STA2601 Applied Satistics II

Chapter 1

Integral is the distribution function, or cumulative probability function, $F_X(x)$ ${\it Derivative}$ is the probability function, or probability density function $f_x(x)$

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$



Positive Skew

Exponential distribution: $\frac{1}{k}e^{-\overline{k}}$ parameter is k.

$$\mu = \mathrm{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \; dx$$

$$\sigma^2 = \mathrm{E}(X-\mu)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-\mu)^2 f_X(x) \; dx$$

$$\mathsf{Var}(X+Y) = \mathsf{Var}(X) + \mathsf{Var}(Y) + 2\mathsf{Cov}(X,Y).$$

$$\begin{split} Cov(X,Y) &= E\left[\left(X - E\left[X \right] \right) \left(Y - E\left[Y \right] \right) \right] \\ &= E\left[XY \right] - E\left[X \right] E\left[Y \right]. \end{split}$$

Study Unit 2

- ightarrow Unbiased estimator $\mathrm{E}(T)=\theta$
- $\rightarrow Var(cX) = c^2 Var(X)$
- → Most efficient estimator has the smallest variance.

Least squares estimation:

1)
$$Q(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbf{E}(X_i))^2$$

- 2) Replace $E(X_i)$ with given θ
- 3) Take partials $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_i}$
- 4) Set partials = 0 and solve for each $\hat{\theta}_i$ then solve simultaneousness equations.

Maximum likelihood estimation: (MLE)

1) Get likelihood function
$$L(\theta) = f_X(X_1,\theta) f_X(X_2,\theta) \dots f_X(X_n,\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(X_i,\theta)$$

- 2) Take log of likelihood function if needed
- 3) Take partials $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta}$
- 4) Set partials = 0 and solve for $\hat{\theta}$! Remember hat $\hat{\theta}$

		Decision based on the data		
		Do not reject H ₀	Reject H ₀	
The true state	H_0 is true	Good decision	Type I error a	
of nature	H_1 is true	Type II error β	Good decision	

Significance level $\alpha = P(H_0 \text{ is rejected}|H_0 \text{ is true})$

Power of the test $1 - \beta = P($ not rejecting $H_0|H_1$ is true)

Confidence level $1-\alpha$

- If this p-value is very small, \overline{x} is said to be highly significant (usually if $p \ll \alpha$).
- If the *p*-value is fairly small, \overline{x} is said to be significant (usually if $p < \alpha$).
- If the *p*-value is large, \overline{x} is said to be not significant (usually if $p > \alpha$).

$$\begin{split} &\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sigma}\right)^2 \\ &Var(X) = \mathbf{E}(X_i^2) - [\mathbf{E}(X_i)]^2 \\ &\bar{X} \sim n\left(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \quad Z = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta)}{\sigma} \end{split}$$

Theorem 1.4

Let U and V be independent variates such that $U \sim n \, (0; \ 1)$ and $V \sim \chi_d^2$ and let

$$T = rac{U}{\sqrt{V/d}}.$$
 Then $T \sim t_d.$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(X_i^2) &= \theta + \mu^2 \quad \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}^2) = \frac{\theta}{n} + \mu^2 \\ Var(X_i) &= E(X_i^2) - \mu^2 \\ \Longrightarrow E(X_i^2) &= Var(X_i) + \mu^2 \\ &= \theta + \mu^2 \\ \\ Var(\overline{X}) &= E(\overline{X}^2) - \mu^2 \\ \Longrightarrow E(\overline{X}^2) &= Var(\overline{X}) + \mu^2 \\ &= \frac{\theta}{n} + \mu^2 \end{split}$$

Study Unit 4

Van der Waerden's formula: $\frac{r_i}{n+1}$

χ^2 Goodness-of-fit test:

$$Y^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(N_i - n\pi_i)^2}{n\pi_i}$$

k number of intervals

 N_i is then number of items falling into the interval calculated from $X_i=\sigma Z+\mu$ $n\pi_i$ is interval weighting X number of observations.

If $n\pi_i < 5$ then pool two or more cells

 $Y^2 \sim \chi^2_{k-1}$ if distribution is fully specified, otherwise $Y^2 \sim \chi^2_{k-1-r}$ where r is number of unknown parameters.

