# Linux-capable RISC-V CPU for IOb-SoC

# Pedro Nuno de Melo Antunes pedronmantunes@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisboa, Portugal

November 2022

#### Abstract

The recent appearance of the RISC-V ISA opened many exciting possibilities for building processor-based systems without the need to license the base architecture from providers like Arm Holdings (Arm  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Running applications on bare metal RISC-V machines is a good starting point, but an OS is required to ease the developers' efforts for more complex applications. Linux is a well-polished OS since people have been using it for over three decades. The problem is that open-source SoC platform solutions that run Linux and simultaneously are modular and configurable do not exist. This work aims to create an SoC capable of executing a Linux OS. The author based the work on IOb-SoC, a modular and configurable open-source SoC platform that only runs bare-metal applications. This project achieves its goals by changing the IOb-SoC CPU and adding three hardware peripherals. Additionally, the author develops software solutions that improve the IOb-SoC platform, complement the hardware components created and enhance the hardware to allow the execution of a complete OS in a new SoC called IOb-SoC-Linux. The size of IOb-SoC-Linux is only marginally above that of the original IOb-SoC and can run in most low-cost FPGAs. The Linux OS takes four minutes and thirty seconds to build. The kernel boots in a  $Kintex\ Ultrascale$  device in five seconds and in seven seconds in a  $Cyclone\ V$  device. The work developed in this thesis met all the project's goals and went beyond them

Keywords: RISC-V, Linux, Systems on-Chip (SoC), Verilog, IOb-SoC

## 1. Introduction

The availability of fully open-source systems capable of executing an Operating System (OS) is limited. For a long time, the Linux kernel [15] and the open-source software built around it allowed developers to implement a fully open-source Linux OS on their closed-source hardware devices. However, the lack of open-source hardware makes it difficult to develop fully open-source systems. With the appearance of RISC-V [1], open-source hardware availability started growing. Developing a RISC-V System on a chip (SoC) capable of running a Linux OS allows researchers to execute an OS in a fully open-source system. Having a Linux OS running in an SoC enables developers to create new applications for that SoC without worrying about its hardware components. The Linux community is significant, and researchers are used to working with the Linux kernel. Therefore, the requirement for an SoC capable of running Linux is high.

A Linux OS allows using many features unavailable in bare-metal applications. When developers create a bare-metal application, they are limited on software functionalities and must be aware of the SoC hardware characteristics. If developers were

to build an application using Real-Time Operating Systems (RTOS), for example, freeRTOS [2], they would only have access to features such as a scheduler, events, threads, semaphores and message boxes. A Linux OS provides those and more functionalities. A Linux OS implements memory management and protection mechanisms, allows the execution of multiple applications simultaneously, supports various network adapters, and can interact with the user through a terminal. A Linux OS is also more secure than bare-metal or RTOS applications since it limits the user application's access to the machine resources, preventing misuse or damage.

The development of a RISC-V SoC capable of running a Linux OS allows future open-source developments. Such as producing hardware accelerators which work with a Linux OS and integrating them with IOb-SoC-Linux. These, and the possibilities to test in a real-world application, were the main reasons and motivations for developing this thesis.

This study aims to develop an open-source SoC and execute a minimal Linux OS. The SoC developed must derive from the existing IOb-SoC [6].

IOb-SoC is a modular open-source RISC-V SoC that allows researchers to develop their own SoC. The IObundle developers use Verilog [12] to describe IOb-SoC and its peripheral's hardware.

## 2. Must-Have Concepts

This section discusses topics that help understand the technological developments along this thesis project. The developments involve both hardware and software components. As such, there are hardware and software concepts that are important to have before discussing the following chapters.

## 2.1. The $IOb ext{-}SoC$ platform

The IOb-SoC [6] is a System on a chip (SoC) template that eases the creation of a new SoC. The IOb-SoC provides a base Verilog [12] hardware design equipped with an open-source RISC-V processor, an internal SRAM memory subsystem, a UART, and an optional interface to external memory. If the external memory interface is selected, the IOb-SoC will include an instruction L1 cache, a data L1 cache and a shared L2 cache. The L2 cache communicates with a third-party memory controller IP (typically a DDR controller) using an AXI4 [13] master bus.

