Usability review

https://vaccination-info.eu/en

Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the overall user experience.

Score

N/A = not applicable or can't be assessed

Features & functionality

1 Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives.

Good

Features and functionality support users desired workflows.

Good

Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available).

Good

4 Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users).

Excellent

5 Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable.

Excellent

Homepage / starting page

The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available.

Good

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Good
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Excellent
Nav	rigation	
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Good
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Moderate
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Moderate
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Moderate
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Good
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Good
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Excellent

16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Good
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Good
Sea	arch	
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Good
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi- parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Moderate
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Poor
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Poor
Co	ntrol & feedback	
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Poor
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Excellent
24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Good

Forms

Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with Very poor clear numbers or named stages. A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone Very poor number). Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated. Very poor Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated. Very poor Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary. **Excellent Errors** Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. Very poor adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.). Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary. Very poor Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible Very poor prevented.

33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Very poor
Cor	ntent & text	
34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	Moderate
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Excellent
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	Good
37	Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	Good
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Good
Hel	р	
39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand languagge and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Good
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Good
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Good

Performance

Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).

Excellent

Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.

Excellent

Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.

Excellent

Overall usability score (out of 100) *

^{*} Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to c

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the use

^{*} Good (between 69 and 89) - Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vas

^{*} Excellent (more than 89) - This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all in

Comments

Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users.

Purpose achived, to provied detailed information about the vaccination in EU.
But content of the web it is not so clear and must be rearrenged The design of
the web should be more attractive.

Starting from homepage, clicking on subcategories we come to the final desired information in the site hierarchy, except in this case: in searching engine **no results** found for desired information.

Mostly yes, but with some issues.

There are existing external supporting links in the site

Relevant call to action with general intention available: read more; load more.

Not very clear functionalities, it is necesary card sorting technique for the main menu.

Weighting (out of 5)	Weighting ratio	Rating (0 - 5)	Score	Out of
5	100%	4	4	5
5	100%	4	4	5
4	80%	4	3.2	4
3	60%	5	3	3
3	60%	5	3	3
3	60%	4	2.4	3

Except 2 links for home page goes to the same URL: the logo and the EUROPIAN VACCINATIONAL PORTAL					
	4	80%	4	3.2	4
No white space on home page, page formatted with visual hierarchy, visual followes with right sequence, no focal point to distract the visual flow.	3	60%	5	3	3
		0070		0	0
Easy to access in all search engines.					
	2	40%	4	1.6	2
Search bar can be bigger, so better visible	4	80%	3	2.4	4
When browse by name, results are redirected to another links and not relevant to the search subject.	3	60%	3	1.8	3
Not so easy for all type of people it is a litttle confusing needs to be reorganized.					
	5	100%	3	3	5
Most of links are clear except some small issues.	3	60%	4	2.4	3
All of them function properly.					
	4	80%	4	3.2	4
The path of the current page is clearly indicated.	2	40%	5	2	2
	_	4070		_	_

You can easly go back to home page following the path					
	2	40%	4	1.6	2
There is a sitemap, but could be more detailed.					
	1	20%	4	0.8	1
A consistent search function available positioned on right top.					
	4	80%	4	3.2	4
There is no filtering search in homepage, sort by search availble in					
page,2,3,4,etc	4	80%	3	2.4	4
No matching results for misspellings and abbreviations.					
	2	40%	2	0.8	2
Search results are not so relevant and precise, no order and most of them not					
displayed.	4	80%	2	1.6	4
No feedback is given					
	4	80%	2	1.6	4
No problem at all due to type of website.					
	3	60%	5	3	3
	3	00 /0	J	J	J
Users can give feedback via emai, message icon avaiable next to facebook,twitter,youtube icons					
	1	20%	4	0.8	1

No forms available	1					
		3	60%	1	0.6	3
No forms availabledue to the type of the web site						
		2	40%	1	0.4	2
No forms.						
		2	40%	1	0.4	2
No forms available						
		3	60%	1	0.6	3
Everywhere in the site information with external links are available.						
		3	60%	5	3	3
There is not errror mesaes next to the input field]					
		4	80%	1	0.8	4
There is not error messages]					
		3	60%	1	0.6	3
There is not so much error messages]					
		3	60%	1	0.6	3

No error mesages available.	3	60%	1	0.6	3
Images and available. Text ist too much.	5	100%	3	3	5
Several useful and relevant external websites available.	2	40%	5	2	2
Information isundersatndable but is also used medical terminology that can result in difficult comprehension for a lot of people.	4	80%	4	3.2	4
Terms, lenguage and tone used are concistent	3	60%	4	2.4	3
Text is clearly legible and scanable, except the font size should be a little bigger, and the contrast should bearranged	3	60%	4	2.4	3
Almost all the site is an online help for information about vaccination, information about roadmaps for vaccines, guidnes how vaccines					
works, covid actual information, vaccine schedualle, etc Iformational help provide to different external links.	4	80%	4	3.2	4
Helpfull external links provided for more information	3	60%	4	2.4	3
,	3	60%	4	2.4	3

Email icon available in every page next to the other icons for social media
The site is easy to run and no long delays.
Not at all.
Not at all.
Resolution can be controlled.