Hypotheses:

 H_0 : The sample comes from a $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution

 H_1 : The sample does not come from a $n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution

Reject null hypothesis if $Y^2 > \chi^2_{k-1-r}$

Compute the MLEs based on the ungrouped data. Compute Y^2 as before.

If
$$Y^2 < \chi^2_{\alpha;k-r-1}$$
 : do not reject H_0

If
$$Y^2 > \chi^2_{\alpha:k-1}$$
 : reject H_0

If
$$\chi^2_{\alpha:k-r-1} < Y^2 < \chi^2_{\alpha:k-1}$$
 : decision uncertain

$$\mu$$
 known:
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma \left(X_i - \mu \right)^2$$

$$\sigma^2$$
 known:
$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma X_i = \overline{X}$$

$$\mu$$
 and σ^2 unknown: $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma X_i = \overline{X}$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2$$

Use above when testing grouped data from a normal distribution because MLE's are difficult to compute.

Method of moments test for normality

Must perform two hypotheses tests

Skewness

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\mu_3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{\mu_3}{(\mu_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

Hypotheses:

 H_0 : $B_1=0$ i.e. symmetric

ightarrow One-sided H_1 : $B_1 < 0$ Reject if $B_1 < -TableValue$ or H_1 : $B_1 > 0$ Reject if $B_1 > TableValue$. 5% level

 \Rightarrow Two-sided $H_1 \colon B_1 \neq 0$ Reject if $|B_1| > TableValue.$ 10% level

Kurtosis

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} = \frac{\mu_4}{\sigma^4}$$

Hypotheses:

 H_0 : $B_2=3$ i.e. from normal distribution

 $B_2>3$ leptokurtic

 $B_2 < 3 \; {\rm platykurtic}$

If the alternative is $\beta_2 < 3$, reject H_0 at the 5% level if $B_2 <$ lower 5% point in table B.

If the alternative is $\beta_2 > 3$, reject H_0 at the 5% level if $B_2 >$ upper 5% point in table B.

If the alternative is $\beta_2 \neq 3$, reject H_0 at the 10% level if $B_2 <$ lower 5% point or if $B_2 >$ upper 5% point in table B.

Use standardised mean deviation to test kurtosis if sample size is smaller than 50, statistic A Same hypotheses as B_2 , 4th moment test.

$$\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2$$

Study Unit 5

Contingency Table Analysis

Fixed Grand total:

The null hypothesis of independence is

$$H_0: \pi_{ij} = \pi_{i\cdot}\pi_{\cdot j}; \quad i=1,...,h; \quad j=1,...,k.$$

Fixed row or column totals:

The null hypothesis of independence is that the probability of falling into category i is the same for all k populations:

$$H_0: \pi_{i1} = \pi_{i2} = ... = \pi_{ik}$$
 for $i = 1, ..., h$.

Test Statistic:
$$e_{ij} = \frac{N_{i.}N_{.j}}{N}$$

 $Y^2 = \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\left(N_{ij} - e_{ij}\right)^2}{e_{ij}}. \quad \text{Under the null hypothesis the distribution of } Y^2 \text{ is approximately that of } \chi^2 \\ \text{with } (h-1)\left(k-1\right) \text{ degrees of freedom.}$

Two sided test only i.e. $|Y^2|$.

Don't forget to pool $\mathbf{e_{ii}} < \mathbf{5}$

Exact test for 2x2 table

Discreet Distribution

Find smallest row/column as k then smallest other as n and x is the cell frequency.

H0: There is no association between attribute A and Attribute B

H1: Have to figure it out. (Is the value of x unusually to large or small to ascribe to chance.

One sided:

Two sided test $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ reject H_0 if x is a rare event.