Figure 1 represents a sketch of the SoC design. This design is valid at the start of this project. During the hardware development the *IOb-SoC* original template suffered a few alterations.




Figure 1: IOb-SoC sketch.

IOb-SoC currently supports two FPGA board models: the Xilinx Kintex UltraScale KU040 Development Board and the Cyclone V GT FPGA Development Kit.

The main *Makefile* in *IOb-SoC* is located at the *IOb-SoC* root directory. The main *Makefile* contains targets that call other *Makefiles* and sets the values for the default frequency, baud rate, FPGA board used and simulator used. The *Makefiles* the main one can call are at the *IOb-SoC* FPA boards, simulators, firmware, "PC" emulation or documen-

tation directory. Each directory in *IOb-SoC* contains a "\*.mk" file which holds "make" variables and targets that complement the *Makefiles*. The *IOb-SoC Makefiles* can include only the "\*.mk" they need.

A *IOb-SoC* **peripheral** should have the following "\*.mk" files to integrate it into *IOb-SoC*:

- the "PERIPHERAL\_REPO/hardware/hardware.mk" so the user can add the peripheral hardware modules to the SoC.
- the "PERIPHERAL\_REPO/software/embedded/embedded.mk" allows the user to use the peripheral firmware drivers.
- the "PERIPHERAL\_REPO/software/pc-emul/pc-emul.mk" permits emulating the peripheral behaviour in the user's computer.

The IOb-SoC request bus comprises a valid bit, an address signal, a data signal and a strobe signal. The hardware sets the valid bit to '1' when it wants to execute a request and has already defined the other signals. The address signal indicates the register that the request is targeting. Figure 2 shows how the IOb-SoC distributes the signals in the request bus. Furthermore, figure 2 also represents the bits equivalent to each signal when the address width and data width are 32 bits. The address and data width in IOb-SoC are 32-bit by default.




Figure 2: Request bus with address and data width equal to 32 bits.

The IOb-SoC response bus contains a ready bit and a data signal. The hardware sets the ready signal to high when the component that made the request can receive the response. The data signal is the response data to the request made. For example, if the CPU wants to read the value in a register at address "x", the data in the response bus will be the data on register "x". Figure 3 shows how the request signal is composed when the address and data width are 32 bits.

The *iob-split* is simply a configurable demultiplexer (DEMUX). The developer can configure it when he instantiates the *iob-split* hardware module. The developer can change the size of the DEMUX and the selection bits through N\_SLAVES and P\_SLAVES, respectively. N\_SLAVES corresponds to the number of slaves. Developers can




Figure 3: Response bus with address and data width equal to 32 bits.

also interpret N\_SLAVES as the number of the DE-MUX outputs. P\_SLAVES indicates the slave select word most significant bit (msb) position. In other words, P\_SLAVES is the position of the msb of the DEMUX selection bits. Equation 1 calculates the number of the selection bits.

$$Nb = log_2(N\_SLAVES) + (log_2(N\_SLAVES) == 0)$$

The *iob-merge* works similar to the *iob-split* but instead of being a DEMUX it is a configurable multiplexer (MUX). Meaning that instead of having multiple outputs and one input, it has multiple inputs and one output. N\_SLAVES indicates the number of inputs, and P\_SLAVES chooses the selection bits.

The *IOb-SoC* bootloader is the first firmware to run on the SoC. Figure 4 represents a flow chart of the bootloader firmware behaviour.




Figure 4: Bootloader firmware flow chart.

## 2.2. RISC-V

RISC-V [1] is a free-to-use, open-source RISC Instruction set architecture (ISA). The RISC-V ISA defines the instructions which a RISC-V compatible CPU can interpret. Those instructions represent the software written in C, Python, or any other programming language to be executed by the CPU.

