

# **PeaPod - Testing Plan**

NASA/CSA Deep Space Food Challenge Phase 2

**Jayden Lefebvre - Founder, Lead Engineer**  
Port Hope, ON, Canada

**Nathan Chareunsouk - Design Lead**  
Toronto, ON, Canada

**Navin Vanderwert - Design Engineer**  
BASc Engineering Science (Anticipated 2024), University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

**Jonas Marshall - Electronics Engineer**  
BASc Computer Engineering (Anticipated 2024), Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

Primary Contact Email: [contact@peapodtech.com](mailto:contact@peapodtech.com)

Revision 0.2  
PeaPod Technologies Inc.  
May 28th, 2022

# Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Testing Plan</b>  | <b>2</b>  |
| 1.1      | Acceptability of Outputs . . . . .                             | 2         |
| 1.1.1    | Testing Procedure . . . . .                                    | 2         |
| 1.1.2    | Sample Collection Schedule . . . . .                           | 2         |
| 1.2      | Safety of Process and Outputs . . . . .                        | 3         |
| 1.2.1    | Testing Procedure . . . . .                                    | 3         |
| 1.2.2    | Sample Collection Schedule . . . . .                           | 4         |
| 1.3      | Resource Outputs . . . . .                                     | 5         |
| 1.3.1    | Testing Procedure . . . . .                                    | 5         |
| 1.3.2    | Sample Collection Schedule . . . . .                           | 5         |
| 1.4      | Reliability and Stability of Outputs . . . . .                 | 6         |
| 1.4.1    | Testing Procedure . . . . .                                    | 6         |
| 1.4.2    | Sample Collection Schedule . . . . .                           | 6         |
| 1.5      | Additional Comments . . . . .                                  | 7         |
| 1.6      | Materials . . . . .  | 8         |
| 1.6.1    | System . . . . .   | 8         |
| 1.6.2    | Inputs . . . . .   | 8         |
| 1.6.3    | Outputs . . . . .  | 8         |
| 1.6.4    | Maintenance . . . . .  | 9         |
| 1.6.5    | Cleaning . . . . .   | 9         |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Plan</b> | <b>10</b> |
| 2.1      | Food Production System Description . . . . .                   | 10        |
| 2.2      | Critical Points . . . . .                                      | 10        |
| 2.2.1    | Critical Point A . . . . .                                     | 10        |
| 2.3      | Standard Test Record . . . . .                                 | 10        |
| 2.3.1    | Purpose and Summary . . . . .                                  | 10        |
| 2.3.2    | Safety and Quality . . . . .                                   | 10        |
| 2.3.3    | Test Processes . . . . .                                       | 10        |
| 2.3.4    | Closeout . . . . .   | 10        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Feedback</b>  | <b>11</b> |

# 1 Testing Plan

## 1.1 Acceptability of Outputs

### 1.1.1 Testing Procedure

Tested via blind studies where participants are divided into two groups and given either control outputs (i.e. established commercial product) or test outputs (i.e. produced by PeaPod). Participants will rate outputs on 4 criteria (appearance, aroma, flavour, and texture) on a 9-point scale.

To simulate acceptability over a long period of time, it will be important to study outputs with consideration for how the subjects will interact with them. This includes varying preparation methods (fresh, cooked, dehydrated, etc.) and preparing combinations of foods both purely with PeaPod outputs and with external foods that would be available in the field.

Blind studies are eminent in consumer testing as they allow researchers to get a completely unbiased dataset. Special care needs to be taken when presenting, preparing, and collecting samples for testing to ensure researchers do not influence results. Ideally, resources will permit a double-blind study where researchers hire an outside entity to conduct the test and return results with generic labels.

The 9-point scale originates from U.S. Army testing, where it was developed using language which has roughly equal psychological distances between points on the scale. While the use of 9 points is otherwise arbitrary, there exists a large history of research validating its analytical use in long-term food production.

### 1.1.2 Sample Collection Schedule

## 1.2 Safety of Process and Outputs

### 1.2.1 Testing Procedure

Given the environment in which PeaPod will operate, process safety will be developed on the foundation of prevention. This is because crisis response and containment is severely limited in the confines of space: identification often requires propagation of the threat, and quarantine is more difficult and loses a larger proportion of food than it does on earth.

This begins pre-flight, as all materials—especially biological—are sanitized, tested, and packaged in isolation so a breach contaminates as little product as possible. Once everything is installed in the field, the design principles of the entire system take over as methods of prevention. By using DfX such as minimal testing and minimal interaction, PeaPod minimizes the introduction of foreign substances and, in turn, the ingress of potential threats. Interaction will only occur at times of harvest and planting, and double as times of cleaning and sanitation. Using established space station procedures, subjects will harvest and clean product, clean all surfaces, sanitize all surfaces, and plant surface-sterilized seeds.

As for non-biological threats such as heavy metals or other toxins, careful selection and sourcing of construction materials will eliminate most threats to the system. As a regular maintenance measure, flushing of the water and air supplies through the space station's recycling system will prevent buildup by keeping them up to external standards.

#### Chemical Hazards

As part of PeaPod's DfX regarding prevention, all sourcing of parts and resources has been done with inherent, chemical threats in mind. As a result, the default construction of the unit poses no threat for chemicals or other toxins to enter the biological system or its surroundings.

