


3.2 LINK-BASED RANKING

Web is a Hypertext

Web documents are connected through hyperlinks

1. **Anchor text** describes content of referred document
2. **Hyperlink** is a quality signal



©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 2

In addition to the textual content, Web documents contain also hyperlinks. A hyperlink can be exploited for information retrieval in two ways:

1. The link is embedded into some text that typically contains relevant information on the content of the document the link is pointing to. Thus, this text can complement the content of the referred document.
2. The link can also be considered as an endorsement of the referenced document by the author of the referring document. Thus, the link can be used as a signal for quality and importance of the referred document.

3.2.1 Indexing Anchor Text

Anchor text is loosely defined as the text surrounding a hyperlink

Example: “You can find cheap cars [here](#).”

Anchor text: “You can find cheap cars here”


Anchor text may contain a lot of additional content on the referred page

- It might be a better description of the page than the page content itself

Anchor text corresponds to the text that is surrounding the link, and not only the text contained as part of the link tag (in the example, the text in the link tag would simply be “here”.) The anchor text can contain valuable information on the referred page and thus be helpful in retrieval.

Example

When indexing a document D , include (with some weight) anchor text from links pointing to D



©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 4


This example illustrates the use of anchor text in retrieval. Often, a home page is very visual and contains often little relevant text content. If we consider a home page, such as the EPFL home page, we probably find many pages pointing to the EPFL home page that very well characterize EPFL, such as pages mentioning topics related to research and technology transfer.

Assume that a malicious Internet user would create a fake EPFL home page. Then chances that such a page is referred by reputed organizations, such as SNF, is very low. On the other hand, pages listing spam pages might point to such a page and reveal its true character. These pages would probably also mention terminology related to spam pages or blacklists, and such text can give indications about the true character of the spam page.

In addition, links to the EPFL home page indicate a higher importance of the page, as compared to other less referenced pages, such as pages containing the EPFL regulations.

Indexing Anchor Text

Can sometimes lead to unexpected effects, e.g., easily spammable



©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 5

One of the risks of including anchor text is that it makes pages spammable. Malicious users could create spam pages that point to web pages and try to relate it to contents that serve their interests (e.g., higher the quality of preferred pages by adding links, lower the quality of the undesired page by attaching negative anchor text). That this is. Real phenomenon can be inferred from statistics on the in-degree distribution of Web pages that has been produced.

The figure shows a standard log-log representation of the in-degree vs. the frequency of pages. Normally this relationship should follow a power-law, which shows in a log-log representation as a linear relationship. In real Web data, we see that this power law is violated, and that certain levels of in-degrees are over-represented. This can be attributed to link spamming, which does create moderate numbers of additional links on Web pages.

This is of course only one example of spamming techniques, and Web search engines are in a continuous "battle" against this and other forms of spam.

Scoring of Anchor Text

Score anchor text with a weight depending on the authority of the anchor page's website

- E.g., if we were to assume that content from cnn.com or yahoo.com is authoritative, then trust (more) the anchor text from them

Score anchor text from other sites (domains) higher than text from the same site

- non-nepotistic scoring

In order to fight link spamming, when considering anchor text, the text from pages with poor reputation can be given lower weight. We will later introduce methods of how to rank pages based in the hyperlink graph, which is one method to evaluate the reputation of a page.

In order to avoid self-promotion, another method to fight link spamming is to give lower weights to links within the same site (nepotism = promoting your own family members).

3.2.2 PageRank - Hyperlinks as Quality Signal

Bibliometry: analysis of citations in scientific publications

- Citation frequency: how important is a paper of author?
- Co-citation analysis: articles that co-cite the same articles are related
- Impact factor: Authority of sources, such as journals



The use of links in order to evaluate the quality of information sources has a long tradition, specifically in science. The discipline of bibliometry is fully devoted to the problem of evaluating the quality of research through citation analysis.

Different ideas can be exploited to that end:

- The frequency of citations to a paper, indicating how popular or visible it is
- Co-citation analysis in order to identify researchers working in related disciplines
- Analysis of the authority of sources of scientific publications, e.g., journals, publishers, conferences. This measure can then in turn be used to weight the relevance of publications.

All these ideas can also be exploited for any other document collections that have references, in particular, for Web document collections with hyperlinks.

Citations on the Web



Full text retrieval result with equal ranking; which page is more relevant ?

- relevance related to number of referrals (incoming links)

relevance related to number of referrals with high relevance

Simple link counting might not be appropriate!

