

DCVaaS Multi-Brand SEO Safety Spec v1.0

0) Scope

Applies to the DCVaaS portfolio running **3 independent brands/domains** on **shared codebase/infrastructure**:

- KeylessSSL (developer intent)
- DelegatedSSL (agency/MSP intent)
- AutoCertify (SMB “panic fix” intent)

Goal: **rank independently** without triggering:

- dedup/canonical folding (WebMirror + shingles)
- “similar neighbor” crowding suppression
- site/topic dilution (siteFocusScore/siteRadius)
- cross-domain relationship poisoning ([spam_siblings](#))
- engagement-driven demotions (NavBoost clicks)

This spec is grounded in the leaked-doc forensic findings (feature existence + intended measurement), not weights.

1) Non-negotiable Principles (the rules we do not break)

P1 — Each domain must maintain a tight topical footprint

Why: site-level topical cohesion signals exist ([siteFocusScore](#), [siteRadius](#)) and site-wide authority exists ([siteAuthority](#)).

Implementation rules

- KeylessSSL content: ACME/DNS-01/API/security automation only.
- DelegatedSSL content: reseller/white-label/client management/ops only.
- AutoCertify content: “Not Secure” fixes, platform guides, wizards, SMB education only.
- If a topic doesn’t clearly belong to ONE brand, we either:
 - rewrite it from that brand’s persona angle **so it truly becomes brand-native**, or
 - don’t publish it on that brand.

P2 — No page-level duplication across brands

Why: near-duplicate detection systems based on shingles/fingerprints exist (`IndexingConverterShingleFingerprint`, `ShingleInfoPerDocData`) and WebMirror manages canonical/duplicate resolution.

Forbidden

- Copy/paste landing pages (features/pricing/about/how-it-works) with only logo swaps.
- Same blog post on multiple domains with light rewrites.

Allowed

- Same underlying *concept* across brands **only if** content is rewritten so the **token sequence + structure + intent** materially differs (not synonyms).

P3 — Cross-domain links must be sparse and contextual

Why: relationship/poisoning features exist (`UrlPoisoningData`, `spam_siblings`) and outlink spam scoring concepts exist.

Forbidden

- Sitewide footer “family of brands” cross-links.
- Blogrolls / “our other brands” blocks across every page.

Allowed

- Contextual link where it solves a user problem (example: AutoCertify → DelegatedSSL “Manage this for clients?”).
- Maximum **1 cross-brand link per page**, and only on pages where it’s genuinely relevant.

P4 — We must optimize for click satisfaction, not just rankings

Why: NavBoost exists and tracks good/bad/long clicks, including “unsquashed” metrics; scopes include URL/subdomain/root domain.

Rule

- Every indexed page must match query intent cleanly and deliver the answer fast (avoid pogo/bounce patterns that create “bad clicks”).

P5 — Expect “new domain drag”

Why: `hostAge` is described as used to “sandbox fresh spam in serving time.”

Rule

- Plan brand launches with patience + link building + brand demand. No panic pivots after 2 weeks.
-

2) Indexing Architecture Rules (marketing vs app)

A) Marketing vs App split

- **Marketing site (indexable):** `/`, `/pricing`, `/features`, `/blog`, `/docs`
(brand-dependent)
- **App/portal (not indexable):** login, dashboard, wizards, authenticated routes

Reason: removing app routes from index prevents low-value/duplicate app structure from entering dedup/canonical systems and reduces accidental thin indexation risk. This aligns with your earlier platform strategy.

Implementation

- Host app on dedicated subdomains per brand:
 - `app.keylessssl...`
 - `portal.delegatedssl...`
 - `wizard.autocertify...`
- Apply `X-Robots-Tag: noindex, nofollow` at the edge for **all app subdomains**.

B) Robots and sitemaps must be tenant-isolated

Reason: the multi-tenant strategy already called out mixed sitemaps/robots as a critical failure mode.

Rules

- `robots.txt` is generated per hostname.
 - `sitemap.xml` is generated per hostname and contains **only URLs for that domain**.
 - Separate Google Search Console properties + sitemap submission per domain.
-

3) Canonical & Redirect Policy (WebMirror-proofing)

Why: WebMirror is the canonicalization/duplication manager. If we're sloppy, Google will choose for us.

A) Canonical tags

Default

- Every indexable page must output a **self-referencing canonical** matching current hostname and path.

Absolute ban

- No accidental cross-domain canonicals (this is how you “donate” Brand A to Brand B).

Exceptions

- If we *intentionally* publish identical content (rare), pick one canonical domain and accept the other two will not rank for it.

B) Host canonicalization (www/non-www)

- Choose one style per domain (recommend: non-www).
- 301 redirect all alternates to the chosen canonical host.

C) Parameter handling

- Canonical URL must strip tracking params (`utm_*`, `gclid`, etc.).
- Ensure internal links never include params.