The RISC-V ISA is divided in two main volumes. The "RISC-V Instruction Set Manual Vol-

ume I" [18] contains the specification for the **unprivileged** instructions. The unprivileged instructions are instructions that do not need any special permission to execute. The "RISC-V Instruction Set Manual Volume II" [17] defines the *RISC-V* **privilege** levels and the instructions that take advantage of them. Table 1 shows the privilege levels currently defined in the *RISC-V* specification. Developers must implement all three privilege levels to run a Unix-like OS.

Level	Name	Abbreviation
0	User/Application	U
1	Supervisor	S
2	Reserved	
3	Machine	M

Table 1: RISC-V privilege levels.

The RISC-V CLINT specification [16] describes the hardware registers of a Core-local Interrupt Controller (CLINT) compatible with RISC-V platforms. The hardware uses the CLINT to generate the inter-processor software and timer interrupts.

The RISC-V systems use the Platform-Level Interrupt Controller (**PLIC**) hardware to gather various device interrupts and have only one external interrupt line per RISC-V Hart context. A PLIC that claims to be a PLIC-Compliant standard PLIC has to follow the RISC-V PLIC specification [4].

In the RISC-V Platform Specification [10] it is defined that every embedded OS is required to have a UART port implementation that is register-compatible with the industry standard **UART16550**. The UART16550 already existed for a long time and developers often use it to connect to an RS-232 interface.

The Supervisor Binary Interface (**SBI**) specification [5] defines an abstraction for platform-specific functionalities. Figure 5 illustrates the purpose of the SBI in a system executing an OS like the one the author is going to develop in this thesis.




Figure 5: RISC-V system running an OS.

OpenSBI is the recommended interface between

a platform-specific firmware running in M-mode and a general-purpose OS executing in S-mode.

Figure 6 shows the various stages a *RISC-V* system has to pass through to fully **boot a Linux OS**.



Figure 6: Stages of the Linux boot on RISC-V on a minimal system.

### 2.3. Open Source Verification tools

Verification tools are essential when developing hardware or software components. Verification tools allow developers to simulate their work before implementing it in real hardware and test new features in a safe environment where the SoC implementation does not use hardware components. In this thesis project, the author has to simulate hardware logic components and platform-independent software. For that purpose there are three types of verification software that the author is going to use: a functional emulator, a cycle-accurate simulator and an event-driven simulator.

Developers can use cycle-accurate and event-driven simulators to simulate the hardware logic designs. Cycle-accurate simulators are suitable for complex hardware designs. An example of a cycle-accurate simulator would be *Verilator*. Event-driven simulators are adequate for small hardware designs. An example of an event-driven simulator would be *Icarus Verilog*.

A functional emulator translates the instructions that were supposed to run on the target architecture to instructions that run on the host CPU. The advantage of using a functional emulator is that it is way faster than the other emulation types. An example of a functional emulator would be  $\mathbf{QEMU}$  [3].

# 3. Existing Embedded Technologies

There already exists embedded microcontrollers capable of running Linux. However, most of them are closed source. For example from Arm Holdings (Arm R), Andes Technology and SiFive. Andes Technology and SiFive are members of the *RISC-V* community and have contributed with open-source components.

Built upon the RISC-V open-source ISA, various open-source CPU designs have emerged. An application processor is needed to run a Linux OS. Application processors have the necessary CSR, support M+S+U privilege modes, and support atomic

instructions.

An open-source CPU solution would be either the CVA6 [20] (previously known as Ariane), BOOM [21] or VexRiscv [8]. The CVA6 is a 6-stage, single issue, in-order CPU which can execute either the 32-bit or 64-bit RISC-V instruction set. The Berkeley Out-of-Order RISC-V Processor (BOOM) is a superscalar Out-of-Order processor executing the RV64GC variant of the RISC-V ISA. The VexRiscv CPU is a 32-bit Linux Capable RISC-V CPU written in the SpinalHDL [9].