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 8

When retrieving documents from the Web, the link structure bears important information on the relevance of documents. A document that is referred more often by other documents through hyperlinks, is likely to be of higher interest and therefore relevance. Therefore, a possibility to rank documents is considering the number of incoming links. Considering the number of incoming links allows to distinguish documents that otherwise would be ranked similarly when relying on text-based relevance ranking.


However, when doing this, also the importance of the link sources can be different. Therefore, not only counting then number of incoming links, but also weighing the links by the relevance of documents that contain these links can help to better assess the quality of a document. The same reasoning of course again applies then for evaluating the relevance of documents pointing to the source of the link and so forth.

Different to scientific publishing, in the Web references are not reliable and therefore simple link counting might not be appropriate. Since 1998 when search engines started to consider links for ranking the phenomenon of link spamming started. Link farms are groups of websites that are heavily linked to one another to boost their ranking.

Link-based Ranking: Idea

Imagine a user doing a **random walk** on Web pages:

- Start at a random page
- At each step, leave the current page along one of the links on that page, with same probability




“In the long run” each page has a long-term visit rate - use this as the page’s score

We introduce now an approach for link-based scoring that considers not only the absolute count of links, but also the quality of the link source. The basic idea is to consider a random walker that visits Web pages following the hyperlinks. At each page the random walker would select randomly among the hyperlinks of the page with uniform probability and move to the next page. When the random walker runs for a long time, it will visit every page with a given probability, which we can consider as a score for ranking the page. This score can be used to control the impact of the outgoing links of a page on the ranking of other pages.

One of the consequences of this model would be that pages that have few in-links, would be relatively infrequently visited. Since link farms and spam pages usually have not many links pointing to them, the expectation is that this approach could reduce their impact on ranking.

On the other hand, popular pages with many incoming links will have a higher impact on ranking, as they have a higher score.

Random Walker Model



$$P(p_i) = \sum_{p_j | p_j \rightarrow p_i} \frac{P(p_j)}{C(p_j)}$$

N is the number of Web pages

$C(p)$ is the number of outgoing links of page p

$P(p_i)$ probability to visit page p_i , where page p_i is pointed to by pages p_1 to p_N = **relevance**

Result

- If a random walker visits a page more often it is more relevant
- takes into account the number of referrals AND the relevance of referrals

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 10

We provide a formal description of the random walker model. The model is a Markov chain, a discrete-time stochastic process in which at each time-step a random choice is made.

We assign to each page a visiting probability $P(p_i)$. Then the probability that a page p_i is visited depends on the probabilities of the pages with a hyperlink to this page to be visited. For the source pages of the hyperlink the visiting probability is evenly split among all outgoing links. This formulation of the process results in a recursive equation, of which the solution is the steady-state of the process.

Transition Matrix for Random Walker

The definition of $P(p_i)$ can be reformulated as matrix equation

$$R_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{C(p_j)}, & \text{if } p_j \rightarrow p_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\vec{p} = (P(p_1), \dots, P(p_n))$$

$$\vec{p} = R \cdot \vec{p}, \quad \|\vec{p}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$$


The vector of page relevance values is the Eigenvector of the matrix R for the largest Eigenvalue

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 11

In order to determine the solution to the recursive equation on the probabilities of a random walker to visit a page, we define a transition probability matrix R, which captures the probability of transitioning from one page to another. We also require that the probabilities of visiting a page add up to 1. With this formulation of the problem, the long-term visiting probabilities become the Eigenvector of matrix R. More precisely, they are the Eigenvector with the largest Eigenvalue.

Example




$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{2}{5} \end{pmatrix}$$

Ranking $p_1, p_3 > p_2$

This example illustrates the computation of the probabilities for visiting a specific Web page. The values $C(p_i)$ correspond to the transition probabilities. They can be derived from the link matrix. The link matrix is defined as $L_{ij}=1$ if there is a link from p_j to p_i . The link matrix is normalized by the outdegree, by dividing the values in the columns by the sum of the values found in the column, resulting in matrix R . The probability of a random walker visiting a node is then obtained from the Eigenvector of this matrix.

Modified Example



Links from p_1

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Links to p_1

Link Matrix

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$


No Ranking

This example illustrates a problem with the random walker as we have formulated, the existence of dead ends. We see that there exists a node p_3 that is a "sink of rank". Any random walk ends up in this sink, and therefore the other nodes do not receive any ranking weight. Consequently, also the rank of sink does not. Therefore, the only solution to the equation $\vec{p} = R\vec{p}$ is the zero vector.

