


Car motion in the dark



Purpose: develop a system that

- analyzes a video of a moving vehicle taken by a fixed camera
in order to
- determine the occupied space for each frame

knowing

- K MATRIX: the intrinsic calibration parameters of the used camera
and
- MODEL: length and width of the car, and distance between car lights

Assumptions

The moving car has a «vertical» symmetry plane.

Two symmetric back lights are visible:

Suppose that the camera is observing the car from the back,

Between subsequent frames of the video, the car is either translating forwards or steering with constant curvature

The road is locally planar

System operation: offline steps

- Calibrate the camera
- Retrieve the simplified MODEL of the observed car:
 - car width,
 - car lenght,
 - distance between the back lights, and distance of both from the back of the car (sometimes this could be $\neq 0$)
 - height of the back lights over the ground


System operation online steps basing on two (or three) «close» frames

- Extract two symmetric lights in the first frame (or two symmetric features on the lights when the resolution is high enough) that have correspondence in the second frame. Call the «horizontal» segment joining the two symmetric lights THE LIGHT SEGMENT. So the first/second light segment is the one observed in the first/second frame
- Apply geometry to find the 3D position of the plane π containing the moving light segment, and the position of the lights on π (see next slides)
- For each of the two frames, use the simplified car model + the found position of both π and the lights in order to determine the space occupied by the vehicle on the road

Geometric facts: within the plane π


1. If the car is translating forwards

→ the first and the second light segment form a **rectangle**




2. If the car is **steering** at constant curvature

- the light segment is rotating about a center of rotation C , that is the intersection between the lines $(L1, R1)$ and $(L2, R2)$ hosting the segments
- lines $(L1, L2)$ and $(R1, R2)$ are parallel in the real world, and both are perpendicular to the line b bisecting the lines the lines $(L1, R1)$ and $(L2, R2)$




3. If the car undergoes a free displacement, e.g. suddenly steering to avoid an obstacle

- a. If camera is calibrated (K known), at least 3 images are needed
- b. If camera is uncalibrated (K unknown), at least 4 images are needed



1. car translating forwards: image



1. car translating forwards: method

Find intersection points V_x and V_y ,
3D direction of light segment: $K^{-1}V_x$,
check if it is perpendicular to $K^{-1}V_y$:

If so, car is **translating** →


Find vanishing line $l = (V_x, V_y)$.

Plane π is parallel to backprojection of l
 $[K, 0]^T l$, to find distance from camera to
plane π use theorem of cosines.


OR

Localize both light segments in 3D from
image knowing direction $K^{-1}V_x$ and size
(use, e.g., theorem of cosines)

V_y OR use time-to-impact



2. car steering with constant curvature: image



2. car steering with constant curvature: method

Find intersection points C' and V_y ,
 direction $K^{-1}C'$ is parallel to plane π ,
 check if $K^{-1}C'$ is perpendicular to $K^{-1}V_y$:

If NOT so, car is **steering** \rightarrow


Find image b' of bisecting line b^* ,

To find vanishing line $l = (V_x, V_y)$ search for
 a point V_x along b' such that $K^{-1}V_x$ is
 perpendicular to $K^{-1}V_y$.

Plane π is parallel to backprojection of l
 $[K, 0]^T l$, to find distance from camera to
 plane π use theorem of cosines.

* b' : polar of V_y wrt (degenerate) conic $h \cup k$

$$b' = (hk^T + kh^T)V_y$$




3. car undergoes a free displacement

a. The camera is calibrated (K known), at least 3 images are needed

Use the two dof of the orientation of (i.e., the normal direction \mathbf{n} to) the plane π

Backproject the three imaged segments onto the plane π

Find \mathbf{n} such that the lengths of the backprojected segments are equal.