## 4. Hardware Developed

The author had to develop four hardware modules to build a SoC capable of executing a Linux OS. Those hardware modules allowed the integration of a new CPU, a new UART and the hardware needed to support interrupts in the IOb-SoC. Besides integrating new hardware in the IOb-SoC, minor changes to the IOb-SoC core were made. The newly used CPU core was generated based on the SpinalHDL [9] VexRiscv [8] platform. The VexRiscv platform enabled the development of a VexRiscv CPU core that meets the requirements of an OS. The VexRiscv CPU still needed a CPU wrapper to integrate with the IOb-SoC interface. The Linux OS also requires a compatible UART to communicate with the user. Linux has drivers that support an existing UART16550. A hardware wrapper allows the integration of the UART16550 on IOb-SoC-Linux. Additionally, the SoC has to support timer and software interrupts to run an OS. The CLINT hardware module developed generates timer and software-related interrupts for a RISC-Vsystem. Another hardware component which manages interrupts in a RISC-V system is the PLIC. A developed hardware component creates an interface with the IOb-SoC and instantiates an existing PLIC core and register modules enabling external interrupts on IOb-SoC-Linux.

A sketch of the SoC developed can be seen in figure 7.

#### 5. Software Developed

During this thesis, the author also developed many software components. Those software components were essential to run a Linux OS in IOb-SoC or enhance the IOb-SoC platform. First, the new Con-sole program written in Python allows the IOb-SoC platform to communicate through serial with the board. Previously, the Console program was written in C and had fewer features than the new Con-sole. The new Console can work with the simulator testbench and communicate with a Linux OS running in IOb-SoC-Linux. Secondly, based on the previous IOb-SoC verification software, a new hardware simulation testbench can test the SoC and communicate with the Console program. Moreover,




Figure 7: Developed SoC sketch.

the Verilator [11] simulation software allowed the creation of a Verilator C++ testbench to test the SoC faster. Thirdly, a hardware simulation testbench created for the CLINT verifies its behaviour, and a bare-metal interrupt routine firmware developed shows how to use interrupts in IOb-SoC-Linux. Finally, the author adapted, built and deployed the software needed to execute a Linux OS in the SoC. The adapted IOb-SoC bootloader firmware allows loading the software to the IOb-SoC-VexRiscv memory. A device tree file describes the hardware components of the SoC to the Linux kernel. The compiled Linux kernel version must be compatible with the VexRisco CPU, and the root file system developed must be adequate for a minimal Linux OS. While developing the hardware and software components, Makefile scripts helped integrate the components in IOb-SoC and automatise the building and deployment process.

Figure 8 shows the Console program flowchart.




Figure 8: Console program flowchart.

The new verification software interacts with the *Console* through files. Figure 9 represents a sketch of the verification software and its interaction with the *Console*.




Figure 9: Simulated hardware interfaces.

#### 6. Project Results

The following section analyses the results obtained from the hardware and software developed in this project. The candidate successfully executes the minimal Linux OS in real hardware using the developed System on a chip. All the results obtained in this thesis which communicate with the FPGA board or the SoC testbench, are executing the developed *Console* program. The hardware components comprising the SoC differ depending on the software needs.

## 6.1. System Running "Hello World!"

Table 2 shows a timing comparison between the different logic simulators simulating the "Hello World!" program in *IOb-SoC-Linux*. The "INIT\_MEM" flag indicates whether the firmware is already loaded in the FPGA or if the *Console* needs to transfer the firmware to the SoC, the user can set the flag to '1' or '0' respectively. The users can execute the simulations with or without external memory. Furthermore, the firmware can run in internal or external memory. The "make sim-test" command tests the different possible simulations.

	Icarus	Verilator
INIT_MEM=1	2m 26s	0m 3s
INIT_MEM=0	88m 19s	1m 1s
all simulations	231m 3s	$2 \mathrm{m}\ 27 \mathrm{s}$

Table 2: Timing the "Hello World!" firmware simulation.

From table 2 engineers are able to conclude the advantage of using Verilator. For more complexed systems the C++ testbench is much faster than the Verilog counterpart. The disadvantage of using Verilator is that signal values can only be either '0' or '1'. However, the speed-up in the simulation is also due to the signal value limitation. In Icarus, the simulation can evaluate the signal as unknown ('x') when they are uninitialised. The author noted that Verilator is slower to compile the testbench. However, it is much faster to execute the software.

