

PMATH 440 Notes
Analytic Number Theory
Fall 2025

Based on Professor Michael Rubinstein's Lectures

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Summation Methods	4
2.1	Partial Summation	4
2.2	Euler-Maclaurin Summation and Bernoulli Polynomials	9
3	Multiplicative Functions and Dirichlet Series	15
3.1	Divisor Functions	15
3.2	Euler's Totient Function	16
3.3	Möbius Function	18
3.4	Dirichlet Series and Abcissa of Convergence	18
3.5	Product of Dirichlet Series	20

1 Introduction

Topics covered in this course

- (1). Summation methods (summation by parts, Euler-Maclaurin Summation, Poisson Summation, Dirichlet Hyperbola).
- (2). Dirichlet series and Dirichlet divisor problem.
- (3). Riemann zeta function ζ . Meromorphic continuation (ζ has a pole at $s = 1$) and functional equation.

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$$

- (4). Prime Number Theorem. If $\pi(x)$ = number of prime numbers $\leq x$, then

$$\pi(x) \sim \int_2^x \frac{1}{\log t} dt \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- (5). Dirichlet's Theorem. If $0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, there are infinitely many prime numbers of the form $ak + b$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For example, there are infinitely many primes of the form $4k + 1$.
- (6). More Complex analysis. Gamma function, Weierstrass products and possibly linear fractional transformations and modular forms.

We first introduce some asymptotic notations.

Definition. We say that $f(x) \sim g(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$$

The Prime Number Theorem says $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} = 1$$

Example. By the Stirling's approximation, we know

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Definition. Let f, g be defined on (a subset of) \mathbb{R} and g be a real-valued. We write $f(x) = \mathcal{O}(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where g is real-valued, if there exists $c > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq cg(x)$ for all $x > x_0$.

Example. $\sin(x) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ since \sin is bounded.

Example. By the Stirling's formula we have

$$n! = \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n\right) \quad \text{and} \quad n! = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n^{n+1}}{e^n}\right)$$

The first one implies the second one because $\sqrt{n} = \mathcal{O}(n)$.

Definition. We write $f(x) = o(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow a$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$$

In most cases we will take $a = \infty$ or $a = -\infty$. This means “ $f(x)$ is much smaller than $g(x)$ near a ”.

Example. By the Stirling's formula we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{\frac{n^{n+1}}{e^n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n}{\frac{n^{n+1}}{e^n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{n}} = 0$$

It follows that $n! = o(n^{n+1}/e^n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark (Vinogradov's notation). We can also write $f(x) = \mathcal{O}(g(x))$ as $f(x) \ll g(x)$.

Remark. When we write $f(x) = g(x) + \mathcal{O}(h(x))$ to mean $f(x) - g(x) = \mathcal{O}(h(x))$.

2 Summation Methods

2.1 Partial Summation

This method is the discrete version of integration by parts.

Theorem 2.1 (Partial Summation). Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuously differentiable on $[1, x]$. Then, for all $x \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} f(n)g(n) = \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} f(n) \right) g(x) - \int_1^x \sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} f(n)g'(t) \, dt \quad (1)$$

Proof. Consider the term $f(n)g(n)$, we note

$$f(n)g(x) - f(n) \int_n^x g'(t) \, dt = f(n)g(x) - f(n)(g(x) - g(n)) = f(n)g(n) \quad (2)$$

This equality is obtained by looking at the terms that have to do with $f(n)$ in (1). Then summing the equation (2) over $1 \leq n \leq x$ gives us (1). \square

Example (Harmonic Series). Consider $\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n}$. Take $f(n) = 1$ and $g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$. Then by the [Partial summation formula](#) we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} f(n) \right) g(x) - \int_1^x \sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} f(n) g'(t) dt = \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor}{x} + \int_1^x \frac{\lfloor t \rfloor}{t^2} dt$$

Here note that

$$\lfloor x \rfloor := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} 1 = \text{the largest integer } \leq x$$

and using this we define

$$\{x\} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor = \text{the fractional part of } x$$