Correlation

$$R = \frac{\sum \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)\left(Y_{i} - \overline{Y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2} \sum \left(Y_{i} - \overline{Y}\right)^{2}}}.$$

$$R = \frac{\sum \left(X_{i}Y_{i} - \frac{\left(\sum X_{i}\right)\left(\sum Y_{i}\right)}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\sum X_{i}^{2} - \frac{\left(\sum X_{i}\right)^{2}}{n}\right)\left(\sum Y_{i}^{2} - \frac{\left(\sum Y_{i}\right)^{2}}{n}\right)}}.$$

In using R *X* and *Y* should follow conditions for bivariate normality:

- 1) Marginal normality
- 2) Linearity

Testing for zero correlation $\rho = 0$

$$H_0: \rho = 0$$
 against the alternatives

$$H_1: \rho < 0 \text{ or }$$

$$T=rac{\sqrt{n-2}R}{\sqrt{1-R^2}}$$
 $H_1:
ho>0$ or $H_1:
ho\neq0.$

Student's t-distribution $\sim T_{n-2}$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2 &= \Sigma X_i^2 - (\Sigma X_i)^2 / n \\ \Sigma \left(Y_i - \overline{Y} \right)^2 &= \Sigma Y_i^2 - (\Sigma Y_i)^2 / n \\ \Sigma \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right) \left(Y_i - \overline{Y} \right) &= \Sigma X_i Y_i - (\Sigma X_i) \left(\Sigma Y_i \right) / n. \end{split}$$

Correlation between X and Y is the same for any linear transformation of X or Y with positive coefficients.

Fisher's Z-transformation for $\rho \neq 0$

Theorem 5.5

Let ${\it R}$ be the sample correlation coefficient of a random sample from a bivariate normal distribution.

Let
$$U = \frac{1}{2}\log_e \frac{1+R}{1-R}$$
 and $\eta = \frac{1}{2}\log_e \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}$.

Then, for large samples, $Z=\sqrt{n-3}\,(U-\eta)$ is approximately a $n\,(0;\,1)$ variate.

 $H_0: \rho = \rho_0$ against

 $H_1: \rho > \rho_0$ or

 $H_1: \rho < \rho_0$ or

 $H_1: \rho \neq \rho_0$ we compute

Confidence interval for ho

$$\rho = \frac{e^{\eta} - e^{-\eta}}{e^{\eta} + e^{-\eta}} = \tanh(\eta)$$

Do algebraic transformation on $1-\alpha=P(a< Z< b)$ with Z =(fisher transform) and then use to get interval OR use table X inversely by linear interpolation.

Equality of two R

$$H_0$$
: $\rho_1 = \rho_2$

If
$$ho_1=
ho_2$$
, that is $\eta_1=\eta_2$, then $Z=\dfrac{U_1-U_2}{\sqrt{\dfrac{1}{n_1-3}+\dfrac{1}{n_2-3}}}$ is approximately $n\left(0;\ 1\right)$.

Study Unit 6

Single sample

Hypothesis testing

We want to test the null hypothesis $\mathbf{H}_0{:}~\sigma^2{=}~\mathbf{c}.$

(a) μ known

The procedure is based on the statistic $U=\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \left(X_i-\mu\right)^2/c$ which, if H_0 is true, is a χ^2_n variate.

If $\Sigma (X_i - \mu)^2$ is small, it is an indication that σ^2 is small and vice versa. We reject $H_0: \sigma^2 = c$ against the alternatives

(i)
$$H_1:\sigma^2
eq c$$
 if $U<\chi^2_{1- frac12lpha;n}$ or $U>\chi^2_{ frac12lpha;n}$

(ii)
$$H_1: \sigma^2 < c$$
 if $U < \chi^2_{1-\alpha;n}$

(iii)
$$H_1: \sigma^2 > c$$
 if $U > \chi^2_{\alpha;n}$.

(b) μ unknown

The procedure is based on the statistic $U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 / c$ which, if H_0 is true, is a χ^2_{n-1} variate.

We reject $H_0: \sigma^2 = c$ against the alternatives

(i)
$$H_1:\sigma^2
eq c$$
 if $U<\chi^2_{1- frac12\alpha;n-1}$ or $U>\chi^2_{ frac12\alpha;n-1}$

(ii)
$$H_1:\sigma^2 < c$$
 if $U < \chi^2_{1-\alpha;n-1}$

(iii)
$$H_1: \sigma^2 > c$$
 if $U > \chi^2_{\alpha:n-1}$.