3. free car motion and known \mathbf{K}

Use the two dof of the orientation of (i.e., the normal direction \mathbf{n} to) the plane π , e.g.,

$$\mathbf{n} = [a \quad 1 \quad b]^T / \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + 1}$$

Backproject the three imaged segments (six imaged endpoints $\mathbf{p}' = [x \quad y \quad 1]^T$) onto plane π using two vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{n} of unit lengths:

$$\mathbf{i} = [1 \quad -a \quad 0]^T / \sqrt{a^2 + 1} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{j} = [ab \quad -b \quad a^2 + 1]^T / (\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + 1} \sqrt{a^2 + 1})$$

$$\text{and } \mathbf{p} = \text{Backprojection } (\mathbf{p}') = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i}^T \\ \mathbf{j}^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{p}' / (\mathbf{n}^T \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{p}')$$

Length of the backprojection of a segment $\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{q}'$ is $d_{pq} = |\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}|$

Find (a, b) such that the lengths of the three backprojected segments are equal.



Restrictions:

This method, that uses just the images of the car lights, only works if there is enough PERSPECTIVE.

Otherwise, if viewing rays are practically parallel, the above data are not sufficient, and additional information is needed, symmetric elements on another plane

Suggestions:

set up experiment with enough perspective, i.e., place camera with good inclination and allow sufficient motion between used frames (possibly exploiting intermediate frames to help feature tracking)


first focus on case 1 (car translating straightforward); in this case, also head lights can be used

Extract ground truth from independent information (e.g., road plane) not used in the method

Car localization






{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}



Use pairs of symmetric features



{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}



Proposal: develop 3 methods

Assume: calibrated camera \rightarrow **K** known

1. Standard localization, from a single image, of the four point set constituted by the back lights and two symmetric points on the licence plate: *this method could be affected by poor perspective.*
2. Localization in the dark, from two images (better use non-consecutive frames in order to increment perspective) for the translational case: used features: two symmetric points of the back lights.
3. Localization based on non-coplanar elements. When, due to poor perspective, (vanishing points close to the ∞) the estimate of the horizontal inclination angle (i.e., rotation angle about vertical axis) is inaccurate, use the apparent difference of the images of two elements, that are symmetric in the real world.




1. Standard localization

From the CAD model of the vehicle, determine the relative positions of two symmetric vertices of the license plate, and two symmetric points of the rear lights (e.g., the two nearest points) and localize from Homography

$$[\mathbf{r}_1 \quad \mathbf{r}_2 \quad \mathbf{o}_\pi] = \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$$



{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}






2. «Nighttime» localization from image pairs


From vehicle CAD model, determine the relative positions of two symmetric points of the rear lights (e.g., the two nearest points) and use localization method described in the 3 following slides



{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}



2. car translating forwards: image



2. car translating forwards: method assumes visible perspective effects

Find intersection points V_x and V_y ,
3D direction of light segment: $K^{-1}V_x$,
check if it is perpendicular to $K^{-1}V_y$:

If so, car is **translating** →


Find vanishing line $l = (V_x, V_y)$.

Plane π is parallel to backprojection of l
 $[K, 0]^T l$, to find distance from camera to
plane π use theorem of cosines.

OR

Localize both light segments in 3D from
image knowing direction $K^{-1}V_x$ and size
(use, e.g., theorem of cosines)

V_y OR use time-to-impact



3. Localization under poor perspective using out-of-plane symmetric features

Localization under poor perspective using out-of-plane symmetric features

From cad model determine the positions of A, B, C, D, E, F and estimate the pose (position and orientation) of the car wrt the camera






{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}

Localization under poor perspective using out-of-plane symmetric features

Segments AB, CD and EF are parallel and symmetric. Points A, B, C, and D are on the back plane. Points E, F are out of plane.