In figure 10 the readers can see the output of executing the "Hello World!" firmware in the FPGA. The author synthesised the SoC with the external memory. Furthermore, the firmware is running from external memory.

```
IOb-Console: file received
IOb-UART: file sent
IOb-Bootloader: Restart CPU to run user program...
Hello world!
Value of Pi = 3.141500
IOb-UART: requesting to send file
IOb-Console: got file receive request IOb-Console: file name b'Sendfile.txt
IOb-Console : file size: 348 bytes
IOb-Console: file received
IOb-UART: file sent
IOb-UART: requesting to receive file
IOb-Console: got file send request
IOb-Console: file name b'Sendfile.txt'
IOb-Console: file of size 348 bytes
IOb-Console: file sent
IOb-UART: file received
SUCCESS: Send and received file match!
IOb-Console: exiting...
```

Figure 10: Running the "Hello World!" firmware in the FPGA Board.

Tables 3 and 4 are the FPGA implementation results for two FPGA families. The author implemented the developed SoC on the kintex Ultrascale AES-KU040-DB-G board and in the CYCLONE V GT-DK. *IOb-SoC-Linux* implemented in this section only contains the swapped CPU. Furthermore, *IOb-SoC-Linux* uses the external memory to run the firmware from there.

	$IOb ext{-}SoC ext{-}Linux$	$IOb ext{-}SoC$
ALM	10,062	9,280
FF	12150	10020
DSP	8	3
BRAM blocks	234	352
BRAM bits	753,248	779,744

Table 3: Cyclone V GT

	IOb-SoC-Linux	$IOb ext{-}SoC$
LUTs	21226	23003
Registers	23373	22588
DSPs	10	7
BRAM	39.5	34.5
BRAM bits	1422000	1242000

Table 4: Kintex Ultrascale

#### 6.2. Interrupt Routines

After developing the **CLINT** unit, the author executed its testbench, testing the timer and software interrupts. Figure 11 shows the complete process when running a simulation.




Figure 11: CLINT timer and software interrupt simulation.

Figure 12 shows the execution of the **interrupt routine firmware**. The *IOb-SoC-Linux* implemented in simulation does not use external memory.

Figure 12: Running the interrupt routine firmware in simulation.

Figure 13 shows the execution of the firmware from the *IOb-SoC-Linux* internal memory in the **FPGA**. The firmware programs the timer interrupt to trigger one second after the firmware starts. The interrupt handler prints the current time that has passed since the firmware started. The hardware waited one second for the interrupt to trigger. The extra 0.004 seconds are to print the "Hello World" message at the start of the firmware and to execute the interrupt handler. The extra time consumed when executing the *IOb-SoC-Linux* in hardware differs from the simulation's extra time due to the baud rate used. Since the hardware baud rate

is lower than the simulation baud rate, the messages theoretically take more time to print to the terminal.

```
IOb-Console
  BaudRate = 115200
  StopBits =
           = None
  Parity
IOb-Console: connecting...
IOb-Bootloader: connected!
IOb-Bootloader: Restart CPU to run user program...
Hello world!
Waiting.
Entered IRQ.
Time interrupt.
Current time passed:
                        1.004 seconds.
MTIMER register value: 100394.
IOb-Console: exiting...
```

Figure 13: Executing the interrupt routine program on the FPGA.

Tables 5 and 6 represent how much FPGA resources are consumed by the *IOb-SoC-Linux*. The author executed the firmware from the external memory and the internal memory.

ALM	10,257
FF	12300
DSP	8
BRAM blocks	234
BRAM bits	753,248

Table 5: Cyclone V GT

LUTs	21478
Registers	23545
DSPs	10
BRAM	39.5
BRAM bits	1422000

Table 6: Kintex Ultrascale

Comparing table 6 and 5 with the table 4 engineers can see that the CLINT hardware does not use much resources.