Pure Random Walker Does Not Work

The web is full of dead-ends

- Random walk can get stuck in dead-ends
- Makes no sense to talk about long-term visit rates



Teleporting

- At a dead end, jump to a random web page
- At any non-dead end, jump to a random web page with some probability (e.g. 15%)
- Result: Now cannot get stuck locally, there is a long-term rate at which any page is visited

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 14


A practical problem with the random walker is the fact that there exist Web pages that have no outgoing links. Thus, the random walker would get stuck. To address this problem, the concept of teleporting is introduced, where the random walker jumps to a randomly selected Web page with a given probability. If the random walker arrives at a dead end, it will then always jump to a randomly selected page.

Another problem are pages that have no incoming links: they would never be reached by the random walker, and the weight that they could provide to other pages would not be considered. This problem is also addressed by teleporting.

PageRank

Assumption

- random walker jumps with probability $1-q$ to an arbitrary node
- thus it can leave dead ends and nodes without incoming links are reached



$$P(p_i) = c\left(\frac{(1-q)}{N} + q \sum_{p_j | p_j \rightarrow p_i} \frac{P(p_j)}{C(p_j)}\right), c \leq 1$$

$$\vec{p} = c((qR + (1-q)E)) \cdot \vec{p}, \quad E = \left[\frac{1}{N} \right]_{N \times N}$$


$$\vec{p} = c(qR \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{(1-q)}{N} \vec{e}), \quad \vec{e} = (1, \dots, 1)$$

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 15

We give now the formal specification of the random walker with teleporting. At each step, the random walker makes a jump with a probability $1-q$ and any of the N pages is reached with the same probability. Therefore, an additional term is $(1-q)/N$ is added to the probability for reaching a given page. Reformulating the equation for the probabilities in matrix form, results in adding a $N \times N$ Matrix E with all entries being $1/N$. This is equivalent to saying that with probability $1/N$ transitions among any pairs of nodes (including transition from a node to itself) are performed. Since the vector p has norm 1, i.e., the sum of the components is exactly 1, $E \cdot p = e$. Based on this property, an alternative formulation for the equation can be given. The method described is called PageRank and is used by Google for Web ranking. By modifying the values of the matrix E also a priori knowledge about the relative importance of pages can be added to the ranking algorithm.

Modified Example



$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}, q = 0.9$$

$$qR + (1-q)E = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{30} & \frac{1}{30} & \frac{1}{30} \\ \frac{29}{60} & \frac{1}{30} & \frac{1}{30} \\ \frac{29}{60} & \frac{14}{15} & \frac{1}{30} \end{pmatrix} \vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.091 \\ 0.203 \\ 0.705 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ranking $p_3 > p_2 > p_1$

With the modification of rank computation using a source of rank, we obtain for our example a non-trivial ranking which appears to match intuition about the relative importance of the pages in the graph well.

Practical Computation of PageRank

Iterative computation $\vec{p}_0 \leftarrow \vec{s}$
 while $\delta > \epsilon$
 $\vec{p}_{i+1} \leftarrow qR \bullet \vec{p}_i$
 $\vec{p}_{i+1} \leftarrow \vec{p}_{i+1} + \frac{(1-q)}{N} \vec{e}$
 $\delta \leftarrow \|\vec{p}_{i+1} - \vec{p}_i\|_1$

ϵ termination criterion

s arbitrary start vector, e.g., $s = \frac{\vec{e}}{N}$

For the practical computation of the PageRank ranking an iterative approach can be used. The vector \vec{e} is used to add a source of rank. It can uniformly distribute weights to all pages, but it could also incorporate pre-existing knowledge on the importance of pages and bias the ranking towards them. The vector can also be used as initial probability distribution.