For example $\lfloor \pi \rfloor = 3$ and $\{\pi\} = 0.1415926535897 \dots$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} &= \frac{x - \{x\}}{x} + \int_1^x \frac{t - \{t\}}{t^2} dt \\ &= 1 - \frac{\{x\}}{x} + \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} - \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \\ &= 1 + \log x - \int_1^x \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now we analyze this integral

$$\int_1^x \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt = \underbrace{\int_1^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt}_{< \infty} - \underbrace{\int_x^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt}_{\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{x})}$$

The estimation of second integral is by bounding $\{t\}/t^2$ by $1/t^2$. Therefore

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + 1 - \underbrace{\int_1^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt}_{:= \gamma} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

This constant γ is called the Euler's constant, that is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} - \log x \right) = \gamma$$

Conjecture 2.2. The Euler's constant γ is irrational.

Remark. Let $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$ be a sequence of natural numbers, then

$$\sum_{\lambda_n \leq x} f(\lambda_n)g(\lambda_n) = \left(\sum_{\lambda_n \leq x} f(\lambda_n) \right) g(x) - \int_1^x \left(\sum_{\lambda_n \leq t} f(\lambda_n) \right) g'(t) dt$$

This is a generalization of the usual [Partial summation formula](#). The proof is similar. Note that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$f(\lambda_n)g(\lambda_n) = f(\lambda_n)g(x) - \int_{\lambda_n}^x f(\lambda_n)g'(t) dt$$

Then summing over all n with $\lambda_n \leq x$ we obtain the formula.

Example (Factorial). Now let's study the asymptotic of the factorial $m!$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since the partial summation only works for sum and $m!$ is a product, we can take the log and consider $\log(m!)$. Let $f(m) = 1$ and let $g(x) = \log(x)$. By the partial summation formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(m!) &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq m} \log(n) = m \log m - \int_1^m \frac{\lfloor t \rfloor}{t} dt \\ &= m \log m - \int_1^m \frac{t - \{t\}}{t} dt \\ &= m \log m - (m - 1) + \int_1^m \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to estimate the integral and get an (rough) upper and lower bound for it.

$$0 < \int_1^m \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt < \int_1^m \frac{dt}{t} = \log m$$

Therefore

$$m \log m - (m - 1) < \log(m!) < (m + 1) \log m - (m - 1)$$

Exponentiating this inequality gives

$$\frac{m^m}{e^{m-1}} < m! < \frac{m^{m+1}}{e^{m-1}}$$

This is a weaker result than the Stirling's formula.

Remark. The prime counting function is

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = \text{number of primes } \leq x$$

For the Riemann zeta function on $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ we have the following identity

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

This is called the Euler's product. Expand the right hand side and by the unique factorization of integers we have the equality. It is sometimes more natural to study the sum of log of primes. We define the function

$$\theta(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

The Prime Number Theorem states that $\pi(x) \sim x/\log x$. In fact we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. We have

$$\theta(x) \sim x \iff \pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow). Assume $\theta(x) \sim x$. Note that

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \cdot \frac{1}{\log p}$$

Let $f(x) = \log x$ and $g(x) = 1/\log x$. By [Partial summation](#) we have

$$\pi(x) = \underbrace{\frac{\theta(x)}{\log x}}_{\sim \frac{x}{\log x}} + \int_2^x \theta(t) \cdot \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2 t}$$

Now we note that since $\theta(x) \sim x$, we know $\theta(x) = \mathcal{O}(x)$ so that

$$\int_2^x \theta(t) \cdot \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2 t} = \mathcal{O} \left(\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} \right)$$

But then we have

$$\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} = \int_2^{x^{1/2}} \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} + \int_{x^{1/2}}^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2}$$

The first integrand is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ so the integral is $\mathcal{O}(x^{1/2})$, for the second integral we use the bound

$$\int_{x^{1/2}}^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \right)$$

Combine all of these, we have

$$\pi(x) = \frac{\theta(x)}{\log x} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \right)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} = \frac{\theta(x)}{\log x} \cdot \frac{\log x}{x} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) = \frac{\theta(x)}{x} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) = 1 + o(1)$$

(\Leftarrow). Assume the PNT. Let $f(x) = 1$ and $g(x) = \log x$ when x is prime and 0 otherwise.