{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}



Standard localization

Given the coordinates of points A, B, C, D on the back plane, and their image (taken by a calibrated camera) estimate position of the back plane relative to the camera: standard homography-based method: $[\mathbf{r}_1 \quad \mathbf{r}_2 \quad \mathbf{o}_\pi] = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{H}$






{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}

standard homography-based method can **fail** with poor perspective (e.g. when the vanishing point is close to the ∞) \rightarrow use **iterative method**


1° estimate: vanishing point $V_X = \text{line}(A'B') \cap \text{line}(C'D')$ \rightarrow direction of AB = $\mathbf{K}^{-1}V_X$
From length \overline{AB} , direction of AB and images A', B' \rightarrow 3D position of AB, same for CD
 \rightarrow position estimation of back plane \rightarrow from ϕ , find vertical direction wrt camera




{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}




model



Rotation angle ϑ of the model E,A,B,F within the horizontal plane (rotation about the vertical axis): angle between x-axis of the camera and direction of AB (or CD or EF)




Rotation angle ϑ btw x-axis of camera and direction of
AB (or CD or EF)



Instead of considering true points E, A, B, F we use vertically translated points, such that their z coords = to z coord of camera center O, and the images of these translated points* \rightarrow all points are on a horizontal plane through O \rightarrow Problem becomes 2D \rightarrow 1D projection: one unknown ϑ .


Use geometry to find angle ϑ .

* Translated model points and their images



To find image of vertically translated points

- use estimated vertical vanishing point V_z
- derive estimated horizontal vanishing line l'_∞
- use new image points, e.g. $A'' = l'_\infty \cap \text{line}(V_z, A')$



* used image points

model

image



{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}

... to vanishing point V_Z

Find useful symmetric points from light contours

Two methods:

- Tangency points to bitangent lines (i.e. lines tangent to both light contours)
- Points, that are colinear to the vanishing point of the «x»-direction of the car

Find USEFUL symmetric points from light contours

1. Tangency points to bitangent lines



Find the contours of the lights.

Find a bitangent line, i.e. tangent to both contours → tangency points P and Q are symmetric pts

- Bitangents don't need to precompute the vanishing point
- Bitangency points are likely to be USEFUL points, i.e. points whose 3D coordinates are known, e.g., from the 2D CAD model of the vehicle

Find (USEFUL) symmetric points from light contours


2. Points colinear to vanishing point



- Find the contours of the lights.
- Find two nearest points on the lights
- Find vanishing point by intersecting the license plate line with line joining the two closest points
- Take a point P on the contour of the (left) light
- Join P with the vanishing point $V \rightarrow$ line l
- The point Q symmetric to P is the intersection between line l and the contour of the (right) light

Find USEFUL symmetric points from light contours

2. Points colinear to vanishing point



- Need to precompute the vanishing point V
- Requires continuous update during the iterative refinement of position estimate: each time the orientation estimate is refined, the vanishing point V changes \rightarrow Q point changes as well

Point P on the light contour is USEFUL only if its 3D coordinates are known \rightarrow P must be selected, such that it can be matched with a measurable point in the 2D CAD model

Find USEFUL symmetric points from light contours

2. Points colinear to vanishing point



Point P on the light contour is USEFUL only if its 3D coordinates are known \rightarrow P must be selected, such that it can be matched with a measurable point in the 2D CAD model.
E.g., P can be placed where the light contour intersects a modeled curve C

C

Iterative refinement of pose estimate

- From current estimate of vertical rotation angle ϑ
- Update estimated direction of segment AB (and CD)
- From new direction estimates, localize AB and CD in 3D, and update estimate of the back plane (plane through A, B, C, D) and –from known angle ϕ - update both bottom vertical bounding plane and horizontal ground plane (relative to camera)
- Use calibration matrix to update estimates of vertical vanishing point V_z and horizontal vanishing line l'_∞
- Use updated V_z and l'_∞ to generate updated estimate of angle ϑ

ITERATE UNTIL CONVERGENCE

How to find (and update) symmetric points?



- Find two closest points on the lights
- Find vanishing point by intersecting the license plate line with line joining the two points
- Take a point P on the contour of the (left) light
- Join P with the vanishing point $V \rightarrow$ line l
- The point Q symmetric to P is the intersection between line l and the contour of the (right) light
- to update symmetric point Q , at each iteration update the vanishing point V and recompute Q

If **visible**, also use the mirror in a PnP standard localization method: due to the depth of the point set, this should provide an accurate estimate

image



{'Scion xD Hatchback 2012': '100.00%'}