VE472 Lecture 13

Jing Liu

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

Summer

 Many methods can be understood using various matrix decompositions, thus approximating the product of two matrices is a way to deal with large data.

$$\mathbf{AB} \approx \mathbf{GF}$$

- Intuitively, it means AB is close to GF, but we need to define it precisely.
- ullet Recall the notion of norm allows to talk about $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is close to $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathbf{x} pprox \mathbf{y}$$
 if $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| < \varepsilon$

for some small positive $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and the norm of $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ give how close they are.

- Therefore, we need to discuss matrix norms as well as vector norms!
- We have used largely the ℓ_2 -norm for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in this course,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2}$$
 vector norm

ullet For a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$, one useful matrix norm is the Frobenious norm

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}$$

Another important matrix norm is given by

operator norm
$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_O = \max_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\| \quad \text{ where } \quad \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}\| = 1 \text{ unit vector }$$

which is called the operator norm induced by the vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

• It can be shown the two matrix norms are related to each other as

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_O \ge \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \ge \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{A}\|_O$$

ullet So the problem we want to solve is whether we can find a G and F such that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}\|_F$$
 or $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}\|_O$

is small, and computationally cheaper to find and compute GF in general.

Algorithm 1: Naive three-loop algorithm for matrix multiplication

```
Input: Matrix A of m \times p, and matrix B of p \times n.
  Output: The product M = AB
1 Function NaiveMatrixMultiplication(A, B):
       for i \leftarrow 1 to m do rows of first matrix
            for j \leftarrow 1 to n do columns of second matrix
                 [\mathbf{M}]_{ii} \leftarrow 0;
                for \ell \leftarrow 1 to p do
                  | [\mathbf{M}]_{ij} \leftarrow [\mathbf{M}]_{ij} + [\mathbf{A}]_{i\ell} [\mathbf{B}]_{\ell j} ;
                 end for
            end for
       end for
       return M;
```

1 end

• Notice the naive approach essentially computes the matrix multiplication as

$$m \times n \times p$$

pairs of products that need to be summed together, or as

$$m \times n$$

pairs of inner/dot products involving row and column vectors in \mathbb{R}^p .

- The idea is to take a sample of all computations needed to reduce the cost!
- Some of those computations are more important than other others so should be selected with a higher probability, we could also gain the insight from

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \mu \times n \approx \hat{x} \times L$$
 how many samples taken

where μ is the population mean while \hat{x} is the sample mean.

• We could sample according to any probability distribution, including simple random sampling, and obtain an unbiased estimator of the mean/sum, but... data大的时候可以让bias大一点->更小的var

 In order to better implement this idea of using a non-uniform distribution to minimise the variance, we need to see the matrix multiplication as

see notes

$$\mathbf{AB} = \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbf{a}_k B_k$$
 matrix multiplication by outer product

where \mathbf{a}_k denotes the kth column of \mathbf{A} , while B_k denotes the kth row of \mathbf{B} .

• This essentially decomposes the product into p outer products, each needs

$$m \times n$$

scalar multiplications, the idea is to find a sample of those p outer products.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} &= \sum_{k=1}^{p} \mathbf{a}_{k} B_{k} \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \frac{1}{q_{k_{\ell}}} \mathbf{a}_{k_{\ell}} B_{k_{\ell}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbf{g}_{k_{\ell}} F_{k_{\ell}} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F} \\ \text{sample size } \text{ probability of a particular block being calculated} \end{split}$$

Q: What are the mean and variance of the ijth element of [GF]?

Algorithm 2: Basic sampling algorithm for matrix multiplication

```
: Matrix A of m \times p, matrix B of p \times n, positive integer L, and list
               of probabilities \{q_i\}_{i=1}^p.
  Output : Matrices G and F such that \mathbf{GF} \approx \mathbf{AB}
1 Function BasicSamplingMatrixMultiplication(A,B):
       for \ell \leftarrow 1 to L do
            Sample k_{\ell} \in \{1, \dots, p\} with probability \Pr(k_{\ell} = i) = q_i
            /* The above step is done independently and identically
                 with replacement from one \ell value to another.
            for i \leftarrow 1 to m do
                [\mathbf{G}]_{i\ell} \leftarrow [\mathbf{A}]_{i\ell} / \sqrt{Lq_{k_{\ell}}};
            end for
            for j \leftarrow 1 to n do
               [\mathbf{F}]_{\ell j} \leftarrow [\mathbf{B}]_{\ell j} / \sqrt{Lq_{k_s}};
            end for
       end for
       return M:
```

10

11 12 end

Theorem 0.1

Suppose matrices ${\bf A}$ and ${\bf B}$, and the matrices ${\bf G}$ and ${\bf F}$ generated by Algo 2 , then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}\|_F^2\right] = \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\|\mathbf{a}_k\|^2 \|B_k\|^2}{Lq_k} - \frac{1}{L}\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\|_F^2$$
 expected error

Furthermore, if

$$q_k = \frac{\|\mathbf{a}_k\| \|B_k\|}{A} \quad \text{where} \quad A = \sum_{k=1}^P \|\mathbf{a}_k\| \|B_k\|$$

then the expected Frobenious norm of the error is minimised, and the value is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}\|_F^2\right] = \frac{1}{L} \left(\sum_{k=1}^p \|\mathbf{a}_k\| \|B_k\|\right)^2 - \frac{1}{L} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\|_F^2$$

• Notice the expected error is the sum of all variances $Var[[GF]_{ij}]$. error of using GF instead of AB is sum of GF's