Two independent samples

$$F = \frac{\left(\chi_{n_1-1}^2\right) / (n_1 - 1)}{\left(\chi_{n_2-1}^2\right) / (n_2 - 1)}$$

yields test statistic

$$F = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} \cdot \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \sim F_{n_1 - 1; n_2 - 1}.$$

Hypotheses:

$$H_0: \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_2^1} = c$$

$$H_1: \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^1} \neq c$$

$$H_1: \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_2^1} < c$$

$$H_1: \frac{\sigma_2^{\bar{2}}}{\sigma_2^1} > c$$

$$F \sim F_{f;g}$$
 then $rac{1}{F} \sim F_{g;f}$

Paired observations

$$H_0:\sigma_1^2=\sigma_2^2$$

$$T = \sqrt{n - 2} \frac{U_{11} - U_{22}}{2\sqrt{U_{11}U_{22} - U_{12}^2}}$$

has a t_{n-2} distribution provided $H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ is true.

$$U_{11} = \sum (X_{1j} - \bar{X_1})^2$$

$$U_{22} = \sum (X_{2j} - \bar{X_2})^2$$

$$U_{12} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (X_{1j} - \bar{X_1})(X_{2j} - \bar{X_2})$$

Multiply out to get calculator formulas.

More than two independent samples

$$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \dots = \sigma_k^2$$
 against the alternative $H_1: \sigma_p^2 \neq \sigma_q^2$ for at least one $p \neq q$.

Test Statistic

$$U = \underset{i}{\max} S_i^2 / \underset{i}{\min} S_i^2$$

n-1 degrees of freedom, n= size of samples.

k = number of sample variances.

Study Unit 7

One Sample Problem

- (a) \overline{X} is a $n\left(\mu;\ \sigma^2/n\right)$ variate, that is $\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{X}-\mu\right)/\sigma$ is a $n\left(0;\ 1\right)$ variate;
- (b) $(n-1) S^2/\sigma^2$ is a χ^2_{n-1} variate;
- known variance
- (c) \overline{X} and S^2 are independent;

(d)
$$T=\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{X}-\mu\right)/S$$
 is a t_{n-1} variate.

95% Tolerance Interval: 95% confidence that at least 90% of the population data lie between x and y.

Power of the test.

Given that Z_0 is standard normal Solve for \bar{X} at significance level.

Then use $\beta = P(type | II error) = P(H_0 | is not rejected | H_1 | is true)$

and standardise using do not reject signs and get probability

Then power of test is $1-\beta$

Two-sample problem, independent samples, same variance

Theorem 7.2

$$T = rac{U}{\sqrt{rac{W}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)}}} \sim t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

where
$$T = \frac{\left[\left(\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2\right) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)\right] / \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}{\sqrt{\left[\left(n_1 - 1\right)S_1^2 + \left(n_2 - 1\right)S_2^2\right] / \left(n_1 + n_2 - 2\right)}}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2\right) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

and
$$S_p^2 = \frac{\left[\left(n_1-1\right)S_1^2+\left(n_2-1\right)S_2^2\right]}{\left(n_1+n_2-2\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\left[\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n_1}\left(X_{1j}-\overline{X}_1\right)^2+\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(X_{2j}-\overline{X}_2\right)^2\right]}{\left(n_1+n_2-2\right)}$$

$$H_0: \mu_1-\mu_2=0$$

$$H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$$

Paired observations

Same as one sample problem, by transforming by subtraction, then use $T = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu)}{\varsigma}$

Independent samples with unequal variances

We want to test $H_0: \quad \mu_1-\mu_2=c$ (with c specified) against $H_1: \quad \mu_1-\mu_2\neq c$ or $H_1: \quad \mu_1-\mu_2< c$ or

 $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > c.$

We use the statistic

$$T = \frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2 - c}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

to get degrees of freedom, use formula and the interpolate table III.

$$v = \frac{\left(\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{S_1^4}{n_1^2(n_1 - 1)} + \frac{S_2^4}{n_2^2(n_2 - 1)}}.$$

More than two independent samples One-way ANOVA

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (X_{ij} - \overline{X}_i)^2$$
 $MSE = \frac{SSE}{(kn-k)}$

$$SSTr = n\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\overline{X}_i - \overline{X}\right)^2 = \sigma^2 U \qquad MSTr = \frac{n\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\overline{X}_i - \overline{X}\right)^2}{k-1} = \frac{\sigma^2 U}{(k-1)}$$

Theorem 7.7

$$\operatorname{Let} F = \frac{MSTr}{MSE} = \frac{\sigma^2 U / \left(k-1\right)}{\sigma^2 V / \left(kn-k\right)} = \frac{n \sum\limits_{i=1}^k \left(\overline{X}_i - \overline{X}\right)^2 / \left(k-1\right)}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^k \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \left(X_{ij} - \overline{X}_i\right)^2 / \left(kn-k\right)}.$$

Then $F \sim F_{k-1;kn-k}$ if $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = ... = \mu_k$ is true.

