

PeaPod - Testing Plan

NASA/CSA Deep Space Food Challenge Phase 2

Jayden Lefebvre - Founder, Lead Engineer

Port Hope, ON, Canada

Nathan Chareunsouk - Design Lead

Toronto, ON, Canada

Navin Vanderwert - Design Engineer

BASc Engineering Science (Anticipated 2024), University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Jonas Marshall - Electronics Engineer

BASc Computer Engineering (Anticipated 2024), Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

Open-source contributions made by:

University of Toronto Agritech

Primary Contact Email: contact@peapodtech.com

Revision 0.1

PeaPod Technologies Inc.

January 9th, 2022

Contents

1	Testing Procedure	2
1.1	Acceptability	2
1.2	Safety of Process	2
1.2.1	Chemical Hazards	2
1.2.2	Biological Hazards	2
1.3	Safety of Outputs	2
1.4	Resource Outputs	3
1.5	Reliability and Stability of Outputs	3
2	Sample Collection Procedure and Schedule	3
3	Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Plan	3
3.1	Food Production System Description	3
3.2	Critical Points	3
3.2.1	Critical Point A	3
3.2.2	Critical Point	4
3.3	Standard Test Record	4
3.3.1	Purpose and Summary	4
3.3.2	Safety and Quality	4
3.3.3	Test Processes	4
3.3.4	Closeout	4

1 Testing Procedure

1.1 Acceptability

Tested via blind studies where participants are divided into two groups and given either control outputs (i.e. established commercial product) or test outputs (i.e. produced by PeaPod). Participants will rate outputs on 4 criteria (appearance, aroma, flavour, and texture) on a 9-point scale.

Blind studies are eminent in consumer testing as they allow researchers to get a completely unbiased dataset. Special care needs to be taken when presenting, preparing, and collecting samples for testing to ensure researchers do not influence results. Ideally, resources will permit a double-blind study where researchers hire an outside entity to conduct the test and return results with generic labels.

The 4 criteria and 9-point metric scale are given by !CITE which states they are !INFO

1.2 Safety of Process

1.2.1 Chemical Hazards

As per the parts manifest !LINK, all components involved in the unit are clear of toxins and heavy metals by composition.

1.2.2 Biological Hazards

Aerobic Plate Count (APC) testing to be done with the Conventional Plate Count Method outlined in the FDA's BAM Chapter 3: Aerobic Plate Count. This is selected over the Spiral Plate Method as it is inexpensive and uses many household materials. The goal of APC testing is to indicate the bacterial population in food-adjacent sections of the design. Results to be compared against STD-3001 to ensure a maximum of 3000 colony forming units/square ft. Plate count to be minimized by following !CITE (surface cleaning standards? hard to find).

ATP testing to be done using !CITE (lots of stuff about methods but no standards? look at requirements more)

1.3 Safety of Outputs

APC testing conducted on samples as outlined above to ensure bacterial population below 20 000 CFU/g per STD-3001.

Critical pathogens to be tested for individually:

- Enterobacteriaceae: 100 CFU/g
- Salmonella: 0 CFU/g
- Yeast and Molds: 1000 CFU/g
- Escherichia Coli: dep. on tech
- Listeria: dep. on tech

1.4 Resource Outputs

Personally testing nutritional makeup of outputs is far beyond the resources and scope of this project. Instead, a variety of outputs will be produced and shipped to an external, ISO-17025 certified lab such as SGS Canada for testing.

1.5 Reliability and Stability of Outputs

2 Sample Collection Procedure and Schedule

The number of days required for sample collection is entirely dependent on what sample is being produced. For one-time growth products, such as carrots or lettuce, the days required is exactly the time to harvest of the plant. Size of collection is dependent on how many units are run at the same time. For plants that produce products multiple times, such as beans or tomatoes, samples should be collected after each production cycle. This means the time required to collect n samples is $C + n \cdot X$, where C is the initial growth period of the plant and X the time between harvests. It is important to collect multiple subsequent harvests in order to see the relationship between this and produce quality.

Packaging and shipping will be done according to freight standards of the carrier being used, such as this guide from FedEx.

3 Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Plan

3.1 Food Production System Description

3.2 Critical Points

3.2.1 Critical Point A

Hazard Description

Critical Limits

Monitoring Procedures

Deviation Procedures

Associated Documents

3.2.2 Critical Point ...

3.3 Standard Test Record

3.3.1 Purpose and Summary

3.3.2 Safety and Quality

3.3.3 Test Processes

3.3.4 Closeout

References