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \pi(x) \log x - \int_2^x \frac{\pi(t)}{t} dt$$

Thus $\theta(x) \sim x$ after some work. □

Lecture 3, 2025/09/11

Example (Meromorphic Continuation of ζ). Recall the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is only defined for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ and is equal to

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

This series converges absolutely if $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ and uniformly in any half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq X_0 > 1$. We want to extend this function to the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ using partial summation. We let $f(n) = 1$ and let $g(t) = t^{-s}$, then by the [Partial summation formula](#)

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor}{x^s} + s \int_1^x \frac{\lfloor t \rfloor}{t^{s+1}} dt$$

Here t^s is defined using the principal branch of logarithm, which is defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\infty, 0]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^s} &= \frac{x - \{x\}}{x^s} + s \int_1^x \frac{(t - \{t\})}{t^s} dt \\ &= \frac{x - \{x\}}{x^s} + \int_1^x \frac{1}{t^s} dt - s \int_1^x \frac{\{t\}}{t^{s+1}} dt \end{aligned}$$

By taking $x \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{s+1}} dt = \frac{1}{s-1} + 1 - s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{s+1}} dt \quad (*)$$

The RHS is analytic on $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ except for a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1 because the improper integral $\int_1^{\infty} t^{-r} dt$ converges when $r > 1$. Note that the function

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{s+1}} dt$$

on the RHS is analytic by Leibniz's rule (differentiation under the integral sign). Equation (*) allows us to extend the domain of ζ to $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$, with a pole at 1.

2.2 Euler-Maclaurin Summation and Bernoulli Polynomials

This summation method looks at sums of the form

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} g(n)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers and $a < b$. By the [Partial summation formula](#)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < n \leq b} g(n) &= (b-a)g(b) - \int_a^b ([t] - a)g'(t) \, dt \\ &= bg(b) - ag(b) - \int_a^b tg'(t) \, dt + a \int_a^b g'(t) \, dt + \int_a^b \{t\}g'(t) \, dt \\ &= \underbrace{bg(b) - ag(b) - \int_a^b tg'(t) \, dt}_{\star} + ag(b) - ag(a) + \int_a^b \{t\}g'(t) \, dt \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts we have

$$\star = \int_a^b tg'(t) \, dt = tg(t)|_a^b - \int_a^b g(t) \, dt = bg(b) - ag(a) - \int_a^b g(t) \, dt$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < n \leq b} g(n) &= bg(b) - ag(b) - \left(bg(b) - ag(a) - \int_a^b g(t) \, dt \right) + ag(b) - ag(a) + \int_a^b \{t\}g'(t) \, dt \\ &= \int_a^b g(t) \, dt + \int_a^b \{t\}g'(t) \, dt \end{aligned}$$

Now let us analyze the integral of $\{t\}g'(t)$. Note that on average $\{t\} = 1/2$, we can write

$$\{t\} = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\{t\} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

We have

$$\int_a^b \{t\}g'(t) \, dt = \frac{1}{2}(g(b) - g(a)) + \int_a^b \left(\{t\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) g'(t) \, dt$$

Before we continue, we need Bernoulli polynomials.

Definition. We define $B_0(x) = 1$. For $k \geq 1$ we recursively define $B_k(x)$ so that

$$B'_k(x) = kB_{k-1}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 B_k(x) \, dx = 0$$

The polynomial $B_k(x)$ is called the k -th **Bernoulli polynomials**.

Example ($B_1(x)$). Let $k = 1$. Then

$$B_1(x) = \int B_0(x) \, dx = x + B_1$$

Then because

$$\int_0^1 B_1(x) \, dx = \left[\frac{x^2}{2} + B_1 x \right]_0^1 = \frac{1}{2} + B_1 = 0$$

we know that $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$.

