

Vv417 Lecture 19

Jing Liu

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

November 12, 2019

- The notion of vector space gives us a way to introduce some structure into arbitrary sets, however, we still lack a key concept that we have for \mathbb{R}^n .
- Recall for points in \mathbb{R}^n

$$A(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \quad \text{and} \quad B(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$$

we have the concept of distance between A and B

$$d = d(A, B) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2}$$

- From this definition of distance, we have countless indispensable theorems in geometry regarding relationships between subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

Q: How can introduce similar structure into arbitrary sets?

- In general, a **metric** is a function that associate $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$ to a real number

$$d = d(x, y)$$

where the real number **d is called the distance between x and y .**

Definition

Let \mathcal{S} be a nonempty set. A **metric** on \mathcal{S} is a function

$$d: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{S}$, the followings are true:

1. Nonnegative:

$$d(x, y) \geq 0$$

2. Unique:

$$d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$$

3. Symmetric:

$$d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

4. Subadditive:

$$d(y, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(x, z)$$

A set \mathcal{S} together with a metric d is called a **metric space**.

- Consider the set of continuous functions

$$\mathcal{C}[a, b]$$

and the following function

$$T(f, g) = \int_a^b |f(x) - g(x)| dx$$

Q: Can we use T as a metric for $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$?

- Given a set of a sequence of real or complex scalars

$$x = \left\{ x_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$

- Let us use the following notation for the value of the following series

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|$$

- The series is said to be **absolutely convergent** and x **absolutely summable** if

$$\|x\|_1 < \infty$$



- The set of all absolutely summable sequence is often denoted by

$$\ell_1 = \left\{ x = \{x_k\}: \|x\|_1 < \infty \right\}$$

Q: Is ℓ_1 a vector space?

Q: Is the following function a valid metric for ℓ_1 ,

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k - y_k|, \quad x, y \in \ell_1$$

- This is known as the Manhattan distance, aka ℓ_1 -distance.

Q: Is every metric space a vector space?

- If \mathcal{S} a a generic metric space, then we often refer to the elements of \mathcal{S} as

“points”,

if \mathcal{S} is also a vector space, then we usually refer to the elements of \mathcal{S} as

“vectors”.

- Having the notion of distance in a space is important, because we can now define the corresponding notion of convergence in the space.

Definition

Let \mathcal{S} be a metric space. A sequence of points $\{a_k\}$ in \mathcal{S} **converges** to $a \in \mathcal{S}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a, a_n) = 0$$

This is, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some integer $N > 0$ such that

$$d(a, a_n) < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever} \quad n \geq N$$

- Convergence implicitly depends on the choice of metric for \mathcal{S} , so if we want to emphasise that we are using a particular metric, we may say

$$a_n \rightarrow a \text{ with respect to the metric } d.$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{S} be a metric space. A sequence of points $\{a_n\}$ in \mathcal{S} is said to be a **Cauchy sequence** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that

$$d(a_m, a_n) < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever} \quad m, n \geq N$$

Theorem

If $\{a_n\}$ is a convergent sequence in a metric space \mathcal{S} , then

$$\{a_n\}$$

is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{S} .

Proof

Let $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that

$$d(a, a_n) < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever} \quad n \geq N$$

Proof

Consequently, if $m, n > N$,

$$d(a_m, a_n) \leq d(a, a_m) + d(a, a_n) < 2\epsilon$$

by the subadditive property of the metric space \mathcal{S} , therefore, it is Cauchy.

Q: Is the converse of this theorem true?

- Let $\mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$ denote the space of all continuous functions $[-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and

$$d(f, g) = \int_{-1}^1 |f(x) - g(x)| dx$$

be the metric for $\mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$. The sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined by

$$y_n(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x \in [-1, -\frac{1}{n}], \\ nx & \text{if } x \in (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}), \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

is Cauchy but not convergent. Since the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n(x)$ is not continuous.

Q: Recall the connection between the notion of distance and length, is there a natural metric for a given vector space? What is missing in a vector space?

Definition

Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space. A **norm** on \mathcal{V} is a function

$$\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$, the followings are true:

1. Nonnegative:

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| \geq 0$$

2. Homogeneity:

$$\|\alpha \mathbf{v}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{v}\| \quad \text{for any scalar } \alpha.$$

3. Subadditive:

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| + \|\mathbf{v}\|$$



A vector space \mathcal{V} together with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a **normed vector space**.

- Note the function that gives the magnitude/length of a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \cdots + v_n^2}$$

satisfies all three requirements.

- In general, we refer to the number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ as the length of $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$, and

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$$

as the distance between the vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , the metric

$$d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$$

is called the metric on \mathcal{V} induced from $\|\cdot\|$.

- A metric gives us a notion of the distance between points in a space, a norm gives us a notion of the length of an individual vector. A norm can only be defined on a vector space, while a metric can be defined on arbitrary sets.

- It can be shown for the space of absolutely summable sequence ℓ_1 , the sum

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|$$

can be used as the norm. It is known as the ℓ_1 -norm for the vector space ℓ_1 , which is thus a normed space, a metric space as well as being a vector space.

