Selberg's Sieve - Bounding Twin Primes

Grace He

Department of Pure Mathematics University of Waterloo

July 23rd, 2024



Recall Setup

Let us recall that

$$S(A, \mathcal{P}, z) = \#\{a \in A : a \text{ is not divisible by any } p \leq z \text{ with } p \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

and Selberg's Sieve gives us

$$S(A, P, z) \le \frac{X}{V(z)} + \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \le z \\ d_1, d_2 \mid P_z}} |R_{[d_1, d_2]}|$$

where

$$V(z) = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \ d \mid P_{-}}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{f_{1}(d)}$$
 $f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n}} f_{1}(d)$ and $|A_{d}| = \frac{X}{f(d)} + R_{d}$

We want to find an upper bound for $\frac{1}{V(z)}$, thus a lower bound for V(z), which motivates the following lemma:

Lemma

Lemma

Let \tilde{f} be a completely multiplicative function with $\tilde{f}(p) := f(p)$ for all primes p. Then we have

$$V(z) \geq \sum_{\substack{e \leq z \ p \mid e \Rightarrow p \mid P_z}} rac{1}{ ilde{f}(e)} \;\; ext{where} \;\; P_z = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \ p \leq z}} p$$

Note: If \mathcal{P} is the set of all primes, then the second condition $p \mid e \Rightarrow p \mid P_z$ is trivial.

Twin Primes

Definition

A prime p is called a twin prime if p + 2 is also a prime.

Let

$$\pi_2(x) := \#$$
 of twin primes $\leq x$

We would like to use Selberg's Sieve to obtain an upper bound for $\pi_2(x)$ as $x \to \infty$.

Outline of Steps

Once again, Selberg's Sieve gives us

$$S(A, P, z) \leq \frac{X}{V(z)} + \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 \mid P_z}} |R_{[d_1, d_2]}|$$

To use Selberg's Sieve, we will need to

- Find X, estimation of the size of A
- Estimate $|A_d|$ for $d \mid P_z$ to find our multiplicative function, f
- Find lower bound for V(z)
- Estimate error term

Understanding S(A, P, z)

In the setting of this problem, we define

$$A = \{n(n+2) : n \le x\}$$
 and $\mathcal{P} = \text{set of all primes}$

and for 0 < z < x, we have

$$P_z = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \le z}} p = \prod_{\substack{p \le z}} p$$

Understanding S(A, P, z)

In the setting of this problem, we define

$$A = \{n(n+2) : n \le x\}$$
 and $\mathcal{P} = \text{set of all primes}$

and for 0 < z < x, we have

$$P_z = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \le z}} p = \prod_{\substack{p \le z}} p$$

and so

$$S(A, \mathcal{P}, z) := \#\{n(n+2) : n \le x, \ p \nmid n(n+2) \text{ for all } p \le z\}$$

= $\#\{n(n+2) : n \le x, \ p \nmid n \text{ and } p \nmid (n+2) \text{ for all } p \le z\}$

Understanding S(A, P, z) Cont'd

- If $n \le z$, n(n+2) is not counted in $S(A, \mathcal{P}, z)$.
- For all twin primes z , <math>p(p+2) is counted.

And so

$$\pi_{2}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p+2 \in \mathcal{P}}} 1 = \pi_{2}(z) + \sum_{\substack{z
$$\leq \pi_{2}(z) + S(A, \mathcal{P}, z)$$
$$\leq z + S(A, \mathcal{P}, z)$$$$

Next Step

- Find X, estimation of the size of $A \checkmark$
- Estimate $|A_d|$ for $d | P_z$ to find our multiplicative function, f
- Find lower bound for V(z)
- Estimate error term

Estimating $|A_d|$

Let $d \mid P_z$, say $d = p_1 \cdots p_k$. Then we have

$$|A_d| = \#\{n(n+2) : n \le x \text{ and } d \mid n(n+2)\}\$$

= $\#\{n(n+2) : n \le x \text{ and } n(n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}\}\$

Notation

Let
$$N(q) := \#$$
 of solutions to $n(n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, $0 \le n \le q-1$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$