Example ($B_2(x)$). Let $k = 2$. Then

$$B_2(x) = \int 2B_1(x) \, dx = x^2 - x + B_2$$

Then because

$$\int_0^1 B_2(x) \, dx = \left[\frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + B_2 x \right]_0^1 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} + B_2 = 0$$

we know that $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ and $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$.

Definition. For $k \geq 0$ we define $B_k = B_k(0)$ to be the k -th Bernoulli number.

Lecture 4, 2025/09/16

Proposition 2.4. For $k \geq 0$ we have

- (a). The difference equation: $\frac{B_{k+1}(x+1) - B_{k+1}(x)}{k+1} = x^k$
- (b). Expansion in terms of Bernoulli numbers: $B_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} B_{k-m} x^m = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} B_m x^{k-m}$
- (c). The functional equation: $B_k(x) = (-1)^k B_k(1-x)$
- (d). Special values: $B_k(1) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k B_k(0) & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd and } k \geq 3 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$
- (e). Recursion of Bernoulli numbers: $\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m} B_m = 0$
- (f). Generating Function: $F(x, t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!} = \frac{ze^{zx}}{e^z - 1}$

Remark. By (a) we note that

$$B_k(0) = B_k(1) \text{ for all } k \geq 0$$

This will be useful later.

Proposition 2.5. We have the following Fourier series expansions

$$B_1(\{x\}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{n} \text{ for } x \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

$$B_k(\{x\}) = -k! \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i n x}}{(2\pi i n)^k} \text{ for } k \geq 2$$

Now we return to our discussion on Euler-Maclaurin summation. We need to study the integral

$$\int_a^b \left(\{t\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) g'(t) dt = \int_a^b B_1(\{t\}) g'(t) dt$$

Note that $B_1(\{t\}) = t - \frac{1}{2} - n$ if $n \leq t < n+1$. Hence

$$\int_a^b B_1(\{t\}) g'(t) dt = \left(\int_a^{a+1} + \cdots + \int_{b-1}^b \right) B_1(\{t\}) g'(t) dt$$

Now let us look at the integral on each interval $[n, n+1]$.

$$\int_n^{n+1} B_1(\{t\}) g'(t) dt = \int_n^{n+1} \left(t - \frac{1}{2} - n \right) g'(t) dt$$

Let $u = g'(t)$ and $dv = B_1(\{t\}) dt$. Apply integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_n^{n+1} B_1(\{t\}) g'(t) dt &= \left[\frac{1}{2} B_2(\{t\}) g'(t) \right]_n^{n+1} - \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{2} B_2(\{t\}) g''(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (B_2(1) - B_2(0)) (g'(n+1) - g'(n)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_n^{n+1} B_2(\{t\}) g''(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

We can now apply the same method to the integral $\int_n^{n+1} B_2(\{t\}) g''(t) dt$. Keep doing it, say K times, then we get the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula.

Theorem 2.6 (Euler-Maclaurin Summation). Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g^{(K)}$ exists, then for $a < b$ in \mathbb{N} we have

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} g(n) = \int_a^b g(t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{(-1)^k B_k}{k!} (g^{(k-1)}(b) - g^{(k-1)}(a)) + \frac{(-1)^{K+1}}{K!} \int_a^b B_K(\{t\}) g^{(K)}(t) dt$$

Example (Sum of powers). We claim that for $r \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n^r = \frac{B_{r+1}(N+1) - B_{r+1}(1)}{r+1}$$

We will apply [Euler-Maclaurin summation formula](#) and properties of Bernoulli polynomials to prove it. Let $g(t) = t^r$ then $g^{(r)}(t) = r!$ and

$$g^{(m)}(N) - g^{(m)}(0) = \begin{cases} r(r-1)\cdots(r-m+1)N^{r-m} & \text{if } m \leq r \\ 0 & \text{if } m \geq r+1 \end{cases}$$