6.3. Boot and use the Linux Operating System The objective of this thesis project was to run an Operating System in the *IOb-SoC-Linux*. Table 7 presents how much time it takes to build the complete OS with the command "make build-OS". The "real" time is the time that passes since the user

executes the command until it finishes. The "user" time is the time the CPU takes while executing operations in the user space. The "user" time is bigger than the "real" time because it counts the time passed in each CPU core. Part of the compilation of the RootFS and the kernel is done in parallel using two cores.

```
real 4m29,570s
user 8m12,039s
sys 0m56,887s
```

Table 7: Time it takes to build the OS.

The OS size is to big to run in the FPGA internal memory. Consequently, the author had to implement the *IOb-SoC-Linux* on the FPGA with access to the external memory. Figures 14 and 15 show the start of the OS simulation with *Verilator*.

Figure 14: iob-UART16550 and iob-PLIC properties.

Figure 15: IOb-SoC bootloader and OpenSBI firmware.

Figure 14 shows the initialization of the *Console* program. Furthermore, it shows the instantiation of the *iob-UART16550* and the *iob-PLIC*. The *iob-UART16550* and the PLIC core have an initial block that prints their properties. The synthesis tools do not synthesise the initial block to

real hardware, but the simulator executes it. Figure 15 shows the *iob-bootloader* and the start of the *OpenSBI* bootloader. Figure 16 shows the end of the *OpenSBI* bootloader and the start of the Linux kernel. The first line printed by the Linux kernel indicates the author built the kernel executing, the kernel version and which toolchain he used to compile it.

Figure 16: Start of the Linux kernel boot with Verilator.

While figure 16 shows the start of the Linux kernel, figure 17 shows the end of the Linux kernel booting process and the execution of the "init" script. The "init" script is the first program the OS executes after the Linux kernel mounts the RootFS and finishes booting. There exist multiple messages printed to the terminal between the output shown in figure 16 and in 17. Those messages show the progress while the Linux kernel boots. The Linux kernel boot process's last message is "Run /init as init process". After that message the SoC executes the "init" program.

Figure 17: End of Linux kernel boot with *Verilator*.

Figure 18 shows the developed minimal OS running on an FPGA. The reader can see that the author has suppressed the shell warning. The initial part of the figure shows the final stage of the Linux kernel booting. After booting, the author tested the "ls /" command that showed the files and directories in the systems' root. Lastly the author ex-

ecuted the "cat init" command for the OS to print the contents of the "init" script to the terminal.

Figure 18: Linux kernel boot in the FPGA.

The time the Linux kernel takes to boot in real hardware, figure 18, is almost double what it takes to boot in simulation, figure 18. The time to boot is almost double because the memory module used in the simulation does not have any latency. When the L2 cache fetches data from memory in real hardware, it must wait before receiving the data burst. Using the CYCLONE V FPGA board the Linux kernel takes 7.01 seconds to boot. The Kintex Ultrascale runs with a frequency of 100 MHz, and the CYCLONE V runs at 50 MHz. The OpenSBI bootloader and the device tree blob had to be recompiled with the system frequency defined to 50 MHz to run in the CYCLONE V.

A more complex rootfs generated with Buildroot provides more features than the minimal rootfs developed. The Buildroot rootfs allows using MicroPython [14] in IOb-SoC-Linux and executing the Dhrystones [19] benchmarking software. Figure 19 shows the final output of the Dhrystones benchmark and the execution of simple commands in MicroPython.

MicroPython is a software project that aims to implement a Python version, highly compatible with Python3, in microcontrollers and small embedded systems. Dhrystones is a general-performance benchmarking software used in multiple embedded systems. A common representation of the Dhrystones benchmark is DMIPS. Table 8 represents a comparison between the Dhrystones benchmarking scores of both FPGA boards.

Tables 10 and 9 show the resources used by the *IOb-SoC-Linux* in the different FPGAs.

Tables in 10 and 9 show that the resources uti-

```
pedro@pedro-desktop://media/data/Docume... Q = - \( \times \) \( \time
```

Figure 19: Linux OS with Buildroot rootfs.