Notation

Let $\omega(q) := \#$ the number of prime factors of $q, q \in \mathbb{N}$

Estimating $|A_d|$ Cont'n

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $n(n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ has the same number of solutions as

$$n(n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_1}$$

$$\vdots$$
 $n(n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_k}$

Since for each $1 \le i \le k$, $N(p_i) \le 2$, we have that

$$N(d) = N(p_1) \cdots N(p_k) \leq 2^k = 2^{\omega(d)}$$

Estimating $|A_d|$ Cont'n

Further, since N(d) is only the number of solutions modulo d and we want all solutions $\leq x$, we can estimate the total number of solutions, ie. the size of A_d by

$$|A_d| = \frac{x}{d} \cdot N(d) + R_d$$
, where $R_d \leq N(d) \leq 2^{\omega(d)}$

Thus, we have our multiplicative function

$$f(d) = \frac{d}{N(d)}$$

which satisfies the conditions of Selberg's Sieve. And a simple fact for later:

$$f(p) = \frac{p}{N(p)} = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } p = 2\\ p/2, & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases}$$

Next Step

- Find X, estimation of the size of $A \checkmark$
- Estimate $|A_d|$ for $d | P_z$ to find our multiplicative function, $f \checkmark$
- Find lower bound for V(z)
- Estimate error term

Bounding V(z) - Notations

First, let use define some notations

Definition

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\tau_1(n) := \#$$
 odd divisors of n

And so for $n=2^sp_1^{e_1}\cdots p_m^{e_m}$, we have $\tau_1(n)=(e_1+1)\cdots (e_m+1)$

Definition

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\tau(n) := \# \text{ divisors of } n$$

Note that if d is square free, then $\tau(d) = 2^{\omega(d)}$.

Bounding V(z)

Let \tilde{f} be a completely multiplicative function with $\tilde{f}(p) = f(p)$ for all primes p, as defined in our lemma. Then the lemma tells use that

$$V(z) \ge \sum_{\substack{n \le z \\ p \mid n \Rightarrow p \mid P_z}} \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(n)} = \sum_{n \le z} \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(2)^s \tilde{f}(p_1)^{e_1} \cdots \tilde{f}(p_m)^{e_m}}$$

$$= \sum_{n \le z} \frac{1}{2^s (p_1/2)^{e_1} \cdots (p_m/2)^{e_m}}$$

$$= \sum_{n \le z} \frac{2^{e_1} \cdots 2^{e_m}}{n}$$

$$\ge \sum_{n \le z} \frac{(e_1 + 1) \cdots (e_m + 1)}{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n \le z} \frac{\tau_1(n)}{n}$$

Next, we have

$$\sum_{n \le z} \tau_1(n) = \sum_{n \le z} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ (d,2) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n \le z \\ d \mid n}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \left[\frac{z}{d} \right]$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n \le z} \tau_1(n) &= \sum_{n \le z} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ (d,2) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \left[\frac{z}{d} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \frac{z}{d} - \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \left\{ \frac{z}{d} \right\} \ge \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \frac{z}{d} - \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2) = 1}} 1 \end{split}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n \leq z} \tau_1(n) &= \sum_{n \leq z} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ (d,2) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \left[\frac{z}{d} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \frac{z}{d} - \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \left\{ \frac{z}{d} \right\} \geq \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \frac{z}{d} - \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} 1 \\ &\geq z \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2) = 1}} \frac{1}{d} - z \end{split}$$

For the summation

$$\sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d}, \text{ we choose } c_d = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (d,2)=1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } f(d) = \frac{1}{d}$$

Then by the partial summation technique, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2)=1}} 1 + \int_{1}^{z} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2}} \sum_{\substack{d \le t \\ (d,2)=1}} 1 \right) dt$$

For the summation

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d}, \text{ we choose } c_d = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (d,2)=1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } f(d) = \frac{1}{d}$$

Then by the partial summation technique, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2)=1}} 1 + \int_{1}^{z} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2}} \sum_{\substack{d \le t \\ (d,2)=1}} 1 \right) dt$$

$$\geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{z} \left[\frac{z+1}{2} \right]}_{>0} + \int_{1}^{z} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2}} \left[\frac{t}{2} \right] \right) dt$$

For the summation

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d}, \text{ we choose } c_d = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (d,2)=1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } f(d) = \frac{1}{d}$$

Then by the partial summation technique, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ (d,2)=1}} 1 + \int_{1}^{z} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2}} \sum_{\substack{d \le t \\ (d,2)=1}} 1 \right) dt$$

$$\geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{z} \left[\frac{z+1}{2} \right]}_{\geq 0} + \int_{1}^{z} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2}} \left[\frac{t}{2} \right] \right) dt$$

$$\geq \int_{1}^{z} \left(\frac{1}{2t} - \frac{1}{t^{2}} \right) dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \log z - \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{2}} dt = \frac{1}{2} \log z - c$$

