformation  $T: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  linear?

$$T(p(x)) = xp(x), \quad \text{where } p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_n$$

Q: How about the following transformation  $T: \mathcal{M}_{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ?

$$T(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{A})$$

• Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(-\infty, \infty)$ , and

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$$

be a sequence of real numbers, and let  $T: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be

$$T(f) = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1) \\ f(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: Is this transformation linear?

- For matrix transformation  $T_{\mathbf{A}}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = x_1T(\mathbf{e}_1) + x_2T(\mathbf{e}_2) + \cdots + x_nT(\mathbf{e}_n) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$$

### Theorem

Suppose  $T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a linear transformation, where  $\mathcal{U}$  is finite-dimensional. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$$

be a basis for  $\mathcal{U}$ , then the image of any vector  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  can be expressed as

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_1T(\mathbf{b}_1) + \beta_2T(\mathbf{b}_2) + \cdots + \beta_nT(\mathbf{b}_n)$$

where  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  are the components of the coordinate vector  $[\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

- It simply states a result of  $T$  being linear: the image of any vector in the domain under  $T$  is a linear combination of images a basis of the domain.

## Theorem

Suppose  $T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a linear transformation, then

1. the kernel of  $T$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{U}$ .
2. the range of  $T$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

If the vector space  $\mathcal{U}$  is finite-dimensional, then

$$\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = \dim(\mathcal{U})$$

where  $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(\text{range}(T))$  and  $\text{nullity}(T) = \dim(\text{kernel}(T))$ .

Q: How to prove the above general version of rank and nullity?

## Definition

Suppose  $T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a linear transformation, then  $T$  is said to be

1. one-to-one if it maps distinct vectors in  $\mathcal{U}$  into distinct vectors in  $\mathcal{V}$ .
2. onto  $\mathcal{V}$  if every vector in  $\mathcal{V}$  is the image of at least one vector in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces with the same dimension, and if

$$T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

is a linear transformation, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is one-to-one.      (b)  $\text{kernel}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .      (c)  $T$  is onto,  $\text{range}(T) = \mathcal{V}$ .

- If  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  have different dimensions, then only the first two are equivalent.

## Proof

- (a)  $\implies$  (b)
- Since  $T$  is linear, by the theorem on 2,

$$T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0} \implies \text{kernel}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

for there can be no other vector in  $\mathcal{U}$  that maps into  $\mathbf{0}$  if it is one-to-one.

## Proof

- (b)  $\implies$  (a)
- If  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2$  are distinct vectors in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then

$$\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$$

- Since  $\text{kernel} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ,

$$T(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

- Because  $T$  is linear, it follows

$$T(\mathbf{u}_1) - T(\mathbf{u}_2) = T(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

- So  $T$  maps distinct vectors in  $\mathcal{U}$  into distinct vectors in  $\mathcal{V}$ , thus is one-to-one.
- Invoke the theorem on 6, it is clear that

$$(b) \iff (c)$$



Q: Is the following transformation  $T: \mathcal{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  one-to-one and onto?

$$T(a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: How about  $T: \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: How about  $T: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ ?

$$T(p(x)) = xp(x), \quad \text{where } p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_n$$

Q: Is this contradicting the last theorem?

Q: Under what conditions will we have the inverse of a linear  $T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ , that is,

$$(T^{-1} \circ T)(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} \quad \text{and} \quad (T \circ T^{-1})(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$$

### Theorem

A linear transformation  $T$  is invertible if and only if it is one-to-one and onto.

### Defintion

Let  $T: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be a linear transformation that is one-to-one and onto, then

$T$  is said to be an **isomorphism** between  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ ,

and we say  $\mathcal{V}$  is **isomorphic** to  $\mathcal{U}$ , and vice versa

$$\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{V}$$

- This terminology is used since  $T$  connects two different vector spaces of the same “form”, even though they may consist of different kinds of objects.

- For example,

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 x^2 \xleftrightarrow[T^{-1}]{T} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The following theorem reveals the fundamental importance of the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Theorem

Every real  $n$ -dimensional vector space is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Proof

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a real  $n$ -dimensional vector space. To show that  $\mathcal{V}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we must find a linear transformation

$$T: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

that is one-to-one and onto.

## Proof

- Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be any basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and

$$\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w} = \gamma_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \gamma_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n \mathbf{v}_n$$

be the representation of a  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $T: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the coordinate map

$$T(\mathbf{u}) = [\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{S}}$$

- We need to show  $T$  is isomorphism, that is, linear, one-to-one, and onto.

$$T(\beta \mathbf{u}) = T(\beta \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \beta \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \beta \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta \alpha_1 \\ \beta \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} = \beta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} = \beta T(\mathbf{u})$$

- Similarly,

$$T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}) = [\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{S}} = [\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{S}} + [\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{S}} = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{w})$$

## Proof

- To show that  $T$  is one-to-one, we must show that if  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are distinct in  $\mathcal{V}$ , then so are their images in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{w}$ , then

$$\alpha_i \neq \gamma_i \quad \text{for at least one } i.$$

hence

$$T(\mathbf{u}) = [\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{S}} \neq [\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{S}} = T(\mathbf{w})$$

which shows that  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  have distinct images under  $T$ .

- Finally, the coordinate transformation  $T$  is onto, for if  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

then  $\mathbf{x}$  is the image under  $T$  of the vector

$$\mathbf{y} = x_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + x_n \mathbf{v}_n \quad \square$$

## Theorem

Suppose  $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a basis for a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$ , then

$$\mathbf{u} \longrightarrow [\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{S}}$$

is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- Recall the coordinate maps depend on the order in which the basis vectors are listed. Thus it describes  $n!$  possible isomorphisms.
- $T: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is an isomorphism of between  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^n \xrightarrow{T} [\alpha_0 \quad \alpha_1 \quad \dots \quad \alpha_n]$$

and  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{T} [a \quad b \quad c \quad d]$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

- Both are known as the **natural isomorphisms** for the vector spaces involved.