	Kintex Ultrascale	CYCLONE V
DMIPS	23.33	17.04

Table 8: Dhrystones benchmarking.

	Resources	FPGA usage %
ALM	11,227	10
DSP	8	3
FF	13725	2
BRAM blocks	234	19
BRAM bits	755,424	9

Table 9: Cyclone V GT

	Resources	FPGA usage %
LUTs	23126	9.54
Registers	24505	5.05
DSPs	10	0.52
BRAM	39.5	6.58

Table 10: Kintex Ultrascale

lization from the IOb-SoC-Linux is less than 10% in the supported FPGA boards. Comparing the tables 10 and 9 with the tables in 4 and 3 it is clear the IOb-SoC-Linux does not use many more resources than the IOb-SoC.

#### 7. Conclusions

The author successfully integrated a CPU that meets the requirements to run an OS and verified that what worked with the previous CPU still worked in the new SoC. The CPU integrated is the VexRiscv CPU generated using the SpinalHDL VexRiscv platform. Additionally, the author successfully created the CLINT component for timer and software interrupts, and the simulation testbench developed for the CLINT shows it works as expected. Moreover, the interrupt routine firmware developed, which takes advantage of the CLINT, shows how interrupts work in bare-metal with the IOb-SoC-Linux. The PLIC integrated into IOb-SoC-Linux allows the SoC to support interrupts

from its peripheral hardware components. Furthermore, since the Linux OS does not support the IOb-SoC UART, in this thesis, the author adapts an industry-standard UART16550 to the IOb-SoC-Linux. The number of resources the complete IOb-SoC-Linux uses is less than 10% of the supported FPGA boards. Comparing the IOb-SoC resource consumption with the resources used by the IOb-SoC-Linux, which can execute a Linux OS, the author can conclude that the developed SoC requires only a few more resources than the original. The IOb-SoC-Linux resource usage leaves plenty of space in the FPGA to implement new hardware accelerators.

The minimal Linux OS developed executes on the supported FPGA boards and in the simulation with the Verilator testbench. The OpenSBI bootloader, the Device Tree Blob, the Linux kernel and the root file system constitute the Linux OS. The OpenSBI bootloader implements the RISC-V SBI functions, which the supervisor mode software uses to communicate with the machine privilege level. The Device Tree Blob describes the *IOb-SoC-Linux* hardware, which the Linux Kernel uses to know what drivers to use. The Linux kernel implements the system calls that the user applications can use. Lastly, the root file system uses the Busybox software package and allows users to interact with the Linux OS. The minimal Linux OS developed takes five seconds to boot in the Kintex Ultrascale board and seven seconds in the Cyclone V.

After completing this thesis, there is still space for new features and optimisation. The author is working on four optimisations. First, enhancing the L1 cache may optimise the performance of the SoC by integrating a VexRiscv CPU into IOb-SoC-Linux, which supports 32 bytes per cache line. The current CPU has an L1 data and instructions cache with 4 bytes per line. Secondly, IOb-SoC-Linux does not have support for internet connections. Therefore, IOb-SoC-Linux will adapt and integrate an existing Ethernet controller by creating a hardware wrapper. Thirdly, IOb-SoC-Linux has to transfer the Linux OS every time it starts working. Transmitting data through the UART is slow. Integrating a Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI) controller would allow IOb-SoC-Linux to load the software from a flash memory. An alternative solution would be to implement a PCI interface and transfer the data through it. Lastly, the *Console* program needs optimisations. With the existing program, the user input is not fluid. The Console software does the input processing sequentially after the program waits a short period for data to be read from the serial connection. The optimised Console program should receive the user input and read from the serial interface concurrently in two different threads.

