

Exercise A: Least square problems

A1

Minimising $\|Ax - b\|_2$ in respect to x means finding x such that the derivative of $\|Ax - b\|_2$ in respect to x is equal to zero.

$\|Ax - b\|_2$ can be developed as:

$$\begin{aligned}\|Ax - b\|_2^2 &= \|Ax\|_2^2 - 2 \langle Ax, b \rangle + \|b\|_2^2 \\ &= x^T A^T A x - 2x^T A^T b + b^T b\end{aligned}$$

The derivative in respect to x is:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \|Ax - b\|_2^2}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial (x^T A^T A x - 2x^T A^T b + b^T b)}{\partial x} \\ &= \frac{\partial (x^T A^T A x)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial (2x^T A^T b)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (b^T b)}{\partial x} \\ &= 2A^T A x - 2A^T b + 0\end{aligned}$$

This derivative has to be equal to zero. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \|Ax - b\|_2^2}{\partial x} &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow 2A^T A x - 2A^T b &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow 2A^T A x &= 2A^T b \\ \Leftrightarrow A^T A x &= A^T b\end{aligned}$$

To demonstrate that when A has full rank column, i.e. $\text{rank}(A)=n$, the solution is unique, it is needed to prove that $\text{Ker}(A^T A)=\text{Ker}(A)$.

$$\forall x \in \text{Ker}(A) : Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^T Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{Ker}(A^T A) \Rightarrow \text{Ker}(A) \subset \text{Ker}(A^T A)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in \text{Ker}(A^T A) : A^T Ax = 0 &\Leftrightarrow x^T A^T Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow (Ax)^T (Ax) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{Ker}(A) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{Ker}(A^T A) \subset \text{Ker}(A)\end{aligned}$$

According to the rank-nullity Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(A) &= n - \dim(\text{Ker}(A)) \\ \text{yet : rank}(A) &= n \\ \Rightarrow n &= n - \dim(\text{Ker}(A)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \dim(\text{Ker}(A)) &= 0 = \dim(\text{Ker}(A^T A)) \\ \Rightarrow \text{Ker}(A^T A) &= \{0\}\end{aligned}$$

proving that the solution is unique according to Theorem 1.2. seen in the course.

A2

Suppose the QR decomposition of A is given by $Q \begin{pmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is unitary and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is upper triangular. We will express the solution of

$$A^T A x = A^T b \quad (1)$$

in terms of the QR decomposition of A .

Let $R_f = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We can rewrite equation (1) as:

$$\begin{aligned} (QR_f)^T QR_f x &= (QR_f)^T b \\ R_f^T Q^T QR_f x &= R_f^T Q^T b \end{aligned}$$

As Q is unitary and hence $Q^T Q = I$, we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} R^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q^T b$$

If we call \hat{Q} the matrix consisting of the first n columns of Q , equation (1) becomes:

$$R^T R x = R^T \hat{Q}^T b$$

From theorem 2.8 of the course notes, we know that every matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of full column-rank admits a factorization $A = Q_1 R_1$ where $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is an isometry and $R_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is an upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal. The matrix Q_1 corresponds to \hat{Q} and R_1 simply to R . Hence we deduce that R is invertible. This allows us to premultiply both sides of the equation by the inverse of R^T :

$$\begin{aligned} R^{-T} R^T R x &= R^{-T} R^T \hat{Q}^T b \\ R x &= \hat{Q}^T b \end{aligned}$$

The solution of equation (1) is therefore $x = R^{-1} \hat{Q}^T b$ and the computation of the solution is reduced to the resolution of a single triangular system of linear equations (which can be solved efficiently using backward substitution).

Exercise B: Low-rank approximation

B1

For every matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, there exist unitary transformations $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$A = U \Sigma V^*, \quad \text{where} \quad \Sigma = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \sigma_1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \sigma_r & & & \\ \hline & & & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} & & \end{array} \right],$$

with real positive singular values $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$.

These singular values are unique: the intuition to see this is that the singular value decomposition is computed inductively, and that the unitary matrices preserve the norm. By taking the property that $\|X\|_2 = \sigma_1$, this means that at every step of the decomposition, no matter what unitary transformations are chosen, the norm (and thus the maximal singular value of the submatrix we are working on) is the same.

Next, we show that the rank of a matrix is equal to its number of nonzero singular values.

Proof. We know that the rank of a diagonal matrix is equal to the number of its nonzero entries. We also note that in the decomposition $A = U \Sigma V$, U and V are of full rank. Therefore, $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(\Sigma) = r$. \square

B2

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be such that $|X_{ij}| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\|X\|_2$ be the 2-norm of X and let $\|X\|_F$ be its Frobenius norm. We show that $\|X\|_2 \leq \|X\|_F \leq \sqrt{mn} \varepsilon$.

Proof. First, we show the first inequality. We know from the lecture notes that

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\|_2 &= \sigma_{\max}, \\ \|X\|_F &= \left[\sum_i \sigma_i^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where σ_i are the singular values of X . From this, it is immediately clear that $\|X\|_2 \leq \|X\|_F$.

Next, we use an equivalent form of the Frobenius norm to show the second inequality:

$$\|X\|_F = \left[\sum_{i,j} |X_{ij}|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Knowing that $|X_{ij}| \leq \varepsilon$, it is immediate that $\|X\|_F \leq \left[\sum_{i,j} \varepsilon^2 \right]^{1/2} = [mn\varepsilon^2]^{1/2} = \sqrt{mn}\varepsilon$. This concludes the proof. \square

We also give an example where these bounds are tight. Indeed, consider the matrix $X = I_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1}$. Clearly, we have $|X_{ij}| \leq \varepsilon = 1$ for all i, j (only one value is possible for each). We know that the only singular value of this matrix is 1, and hence

$$\|X\|_2 = \|X\|_F = \sqrt{1 \cdot 1}\varepsilon = 1\varepsilon.$$

B3

Exercise C: Realization theory

In this last exercise, we are interested in finding an AR model corresponding to the data obtained during the covid pandemic. Indeed, we want to find the parameters α_i of :

$$y(t) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i y(t-i)$$

For this, we will minimize the squared error $f = \|y - \hat{y}\|_2$. (We have $N+1$ outputs)

Let's rewrite our problem as a system of linear equations to have a least squares problem.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}(p) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}(N) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & y(p-1) & y(p-2) & \dots & y(0) \\ 1 & y(p) & y(p-1) & \dots & y(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & y(N-1) & y(N-2) & \dots & y(N-p) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that we consider $\hat{y}(0) = y(0) \dots \hat{y}(p-1) = y(p-1)$ as initial conditions. Thus our problem can be written as $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \|Ax - y\|_2$.

From there, we can use what we obtained in question A2 to solve the problem with a QR decomposition and backward substitution.

Discussion

Bonus question