openETCS PMB Meeting Minutes

1 Meeting Information

Subject	PMB Weekly Scrum: Project Management Board
Date & time	2014-02-21, 11:30h–12:00h
Location	Telco and Goto-Meeting
Called up by	Bernd Hekele
Participants	Marc Behrens, Bernd Hekele, Michael Jastram, Peter Mahlmann, Alexander Nitsch, Matthieu Perin, Marielle Petit-Doche, Stefan Rieger, Uwe Steinke Izaskun de la Torre, Jan Welte Giovanni Zanelli
Minutes by	Bernd Hekele

2 Agenda

- Progress on Itea Report

- Use of Tools for Modelling

- V&V Internal Assessment workshop in Nürnberg.

3 Discussion

- Progress on Itea Report The report is in the status of a final review and will be submitted toItea on schedule.

- V&V Internal Assessment workshop in Nürnberg.
  The internal assessment workshop has been during this week. The reviewers (All4Tec and AEbt) concentrated on the process docuements and the quality assurance plan. During the meeting several issues were highlighted which needs completion before continuation of the internal assessment can be performed.

  To continue the work on the QA-Plan the QA backlog will be updated with findings from the meeting. A close follow-up of items in the backlog is planned to be part of the weekly QA session. (Thursday's 13:00 - 14:00) Active contribution by partners of WP1, WP2, WP3 and WP4 is needed to complete the task.

  The next step of the internal assessment is planned to take place at June 10th (as a part of the Munich meeting).

  A go/nogo decision is scheduled for May 9th (PMB).

  The meeting minutes and slides will be made available in the V&V project.

- Use of Tools for Modelling
  WP3 decided (already some time ago) to start the modelling activities with SCADE System (SysML) and SCADE Suite. Papyrus can be taken as an alternative to SCADE System if the restrictions documented in the modelling guideline (D2.4) are considered.

  This decision is valid for Modelling activities. It is not a limitation for work in other WPs like WP4 or WP5.

An outcome of the Internal Assessment was the need to document all tool decisions on the development branch in the QA guide. The implementation of this proposal will be taken into the QA meeting.

4   Notes