Example: ETHZ Page Rank

Doc_ID	Rank_Value	URL
1	0.002536	http://www.ethz.ch/
146	0.002292	http://www.ethz.ch/r_amb/
10	0.000654	http://www.ethz.ch/default_de.asp
35	0.000511	http://www.rereth.ethz.ch/
376124	0.000503	http://computing.ee.ethz.ch/sepp/matlab-5.2-to/helpdesk.html
67378	0.000497	http://computing.ee.ethz.ch/sepp/
59887	0.000485	http://www.computing.ee.ethz.ch/sepp/
89307	0.000485	http://www.isg.inf.ethz.ch/docu/documents/java/jdk1.2.2ref/docs/api/overview-summary.html
216716	0.000485	http://www.isg.inf.ethz.ch/docu/documents/java/jdk1.2/api/overview-summary.html
147932	0.000484	http://www.isg.inf.ethz.ch/docu/documents/java/jdk1.2ref/docs/api/overview-summary.html
175544	0.000484	http://www.isg.inf.ethz.ch/docu/documents/java/jdk1.2ref/docs/api/overview-summary.html
186766	0.000478	http://www.isg.inf.ethz.ch/docu/documents/java/jdk1.2/api/overview-summary.html
228634	0.000477	http://www.isg.inf.ethz.ch/docu/documents/java/jdk1.2.1ref/docs/api/overview-summary.html
228421	0.000464	http://www.isg.inf.ethz.ch/docu/documents/java/jdk1.2.2ref/docs/api/overview-summary.html
3161	0.00045	http://www.ethz.ch/r_amb/reto_ambuehler.html
215673	0.000447	http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/computing/statlinks/sepp.sun/vxl-1.2b-mo/files.html
259672	0.000447	http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/computing/statlinks/sepp.sun/vxl-1.2b-mo/globals.html
259671	0.000447	http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/computing/statlinks/sepp.sun/vxl-1.2b-mo/functions.html
259670	0.000447	http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/computing/statlinks/sepp.sun/vxl-1.2b-mo/annotated.html
259669	0.000447	http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/computing/statlinks/sepp.sun/vxl-1.2b-mo/classes.html

Figure 1: Top 20 of ETH Zurich Web Documents

These are the top documents from the PageRank ranking of all Web pages at ETHZ (Data from 2001). It is interesting to see that documents related to Java documentation receive high ranking values. This is related to the fact that these documents have many internal cross-references.

Web Search

PageRank is part of the ranking method used by Google

- Compute the global PageRank for all Web pages
- Given a keyword-based query retrieve a ranked set of documents using standard text retrieval methods
- Merge the ranking with the result of PageRank to both achieve high precision (text retrieval) and high quality (PageRank)
- Google uses also many other methods to improve ranking
- Crawling the Web is a technical challenge

PageRank is used as one metrics to rank result documents in Google. At the basis Google uses text retrieval methods to retrieve relevant documents and then applies PageRank to create a more appropriate ranking. Google uses also many other methods to improve ranking, e.g., today largely based on personal information collected from users, like search history and pages visited. The details of the ranking methods are trade secrets of the Web search engine providers.

Building a Web Search engine requires to solve several additional problems, beyond providing a ranking system. Efficient Web crawling requires algorithms that can traverse the Web avoiding redundant accesses to pages and techniques for managing large link databases.

The relevance determined using the random walker model corresponds to

1. The number of steps a random walker needs to reach a page
2. The probability that the random walker visits the page in the long term
3. The number of incoming links a random walker can use to visit the page
4. The probability that the random walker will visit once the page

Consider a random jump matrix with entries $1/3$ in the first column and 0 otherwise. It means

1. A random walker can always leave node 1 even without outgoing edges
2. A random walker can always reach node 1, even without incoming edges
3. A random walker can always leave node 2, even without outgoing edges
4. none of the above

3.2.3 Hyperlink-Induced Topic Search (HITS)

Key Idea: in response to a query, instead of an ordered list of pages, find **two** sets of inter-related pages:

- **Hub pages** are good lists of links on a subject
e.g., “World top universities”
- **Authorative pages** are referred recurrently on good hubs on the subject
e.g., “EPFL”

Best suited for “broad topic” understanding rather than for page-finding queries


- Understand common perception of quality

The basic idea of HITS is to apply not a single measure for link-based relevance of a document, but to distinguish two different roles documents can play. Hub pages are pages that provide references to high quality pages, whereas authority pages are high quality pages. The method has been conceived for understand a larger topic in general and obtain an overview of the essential contents related to a given topic. It can nevertheless also be used as an alternative ranking model for Web search, that provides a more refined quality evaluation of Web pages.


Hub-Authority Ranking

Approach

- **Hubs** are pages that point to many/relevant authorities
- **Authorities** are pages that are pointed to by many/relevant hubs



page with large in-degree
e.g., EPFL



Hubs
e.g., QS World, THE , Shanghai Ranking

Authorities
e.g., EPFL, MIT, Stanford, ETHZ

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis


Link-based Ranking - 23

Hub-authority ranking is, like PageRank, based on a quantitative analysis of the link structure. Different to PageRank two different measures are considered. The number of incoming links as a measure for authority, and the number of links pointing to an authority as a measure for the quality of a hub. The example shows of how in this way authoritative pages, such as university home pages, can be distinguished from hub pages, such as portal sites referencing universities.