The other source of potential threats is during crew interaction steps when they harvest, clean, sanitize, and plant. To protect against hazards, PeaPod's maintenance steps follow carefully designed HACCP protocols and use food-safe cleansers and sanitizers.

#### Biological Hazards

Aerobic Plate Count (APC) testing to be done with the Conventional Plate Count Method outlined in the FDA's BAM Chapter 3: Aerobic Plate Count. This is selected over the Spiral Plate Method as it is inexpensive and uses many household materials. The goal of APC testing is to indicate the bacterial population in food-adjacent sections of the design. Results to be compared against STD-3001 to ensure a maximum of 3000 colony forming units/square ft. Plate count to be minimized by following !CITE (surface cleaning standards? hard to find).

ATP testing to be done using !CITE (lots of stuff about methods but no standards? look at requirements more)

#### Food Outputs

APC testing conducted on samples as outlined above to ensure bacterial population below 20 000 CFU/g per STD-3001. Testing for enterobacteriaceae will be performed using the MicroSnap EB rapid test to ensure its population is below 100 CFU/g per STD-3001. Testing for salmonella will be performed using a rapid detection kit to ensure a population of 0 CFU/g. Testing for yeasts and

molds will be performed with a testing kit to then be analyzed for a population count below 1000 CFU/g.

Critical pathogens to be tested for individually:

- Enterobacteriaceae: 100 CFU/g
- Salmonella: 0 CFU/g
- Yeast and Molds: 1000 CFU/g
- Escherichia Coli: dep. on tech
- Listeria: dep. on tech

## **By-Product Outputs**

### **1.2.2 Sample Collection Schedule**

## **1.3 Resource Outputs**

### **1.3.1 Testing Procedure**

Personally testing nutritional makeup of outputs is far beyond the resources and scope of this project. Instead, a variety of outputs will be produced and shipped to an external, ISO-17025 certified lab such as SGS Canada for testing.

While this is useful for validation on earth, it fails to address the issue of analysis at time of harvest. To tackle this, PeaPod will use data collected on earth-bound trials in combination with lab analysis and existing datasets to develop a way of predicting crop quality during the growing process. By applying algorithmic prediction, we can optimize resource output efficiency by, for example, marking crops that show early signs of failure for replacement. This helps maximize the output to input ratio by cutting losses earlier and with less labour cost than people would be able to.

### **1.3.2 Sample Collection Schedule**

The number of days required for sample collection is entirely dependent on what sample is being produced. For one-time growth products, such as carrots or lettuce, the days required is exactly the time to harvest of the plant. Size of collection is dependent on how many units are run at the same time. For plants that produce products multiple times, such as beans or tomatoes, samples should be collected after each production cycle. This means the time required to collect  $n$  samples is  $C + n \cdot X$ , where  $C$  is the initial growth period of the plant and  $X$  the time between harvests. It is important to collect multiple subsequent harvests in order to see the relationship between this and produce quality.

Packaging and shipping will be done according to freight standards of the carrier being used, such as this guide from FedEx.

## **1.4 Reliability and Stability of Outputs**

### **1.4.1 Testing Procedure**

PeaPod's outputs are intended for consumption as soon as possible after harvest and preparation. Any product not immediately consumed post preparation should be stored in an airtight package and kept below 12.5°C to slow bacterial growth. Certain products of PeaPod have the possibility of being dehydrated to further increase their shelf life. To mitigate the need for long duration food storage, growth cycles should be staggered to periodically supply fresh produce when astronauts are ready to eat.(Look into dehydrating processes and shi)

### **1.4.2 Sample Collection Schedule**

## **1.5 Additional Comments**



## **1.6 Materials**

### **1.6.1 System**

#### **Automation**

#### **Housing**

#### **Aeroponics**

#### **Leaf-Zone Thermoregulation**

#### **Humidification**

#### **Dehumidification**

#### **Gas Composition Regulation and Exchange**

#### **Lighting**

### **1.6.2 Inputs**

#### **Supply Inputs**

- *Water*: reverse-osmosis, ambient
- *Power*: 120V 60Hz AC<sup>1</sup>
- *Network*: ethernet or wireless, optional

#### **Consumable Inputs**

- *Nutrient/pH Adjustment Solutions*: pouches
- *Dehumidification Cartridge*: recharged

### **1.6.3 Outputs**

#### **Food Outputs**

#### **By-Products & Waste**

---

<sup>1</sup>The power supply can be altered to suit a variety of power inputs (i.e. DC)

#### **1.6.4 Maintenance**

##### **Spare Components**

##### **Tools**

#### **1.6.5 Cleaning**

##### **Soaps**

##### **Disinfectants**

##### **Tools**

## **2 Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Plan**

### **2.1 Food Production System Description**

### **2.2 Critical Points**

#### **2.2.1 Critical Point A**

**Hazard Description**

**Critical Limits**

**Monitoring Procedures**

**Deviation Procedures**

**Associated Documents**

### **2.3 Standard Test Record**

#### **2.3.1 Purpose and Summary**

#### **2.3.2 Safety and Quality**

#### **2.3.3 Test Processes**

**Preparation of Inputs**

**Verification**

**Setup, Maintenance, and Collection Protocols**

**Storage**

**Cleanup and Turnover**

#### **2.3.4 Closeout**

### **3 Feedback**

## **References**