Hence we have that

$$\sum_{n\leq z} \tau_1(n) \geq z \sum_{\substack{d\leq z\\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d} - z \geq \frac{1}{2} z \log z - \underbrace{(c+1)}_{D} z$$

Now, for

$$\sum_{n \leq r} \frac{\tau_1(n)}{n}$$
, we choose $c_n = \tau_1(n)$ and $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$

Apply partial summation again, and we get

$$V(z) \geq \sum_{n \leq z} \frac{\tau_1(n)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{4} \log^2(z) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - D\right) \log z - D \gg \log^2(z)$$

Next Step

- Find X, estimation of the size of $A \checkmark$
- Estimate $|A_d|$ for $d | P_z$ to find our multiplicative function, $f \checkmark$
- Find lower bound for V(z) \checkmark
- Estimate error term

Estimate Error Term

First, let us note that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau(n) = \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ d \mid n}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ d \mid n}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ d \mid n}} \left[\frac{x}{d} \right] \le x \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ d \le x}} \frac{1}{d}$$

Taking $c_n=1$ and $f(t)=\frac{1}{t}$, we can use partial summation to get that

$$x \sum_{d \le x} \frac{1}{d} = x \left(\frac{1}{x} \cdot [x] + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{[t]}{t^{2}} dt \right) \le x (1 + \log x) \ll x \log x$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau(n) \ll x \log x$$

Estimate Error Term Cont'd

Note that our error term when estimating $|A_d|$ satisfies

$$R(d) \leq N(d) \leq 2^{\omega(d)}$$

Thus, we have for the error term from Selberg's Sieve,

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} R([d_1,d_2]) \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} 2^{\omega([d_1,d_2])} \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} 2^{\omega(d_1)} 2^{\omega(d_2)}$$

Estimate Error Term Cont'd

Note that our error term when estimating $|A_d|$ satisfies

$$R(d) \leq N(d) \leq 2^{\omega(d)}$$

Thus, we have for the error term from Selberg's Sieve,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} R([d_1,d_2]) &\leq \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} 2^{\omega([d_1,d_2])} \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} 2^{\omega(d_1)} 2^{\omega(d_2)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ d \text{ square free}}} 2^{\omega(d)}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ d \text{ square free}}} \tau(d)\right)^2 \end{split}$$

Estimate Error Term Cont'd

Note that our error term when estimating $|A_d|$ satisfies

$$R(d) \leq N(d) \leq 2^{\omega(d)}$$

Thus, we have for the error term from Selberg's Sieve,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} R([d_1,d_2]) &\leq \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} 2^{\omega([d_1,d_2])} \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1,d_2 \leq z \\ d_1,d_2 \mid P_z}} 2^{\omega(d_1)} 2^{\omega(d_2)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ d \text{ square free}}} 2^{\omega(d)}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ d \text{ square free}}} \tau(d)\right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ d \text{ square free}}} \tau(d)\right)^2 \end{split}$$

Next Step

- Find X, estimation of the size of $A \checkmark$
- Estimate $|A_d|$ for $d \mid P_z$ to find our multiplicative function, $f \checkmark$
- Find lower bound for V(z) \checkmark
- Estimate error term √

Finalé

We shall recall our bound on $\pi_2(x)$ from before:

$$\pi_2(x) \leq z + S(A, \mathcal{P}, z)$$

As well, from Selberg and all the work we've done, we have

$$S(A, \mathcal{P}, z) \leq \frac{X}{V(z)} + \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 \mid P_z}} |R_{[d_1, d_2]}| \ll \frac{x}{\log^2(z)} + (z \log z)^2$$

Finalé

We shall recall our bound on $\pi_2(x)$ from before:

$$\pi_2(x) \leq z + S(A, \mathcal{P}, z)$$

As well, from Selberg and all the work we've done, we have

$$S(A, \mathcal{P}, z) \leq \frac{X}{V(z)} + \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 \mid P_z}} |R_{[d_1, d_2]}| \ll \frac{x}{\log^2(z)} + (z \log z)^2$$

And so

$$\pi_2(x) \ll z + \frac{x}{\log^2(z)} + (z \log z)^2$$

Now, if we pick $z = x^{1/4}$, we have

$$\pi_2(x) \ll x^{1/4} + 16 \cdot \frac{x}{\log^2(x)} + \frac{1}{16} \sqrt{x} \log^2(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log^2(x)}$$

The End

Thank You for listening!