Moderate

complete a significant number of important tasks.

- e some important tasks.
- r experience could be significantly improved.
- st majority of important tasks.

nportant tasks on the site or system.

2	1.2	3	40%	2
4	4	5	80%	4
4	4	5	80%	4
3	3	5	60%	3
144	98.8			5

Usability guidelines

Importance

Features & functionality

1	Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives Key and common user goals and objectives (e.g. carry out some transaction, find some information, carry out some research etc) should have been identified and addressed. Ideally the site or application should allow users to meet all of their key goals and objectives.	Very high
2	Features and functionality support users desired workflows The site or application should support or at least be compatible with the way that users wish to work. For example, users might want to be able to carry out bulk transactions or be able to save and return to their work.	Very high
3	Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported For example short cuts and a login to retrieve details might be provided to speed up the completion of frequently carried out tasks.	High
4	Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise For example, novice users are given help and instructions and features are progressively disclosed (e.g. advanced features not being shown by default).	Medium
5	Calls to action (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable Possible actions should always be clear and the primary call to action (i.e. the most common or desirable user action) should stand out on the page or screen.	Medium

Homepage / starting page

6	The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available For example, an introduction and overview of the site is provided together with section snapshots and example content.	Medium
7	The homepage / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks Users should be able to work out where they need to go to complete a given task (e.g. carry out some research, complete a transaction).	High
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space' Users should be able to quickly scan the homepage and make sense of both the content available and of how the site is structured.	Medium

Navigation

9	Users can easily access the site or application	Low
	For example, the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines. If a user attempts to find the site via a search engine, it	
	should ideally be returned on the first page of search results for likely queries.	

10	The navigational scheme is easy to find, intuitive and consistent	High
	Users should be able to very easily locate and use the navigational scheme (e.g. left hand menu, top menu, tabbed menu), and it	
	should not be significantly different across the site or application (unless a decision has been made to specifically differentiate a given section or area).	
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means	Medium
	For example a user might want to be able to search for an item or browse by size, name or type. Although not all user	
	preferences can or indeed should be addressed, the most useful and common navigational means should be supported.	
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals	Very high
	For example, gathering information, submitting data, carrying out research. Users should be able to work out where they need to	
	go to carry out common user goals and be able to quickly gain an understanding of how the site or application is structured.	
13	Links are clear, descriptive and well labelled	Medium
	Links should be clearly 'clickable' (e.g. underlined or colourised) and it should be clear to users where any given link goes to.	
	Non-descriptive links such as 'click here' should be avoided and any links going to an external website or opening a new window should be identified as such.	
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported	High
	Users should be able to bookmark a page (or be presented with a URL to use) and go back and forth without breaking the site or	J
	losing any information they have entered.	
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item)	Low
	Users should always know where they are in the site or application.	
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point	Low
	For example, a homepage link might be part of the breadcrumb or a home link might be available as part of the header.	
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary)	Very low
	The sitemap might be part of the header or footer and should ideally be available from every page on the site.	

Search

18	A consistent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout The search function (where required) should be directly available from most pages on the site or application and should be consistently positioned (e.g. top left, top right or top centre).	High
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals For example users are able to filter search results, an advanced search is available (if necessary) and common search conventions such as quotation marks (") and natural language searches are handled.	High
20	The search facility deals well with common searches, misspellings and abbreviations Ideally synonyms (e.g. 'coat' should also match 'jacket') should mean that logical and appropriate search results are returned for common user queries. Popular search results (e.g. top matches) should also be identified for common queries.	Low
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed It should be easy for users to see what has been returned, to work out why something has been returned and to determine how many results there are.	High

Control & feedback

22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given	High
	For example, a confirmation message is shown following a successful transaction, input errors are promptly highlighted and it's	
	made clear to users when a page has been updated.	
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change, or cancel actions	Medium
	If an action can not be undo then users should at least be given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before	
	placing an order). For example, users can return to a step and change their options or dynamically change a value without having	
	to start again. Where an action can't be undone (e.g. a deletion), this should be made clear to users.	
24	Users can easily give feedback	Very low
	For example, via email or an online feedback / contact us form. There should be an indication of how long users can expect to	
	wait for a response if a query has been made.	