ANOVA table

Source of variation	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F
Treatments	0.675	3	0.225	5.625
Error	0.640	16	0.04	
Total	1.315	19		

Multiple comparisons for ANOVA

$$T_{pq} = \frac{\overline{X}_p - \overline{X}_q}{S\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{X}_p - \overline{X}_q\right)}{\sqrt{2}S}$$

and reject $H_{0}\left(p;q\right) :\mu _{p}=\mu _{q}$ in favour of

 $H_1\left(p;q\right):\mu_p
eq\mu_q$ if $|T_{pq}|$ exceeds a critical value.

$$|T_{pq}| > \sqrt{(k-1) F_{\alpha;k-1;kn-k}}$$

Study Unit 8

The maximum likelihood estimators for $\beta_0,\ \beta_1$ and σ^2 are

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \overline{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{X}$$

$$\hat{eta}_1 = rac{\Sigma Y_i \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)}{\Sigma \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i \right)^2.$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum (X - r\bar{X})(Y - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X - \bar{X})^2}$$

Theorem 8.1

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1$ are jointly normally distributed with

$$E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0$$

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1;$$

$$Var\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}+rac{\overline{X}^{2}}{d^{2}}
ight); \hspace{0.5cm} Var\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=rac{\sigma^{2}}{d^{2}};$$

$$Var\left(\hat{\beta}_1\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{d^2};$$

$$Cov\left(\hat{eta}_{0};\;\hat{eta}_{1}
ight)=rac{-\sigma^{2}\overline{X}}{d^{2}} \qquad \qquad ext{with } d^{2}=\Sigma\left(X_{i}-\overline{X}
ight)^{2}.$$

with
$$d^2 = \Sigma \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2$$

Theorem 8.2

$$\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{\beta}_{0}-\hat{\beta}_{1}X_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\sim\chi_{n-2}^{2}$$

and is independent of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$.

We call $\hat{Y}_i=\hat{eta}_0+\hat{eta}_1X_i$ the *predictions* and $Y_i-\hat{Y}_i=Y_i-\hat{eta}_0-\hat{eta}_1X_i$ the residuals, that is the difference between the observations and predictions.

Inference on the coefficients

Theorem 8.2

$$T_0=rac{\hat{eta}_0-eta_0}{S\sqrt{rac{1}{n}+rac{\overline{X}^2}{d^2}}}$$
 is a t_{n-2} variate.

Theorem 8.3

$$T_1 = rac{\hat{eta}_1 - eta_1}{rac{S}{d}}$$
 is a t_{n-2} variate.

Variance around regression line:

$$S^2 = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \overline{Y}\right)^2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \overline{X}\right)^2}{(n-2)}.$$

Confidence interval for B_0

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0 \pm t_{0.025;\,13} SE(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0)$$

Confidence interval for B_1

$$\widehat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{0.025; 13} SE(\widehat{\beta}_1)$$

Inference on the regression line

$$E\left[\hat{Y}(X)\right] = E\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}\right) + XE\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)$$
$$= \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X$$

$$\begin{split} Var\left[\hat{Y}(X)\right] &= Var\left(\hat{\beta}_0\right) + X^2 Var\left(\hat{\beta}_1\right) + 2Xcov\left(\hat{\beta}_0;\ \hat{\beta}_1\right) \\ &= \sigma^2\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{X}^2}{d^2} + \frac{X^2}{d^2} - \frac{2X\overline{X}}{d^2}\right)\right] \\ &= \sigma^2\left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\left(X - \overline{X}\right)^2}{d^2}\right] \end{split}$$

$$\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1}\boldsymbol{X}\right)\pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2};n-2}S\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{X}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)^{2}}{d^{2}}}$$

Relationship between test for correlation and regression

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2.$$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \Sigma \left(Y_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 \boldsymbol{X}_i \right)^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\sum b_i Y_i) &= \sigma^2 \sum b_i^2 \\ \text{to standardise: } \frac{X - \mathrm{E}(X)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(x)}} \end{aligned}$$