Computing Hubs and Authorities

Repeat the following updates, for all p

$$H(p_i) = \sum_{p_j \in N | p_i \rightarrow p_j} A(p_j)$$



$$A(p_i) = \sum_{p_j \in N | p_j \rightarrow p_i} H(p_j)$$



Normalize values (scaling)

$$\sum_{p_j \in N} A(p_j)^2 = 1$$

$$\sum_{p_j \in N} H(p_j)^2 = 1$$

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 24

As in PageRank the approach is to consider the ranking value of pages from which hyperlinks are emanating in the weighting of the influence the hyperlink has on the page it is pointing to. This results directly in a recursive formulation of the ranking values for hub and authority weights. Note that in the HITS method presented here you find subtle differences how those equations are formulated, as compared to PageRank:

1. The weights are not split among the outgoing links, but each link transfers the whole hub or authority weight from the originating page
2. Since the weights are not split, the ranking values need to be normalized
3. The normalization uses L2 norm, and not L1 norm as for PageRank.

HITS Algorithm

$$n := |N|; (a_0, h_0) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ((1, \dots, 1), (1, \dots, 1)); l = 0$$

while $l < k$

$$l := l + 1$$

$$a_l := (\sum_{p_i \rightarrow p_1} h_{l-1,i}, \dots, \sum_{p_i \rightarrow p_n} h_{l-1,i})$$

$$h_l := (\sum_{p_1 \rightarrow p_i} a_{l,i}, \dots, \sum_{p_n \rightarrow p_i} a_{l,i})$$

$$(a_l, h_l) := \left(\frac{a_l}{|a_l|_2}, \frac{h_l}{|h_l|_2} \right)$$

In practice, $k = 5$ iterations sufficient to converge!


©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 25

Similarly, as for PageRank, the equations can be solved using iteration. Here we show a possible realization of such an iterative computation, using uniformly distributed weights for initialization.

Convergence of HITS

$n \times n$ link matrix L^t



Up to normalization

$h = L^t a, a = Lh$, thus
 a is an Eigenvector of LL^t
 h is an Eigenvector of L^tL

©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 26

When formulating the HITS equations in matrix form, using the link matrix L , we see that the authority and hub weights correspond to the Eigenvectors of the matrices LL^t and L^tL . This shows that the iterative computation with normalization of the hub and authority values will converge to the principal Eigenvectors of the matrices LL^t and L^tL .

When computing HITS, the initial values

1. Are set all to 1
2. Are set all to $\frac{1}{n}$
3. Are set all to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$
4. Are chosen randomly

If the first column of matrix L is $(0,1,1,1)$ and all other entries are 0 then the authority values

1. $(0,1,1,1)$
2. $(0, 1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})$
3. $(1, 1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})$
4. $(1,0,0,0)$

Practical Implementation

Apply HITS in the context of a query


- Given a query (e.g., “EPFL”), obtain all pages mentioning the query: call this the **root set** of pages.

Add page that either

- points to a page in the root set, or
- is pointed to by a page in the root set.

Use this set as **base set**

- Compute HITS on the base set



©2023, Karl Aberer, EPFL-IC, Laboratoire de systèmes d'informations répartis

Link-based Ranking - 29

One possible application of HITS is to compute the ranking on the complete Web Graph, as it is done with PageRank. Another way to use it (and this is how it was initially conceived), is to apply it in the context of a given query, to rerank the results by promoting results with high authority and hub values. In order to perform this operation, first all results for a query are retrieved (using a standard text retrieval model). Then the neighboring pages (either pointing to a result page, or referred by a result page) are added to the set of pages, which is then called the base set. HITS is then computed on the base set. This makes sense, as in this way we both consider referred pages and referring pages for the relevant documents, which helps to identify both hubs and authorities.

HITS Conclusions

Potential issues

- Mutually Reinforcing Affiliates: clusters of affiliated pages/sites can boost each others' scores
- Topic Drift: off-topic pages can cause off-topic “authorities” to be returned

Social Network Analysis

- PageRank and HITS are examples of Social Network (SN) Analysis algorithms
- SNs contain a lot of other interesting structure

HITS suffers from similar potential problems related to the manipulation of the link structure through link spamming as PageRank. In addition, when performing a broad topic search and computing a base set for analysis, topic drift may occur, e.g., through the introduction of off-topic hubs. This is a problem that is similar to the issues of topic drift in pseudo-relevance feedback that we have observed earlier.

Both, HITS and PageRank are examples of social network analysis algorithms. We will introduce later other types of algorithms for this purpose, aiming at community detection.

For efficient implementation, link-based ranking algorithms require an efficient representation of the Web graph. This is a topic that we will explore next.