Forms

25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections For example, a checkout process might be broken up in to 'address', 'delivery options', 'payment' and 'confirmation'. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Medium
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where necessary justification is given for asking for information For example a site might outline that a telephone number is required in case there is an issue with a transaction. Users shouldn't be asked for extraneous information and where possible information should be auto populated (e.g. postcode lookup, code lookup) to keep input to a minimum.	Low
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated (e.g. using text or '*') Where most fields are required the optional fields should be identified and when most fields are optional the required fields should be identified.	Low
28	Appropriate input fields are used and required formats are indicated Appropriate input fields might include calendar for date selection, drop downs for selection and radio button for small selections. Text might be used to indicate the required format or an example might be provided. Field lengths should correspond to the expected input so for example an email input field should be long, where as an initials input field should be very short.	Medium
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary Where input is non trivial or is likely to require some explanation this should be provided. Where a-lot of explanation is necessary a link to a page outlining what is required should be provided.	Medium

Errors

30	Errors are clear, easily identified and appear in appropriate locations	High
	Errors should be immediately apparent to users and ideally be located close to the offending input or function (e.g. adjacent to an	_
	input entry field). Inputs causing an error should be highlighted, together with an explanation for the error.	
31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is	Medium
	necessary	
	Errors should avoid using very technical terms or jargon and should be written from the user's perspective.	
32	Common user errors have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented	Medium
	Common user errors might be missing fields, invalid formats and invalid selections. For example, fields might limit input to	
	particular a format (e.g. numbers only) or only become available once certain criteria have been met. JavaScript might also be	
	utilised to provide immediate feedback for common formatting errors or errors caused by missing fields.	
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors	Medium
	For example, users might be able to re-edit and resubmit a form or enter a different value.	

Content & text

34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video, audio) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user	
	goals	
	Content should also be appropriately formatted, so for example videos and audio should be directly playable (i.e. shouldn't need	
	to be downloaded to be played) and images should be of a sufficient quality.	
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages, external websites or documents) are available and shown	Low
	in context	
	For example there might be links from an article to related articles, related content or related external websites.	
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience	High
	Jargon should be kept to a minimum and plain language should be used where ever possible.	
37	Terms, language and tone used are consistent (e.g. the same term is used throughout)	Medium
	Capitalisation (e.g. 'Main title'; 'Main Title'; 'MAIN TITLE') and grammar should be consistent, together with the use of formal or	
	informal terms (e.g. could not vs couldn't; what's vs what is etc).	
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast	Medium
	Users should be able to quickly scan headers and body text, in order to get an overview of what's available.	

Help

39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base Help should be written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms. Users should be able to easily find and access help and where appropriate contextual help should be available, such as help for a specific page, feature or process.	High
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language Help should cover the essentials without providing excessive detail and shouldn't use jargon or technical terminology that isn't likely to be understood by users.	Medium

41	Accessing online help does not impede users Users should be able to resume work where they left off after accessing help. Ideally help should be available directly on a page or using a new window. If help is provided in the form of a document, it should be formatted for the web (e.g. PDF, rather than a Word document).	Medium
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address)	Low
	If a telephone help number is provided the hours of operation should be shown. If an email address or online form is provided, an	
	indication should be given of how long a response is likely to take (e.g. within the next 24 hrs).	

Performance

43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays)	High
	Web page downloads shouldn't take longer than 5 seconds and on page interactions (e.g. using an application or AJAX	
	functionality) shouldn't take any longer than 1 second to respond. Interactions taking longer than 1 second to respond should	
	provide suitable feedback to show that something is taking place (e.g. an hour glass or swirling graphic).	
44	Errors and reliability issues don't inhibit the user experience	Medium
	Sites and applications should be free of bugs and shouldn't have any broken links.	
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported	Medium
	Websites should be usable at a 800x600 screen resolution and should work with the most common browsers (IE, Firefox, Opera,	
	Chrome etc). Applications should be usable with common computer specifications (operation system, memory, available disk	
	space) and screen resolutions (e.g. 800x600, 1025x768).	

Rating below	Rating	Rating ranges		
0				
1	Very Poor	less than	29	
29	Poor	between	29 and 4	19
49	Moderate	between	49 and 6	39
69	Good	between	69 and 8	39
89	Excellent	more than	89	