- The ℓ_1 -norm can also be defined for other vector spaces, for example, in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|$$

- More generally, we could define a ℓ_p -norm, aka p -norm on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for any real number } p \geq 1.$$

- In particular, if $p = 2$, then ℓ_p norm is simply the usual length in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \quad \text{where } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- Frobenius norm is a norm on a matrix space $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}$$

Q: Can you see why Frobenius norm is clearly a valid norm?

- Note the matrix space $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is isomorphic to the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{mn} , and

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \|\mathbf{s}\|_2 \quad \text{where } \mathbf{s} = [\mathbf{A}]_{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$$

i.e. the coordinate vector of \mathbf{A} with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, that is, \mathbf{s} is a vector contains all entries of \mathbf{A} according to some fixed order.

- For square matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the following two properties are relevant:

Definition

A matrix norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be **compatible** with a vector norm on \mathbb{R}^n if

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{v}\| \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Definition

A matrix norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be **sub-multiplicative** if

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\| \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

Q: Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a vector norm on \mathbb{R}^n , is the following a matrix norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$?

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_o = \max_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|$$

Q: Is $\|\cdot\|_o$ compatible with the vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n ? Is it sub-multiplicative?

Definition

The matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_o: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_o = \max_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|$$

is known as the **operator norm** induced by the vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be an $n \times n$ matrix with columns \mathbf{a}_i and rows \mathbf{A}_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 &= \max_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_1 = \max_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\} \\ \|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty &= \max_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_\infty = \max_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Q: Do you remember strictly diagonally dominant and Jacobi iteration?

Definition

A square matrix \mathbf{A} is said to be strictly diagonally dominant if

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |a_{ij}| + \sum_{j=i+1}^n |a_{ij}| \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- Recall Jacobi iteration is a iterative method for solving $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$$

where \mathbf{D} is the diagonal matrix such that

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

- Recall such iterative schemes are useful for large sparse systems in practice.

- However, earlier we have only proved the first half of the following theorem:

Theorem

If \mathbf{A} is strictly diagonally dominant, then

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$$

has a unique solution, and for any choice of the initial guess $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$, the sequence

$$\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}$$

produced by the Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel iteration converge to the exact solution.

Proof

- Let us show the Jacobi iteration is convergent for a certain norm on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{D}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \right) = \underbrace{\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{c}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{D}^{-1} (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A})}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$$

Proof

- Consider the iteration formula in this form at the exact solution \mathbf{x}^* ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{c} &= \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{x}^*\end{aligned}$$

- Now if we subtract this identity from the $(k+1)$ th iteration formula, we have

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{c} - (\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*)$$

which means the induced distance with respect to any norm on \mathbb{R}^n is

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| = \|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*)\|$$

- Since the operator norm is compatible with the vector norm that induced it,

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^*\| = \|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*)\| \leq \|\mathbf{M}\|_o \|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^*\|$$

Proof

- So if we can show $\|\mathbf{M}\|_o < 1$, then we will have the desired result

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^* \right\| = 0$$

- The inverse of the diagonal matrix is simply the diagonal matrix of $1/a_{ii}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} &= \mathbf{D}^{-1} (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \\ &= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}_{(1/a_{nn})n} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{(1/a_{22})2} \mathbf{E}_{(1/a_{11})1} \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$$

and multiplying a diagonal matrix is equivalent to n type-II operations.

- Hence the matrix has the following form

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_{12}/a_{11} & \cdots & -a_{1n}/a_{11} \\ -a_{21}/a_{22} & 0 & \cdots & -a_{2n}/a_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{n1}/a_{nn} & -a_{n2}/a_{nn} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof

- Since strictly diagonally dominance

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |a_{ij}| + \sum_{j=i+1}^n |a_{ij}| = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$$

is defined in terms of the absolute values of the entries in the rows, and

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_{\infty} = \max_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\}$$

- Let us consider the ℓ_{∞} norm on \mathbb{R}^n , and the operator norm induced by it.

$$\|\mathbf{M}\|_{\infty} = \max_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |m_{ij}| \right\} = \sum_{j \neq q} \left| -\frac{a_{qj}}{a_{qq}} \right| = \frac{1}{|a_{qq}|} \sum_{j \neq q} |a_{qj}| < 1$$

which completes the proof since \mathbb{R}^n is a finite dimensional space.

- For every normed space \mathcal{V} , we have the induced metric on \mathcal{V} . Therefore all definitions made for metric spaces apply to \mathcal{V} , using the induced norm

$$d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$$

- Specifically, convergence in a normed space is defined by

$$\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_n\| = 0$$

- Every convergent sequence in a normed vector space must be Cauchy, but the converse does not hold in general. In **some** normed spaces it is true that every Cauchy sequence in the space is convergent.

Definition

A normed space \mathcal{V} is a **Banach space** if every Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{V} converges to an element of \mathcal{V} . This property is known as **complete**.