1)

## Stereo Camera:

## Pros:

- 1. Depth Perception: Stereo cameras provide precise depth information, allowing for accurate object localization and obstacle detection.
- 2. Color Information: They capture RGB information, which can be useful for object recognition and classification.
- 3. Cost-Effective: Stereo cameras are often more affordable compared to LiDAR and RADAR systems.
- 4. Low Power Consumption: Stereo cameras typically consume less power compared to LiDAR and RADAR.

#### Cons:

- 1. Limited Range: Stereo cameras have a limited range compared to LiDAR and RADAR, which can be a limitation in certain applications.
- 2. Performance in Adverse Weather: Adverse weather conditions like heavy rain or fog can affect the accuracy of stereo camera perception.
- 3. Vulnerability to Lighting Conditions: Sudden changes in lighting conditions, such as strong shadows, can impact the performance of stereo cameras.
- 4. Occlusion Issues: Stereo cameras may struggle with occluded objects and may not be suitable for scenarios with complex scenes.

## LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging):

#### Pros:

- 1. Long Range: LiDAR systems can detect objects at longer ranges compared to stereo cameras.
- 2. Accurate 3D Mapping: LiDAR provides highly accurate 3D maps of the environment, making it valuable for applications like autonomous vehicles.
- 3. Insensitivity to Lighting: LiDAR is not affected by lighting conditions and can work well in the dark.
- 4. Low False Positive Rate: LiDAR typically has a lower false positive rate compared to stereo cameras.

## Cons:

- 1. High Cost: LiDAR sensors are relatively expensive, which can limit their adoption in some applications.
- 2. Limited Color Information: LiDAR sensors primarily provide distance information and lack color data.
- 3. Vulnerability to Adverse Weather: Heavy rain, snow, or fog can reduce LiDAR's performance.
- 4. Mechanical Parts: Some LiDAR systems use moving parts, which can introduce reliability concerns.

## RADAR (Radio Detection and Ranging):

## Pros:

- 1. All-Weather Operation: RADAR is highly effective in adverse weather conditions, such as rain, fog, and snow.
- 2. Long Range: RADAR can detect objects at long distances, making it suitable for applications like aviation and long-range surveillance.
- 3. Speed Detection: RADAR can measure the velocity of objects accurately.
- 4. Penetrates Obstacles: RADAR can penetrate certain obstacles like foliage, making it useful in various scenarios.

#### Cons:

- 1. Limited Resolution: RADAR provides limited detail in terms of object shape and size compared to LiDAR and stereo cameras.
- 2. Vulnerable to Clutter: RADAR can be affected by clutter, which includes reflections from nearby objects and terrain.
- 3. Limited Object Classification: RADAR is less capable of classifying objects compared to LiDAR and stereo cameras.
- 4. Complex Signal Processing: RADAR data often requires complex signal processing to extract useful information.

The choice between stereo cameras, LiDAR, and RADAR depends on the specific requirements of the perception task and the trade-offs related to cost, range, accuracy, and environmental conditions. Many applications use a combination of these sensors to enhance the robustness and reliability of perception systems.

2) Considering a small team with short budget, I would choice for a solution closest to the plug and play concept, yet allowing some tuning or adjustment to the scenario in study. The difference elements to perform a choice would be the most complet api for instance and power consumption.

# 3) c)

4)

- 1. Perception:
- Computer Vision: Formula Student teams often rely on computer vision techniques to process data from cameras, which are a common sensor for perception.
- Object Detection: YOLO (You Only Look Once) and Faster R-CNN are popular for object detection.
- Lane Detection: Hough Transform, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), or semantic segmentation techniques.
- Object Tracking: Kalman filters, Particle filters, or more modern deep learning-based methods.
- LiDAR Processing: If LiDAR sensors are available, teams might use algorithms to process point cloud data.
- SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping): SLAM algorithms like LIDAR Odometry and Mapping (LOAM) are used for mapping and localization.
- Sensor Fusion: Combining data from multiple sensors, such as cameras, LiDAR, and IMUs (Inertial Measurement Units), is essential for robust perception.
- Kalman Filters: For sensor fusion and state estimation.

# 2. Planning:

- Path Planning:
  - A\* (A-Star): Commonly used for global path planning.
- RRT (Rapidly Exploring Random Tree) or RRT\* for dynamic obstacle avoidance and local planning.
  - MPC (Model Predictive Control): Used for real-time optimization-based planning.
  - Behavior Planning:
- Finite State Machines (FSMs): For high-level decision-making, such as choosing between overtaking, stopping, or following the racing line.
  - Trajectory Generation:
    - Spline-based methods: For generating smooth and continuous trajectories.
    - PID (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) controllers: Often used for tracking trajectories.
  - Obstacle Avoidance:
    - Incorporating algorithms like collision detection and avoidance based on sensor data.

## 3. Control:

- PID Controllers: Widely used for controlling steering, throttle, and brake.
- LQR (Linear Quadratic Regulator): For optimal control in some cases.
- MPC (Model Predictive Control): More advanced control for optimizing the vehicle's performance.
- Neural Network-Based Controllers: Some teams may experiment with neural network controllers for specific tasks.

It's important to note that Formula Student teams often have limited resources and time, so the choice of algorithms may depend on the team's expertise, available sensors, and computing power. Teams may also experiment with custom algorithms and tailor them to the unique challenges presented by autonomous driving in a Formula Student competition. Additionally, the field of autonomous driving is rapidly evolving, and teams may incorporate more recent developments and algorithms as they become available.

Pedro Ferreira, 222256