One of the best strengths of this thesis is the opportunities it creates. Many possible projects could use IOb-SoC-Linux. The author is currently involved in a project called OpenCryptoLinux, which the NLnet Foundation has funded through the NGI Assure Fund with financial support from the European Commission's Next Generation Internet programme. OpenCryptoLinux aims to adapt the OpenCryptoHW [7] project to IOb-SoC-Linux. Therefore, creating a secure and user-friendly opensource SoC template with cryptography functions running a Linux OS on a RISC-V system. Open-CryptoHW IObundle developments implement a reconfigurable open-source cryptographic hardware IP core. The hardware is reconfigurable because the CPU controls Coarse-Grained Reconfigurable Arrays (CGRAS). OpenCryptoLinux can enhance the security, privacy, performance, and energy efficiency of future Internet of Things (IoT) devices. The OpenCryptoLinux project will be fully opensource, guaranteeing public scrutiny and quality. The project will develop Linux drivers that can control the OpenCryptoHW hardware and possibly integrate a DMA controller in the IOb-SoC-Linux to integrate OpenCryptoHW features in the Linux OS. Finally, it would also be interesting to implement the IOb-SoC-Linux as an ASIC and create a development board with it at its core.

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his friends and professors who helped and accompanied him through his studies. Furthermore, above all, the author is thankful for his family that has been in his life since day 0, giving advice and guiding him, leading him to where he is today.

### References

- K. Asanović and D. A. Patterson. Instruction sets should be free: The case for risc-v. EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley, Tech. Rep. UCB/EECS-2014-146, 2014.
- [2] R. Barry et al. Freertos. Internet, Oct, 2008.
- [3] F. Bellard. Qemu, a fast and portable dynamic translator. In *USENIX annual technical con*ference, FREENIX Track, volume 41, pages 10–5555. Califor-nia, USA, 2005.
- [4] A. Chang, A. Waterman, R. Balas, D. Barbier, J. Scheel, J. Clarke, K. Asanovic, P. Dabbelt, and Yan. Risc-v platform-level interrupt controller specification, 2022.
- [5] P. Dabbelt and A. Patra. Risc-v supervisor binary interface specification, 2022.
- [6] I. Lda. Iob-soc.

- [7] I. Lda. Iob-soc-opencryptohw.
- [8] C. Papon. Vexriscv.
- [9] C. Papon. Spinalhdl: An alternative hardware description language. In *FOSDEM*, 2017.
- [10] riscv. Risc-v platform specification.
- [11] W. Snyder. Verilator: Fast, free, but for me? DVClub Presentation, page 11, 2010.
- [12] D. Thomas and P. Moorby. The Verilog® hardware description language. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [13] N. Tidala. High performance network on chip using axi4 protocol interface on an fpga. In 2018 second international conference on electronics, communication and aerospace technology (ICECA), pages 1647–1651. IEEE, 2018.
- [14] N. H. Tollervey. Programming with MicroPython: embedded programming with microcontrollers and Python. "O'Reilly Media, Inc.", 2017.
- [15] L. Torvalds. Linux: a portable operating system. Master's thesis, University of Helsinki, dept. of Computing Science, 1997.
- [16] A. Waterman, G. Favor, J. Hauser, A. Patel, B. Meng, and W. Norris. Risc-v advanced core local interruptor specification.
- [17] A. Waterman, Y. Lee, R. Avizienis, D. A. Patterson, and K. Asanovic. The risc-v instruction set manual volume ii: Privileged architecture version 1.9. EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley, Tech. Rep. UCB/EECS-2016-129, 2016.
- [18] A. Waterman, Y. Lee, D. Patterson, K. Asanovic, V. I. U. level Isa, A. Waterman, Y. Lee, and D. Patterson. The risc-v instruction set manual. *Volume I: User-Level ISA*, version, 2, 2014.
- [19] R. P. Weicker. Dhrystone: a synthetic systems programming benchmark. *Communications of the ACM*, 27(10):1013–1030, 1984.
- [20] F. Zaruba and L. Benini. The cost of application-class processing: Energy and performance analysis of a linux-ready 1.7-ghz 64bit risc-v core in 22-nm fdsoi technology. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration* (VLSI) Systems, 27(11):2629–2640, 10 2019.
- [21] J. Zhao, B. Korpan, A. Gonzalez, and K. Asanovic. Sonicboom: The 3rd generation berkeley out-of-order machine. 05 2020.