4) Page Template Divergence Requirements (to avoid “similar neighbor” clustering)

Reality: the reports tie crowding to “similar neighbors” and vector/crowding configs. Also, duplication systems exist at the shingle/fingerprint layer.

Even if “DOM sameness penalty” isn’t 100% proven by the leak, **we don’t gamble**. We enforce structural divergence because it reduces dedup/crowding risk.

Required layout differences by brand

KeylessSSL

- Docs-first IA (sidebar nav, code blocks, API refs, quickstart).
- Technical schema types where relevant (SoftwareApplication, TechArticle).

DelegatedSSL

- SaaS/enterprise IA (top nav, case studies, ROI calculators, security/compliance blocks).
- CaseStudy / Organization markup emphasis.

AutoCertify

- Wizard/diagnostic IA (single-column, step-by-step flows, “check my SSL” tools).
- FAQPage/HowTo markup emphasis.

Engineering requirement

- Separate layout components per brand (not just CSS theme tokens).
- Different section ordering and CTA structures per brand on key pages.

5) Cross-Domain Linking Policy (anti-poisoning)

Why: `UrlPoisoningData` tracks `spam_siblings`; outlink spam scoring appears in the analysis.

Allowed cross-brand links

- Only on pages where the other brand is the correct “next step.”
- No more than **1 cross-brand link per page**.
- Anchor text must match target content (Anchor mismatch demotion exists).

Forbidden link patterns

- Reciprocal sitewide links.
- “Partner network” blocks repeated across many pages.
- Mass cross-linking blog posts across brands.

Operational kill switch

If we suspect poisoning:

- Temporarily remove cross-links
- Add `rel="nofollow"` to remaining cross-brand links until resolved

6) Content Publishing Rules (Shingle/Fingerprint safe)

Why: shingles/fingerprints are explicitly called out as near-duplicate detection infrastructure.

A) Brand-specific editorial constraints

- Every article must be written as if the other two brands do not exist.
- Each article must use persona-native vocabulary (dev jargon vs ops jargon vs SMB language).

B) “Shared topic” handling (47-day renewals, DCV, CNAME)

If the topic must appear on all three sites:

- Write **three different outlines** (not three versions of the same outline).
- Change:
 - intro problem framing
 - headings
 - examples
 - tool/screenshots
 - CTA goal

C) Legal pages

Legal pages don't need to rank.

Choose one:

1. Make them unique per brand (preferred)
2. Publish identical but set **noindex** on them across all brands

7) Performance & Noisy-Neighbor Controls (protect engagement + CWV)

Bad performance kills clicks; clicks feed re-ranking.

Your scaling blueprint already details the real risk: AutoCertify spikes stealing capacity and dragging the others down.

Required controls

- Edge cache marketing pages aggressively (ISR/SSG where possible)
- WAF + bot controls + rate limiting on diagnostic endpoints (“check my SSL”, scans)
- Queue background work (DCV polling, renewals, scans) — never run long operations in web requests
- DB pooling + per-tenant quotas (max concurrent jobs, scan frequency, batch limits)

Optional isolation (recommended)

- **Separate deployments per brand, same repo** to isolate compute burst limits while keeping one codebase.
-

8) Automated QA Gates (this is how we prevent “oops we shipped a doorway cluster”)

A) Pre-deploy SEO smoke test (must pass for every release)

For each hostname (3 marketing + 3 app subdomains), test top routes:

Marketing host assertions

- Status 200
- `<title>` includes correct brand name
- `<meta name="description">` brand-unique
- `<link rel="canonical">` matches host + path (no params)
- `robots` allows indexing for marketing pages

App host assertions

- `X-Robots-Tag` includes `noindex`
- No marketing sitemap served on app host

Sitemap assertions

- Every `<loc>` URL in sitemap matches requesting hostname (no cross-domain contamination)

B) Duplicate-content tripwire (weekly)

Run a similarity scan for:

- homepage
- pricing
- features
- top 20 blog posts per brand

If similarity exceeds threshold → block publish + rewrite. (We’re proactively avoiding shingle/fingerprint collisions.)

9) Monitoring & Alarms (so we see the punch before it lands)

Search Console (per domain)

Watch:

- “Duplicate, Google chose different canonical”
- Index coverage drops
- Queries where CTR tanks after a release (possible NavBoost pain)

Performance (per domain)

Track:

- p95 TTFB
- error rate
- CWV field data
- bot traffic share

(Again: engagement is a ranking weapon in this architecture.)

The bottom line

This isn't “SEO best practices.” This is **feature-aware defense**:

- **siteAuthority/siteFocusScore/siteRadius** → keep each domain topically pure.
- **NavBoost** → match intent and prevent pogo/bad clicks.
- **WebMirror + shingles** → no duplicates, canonicals must be correct.
- **Crowding “similar neighbors”** → enforce real semantic + structural separation.
- **UrlPoisoningData/spam_siblings** → don't build a detectable link network.