Let $a = 0$ and $b = N$ and $K = r$, then by [Euler-Maclaurin summation](#) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N n^r &= \int_0^N t^r dt + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^k B_k}{k!} r(r-1)\cdots(r-k+1)N^{r-k+1} \\ &= \int_0^N t^r dt + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^k B_k}{r-k+1} \binom{r}{k} N^{r-k+1} \\ &= \int_0^N \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k B_k \binom{r}{k} t^{r-k} dt && (t^r \text{ is the } k=0 \text{ term}) \\ &= \int_0^N (-1)^r \sum_{k=0}^r B_k \binom{r}{k} (-t)^{r-k} dt && ((-1)^r (-1)^{r-k} = (-1)^k) \\ &= \int_0^N (-1)^r B_r(-t) dt = \int_0^N B_r(t+1) dt && (\text{property (b) and (c)}) \\ &= \frac{B_{r+1}(N+1) - B_{r+1}(1)}{r+1} \end{aligned}$$

Example. The [Euler-Maclaurin summation formula](#) can be used to obtain an analytic continuation of $\zeta(s)$ as far to the left as we want, and also provides a useful expansion. Consider

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n^{-s} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^N n^{-s}$$

Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, we want to extend $\zeta(s)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re} s > -K + 1$. Let $a = 1$ and $b = N$ and $g(x) = x^{-s}$. Note that

$$g^{(m)}(x) = (-1)^m s(s+1)\cdots(s+m-1)x^{-s-m}$$

for $m \geq 1$. By [Euler-Maclaurin summation formula](#) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq N} n^{-s} &= \int_1^N t^{-s} dt - \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{(-1)^k B_k}{k!} (-1)^k (s+k-2) \cdots (s+1)s (N^{-s-k+1} - 1) \\ &\quad + \int_1^N B_K(\{t\}) \frac{(-1)^{K+1}}{K!} (-1)^K (s+K-1) \cdots (s+1)s t^{-s-K} dt \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have

$$\frac{(s+k-2) \cdots (s+1)s}{k!} = \frac{1}{k} \frac{(s+k-2) \cdots (s+1)s}{(k-1)!} = \frac{1}{k} \binom{s+k-2}{k-1}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq N} n^{-s} = \int_1^N t^{-s} dt - \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{B_k}{k} \binom{s+k-2}{k-1} (N^{-s-k+1} - 1) - \binom{s+K-1}{K} \int_1^N B_K(\{t\}) t^{-s-K} dt$$

Taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have that

$$\zeta(s) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} n^{-s} = 1 + \frac{s}{1-s} + B_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k} \binom{s+k-2}{k-1} - \binom{s+K-1}{K} \int_1^{\infty} B_K(\{t\}) t^{-s-K} dt$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. The RHS is meromorphic on the region $\operatorname{Re} s > -K+1$, with a pole at $s = 1$. This gives us a meromorphic continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s > -K+1$ using the quantity on the RHS.

Remark. Since we can choose K arbitrarily large, we have a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta(s)$ to the entire \mathbb{C} except for a pole at $s = 1$. Note that we can do this because all the meromorphic continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s > -K+1$ agree on the open set $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, so they are all equal by the identity theorem for analytic functions.

Lecture 5, 2025/09/18

Example (Harmonic Series). We can now apply the [Euler-Maclaurin summation](#) to give a better estimate of the asymptotic of the harmonic series. Let $s = 1$ and $K = 3$, then apply $(*)$ above

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} &= 1 + \int_1^N t^{-1} dt + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left(-\frac{1}{N^2} + 1 \right) - \int_1^N \frac{B_3(\{t\})}{t^4} dt \\ &= \log N + 1 + \frac{1}{2N} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{12N^2} + \frac{1}{12} - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{B_3(\{t\})}{t^4} dt + \int_N^{\infty} \frac{B_3(\{t\})}{t^4} dt \\ &= \log N + \underbrace{\frac{7}{12} - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{B_3(\{t\})}{t^4} dt}_{\gamma_K} + \frac{1}{2N} - \frac{1}{12N^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that for an arbitrary K we would have

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} = \log N + \gamma_K + (\text{some terms of } N^{-1}, \dots, N^{-K}) = \log N + \gamma_K + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

It follows that

$$\gamma_K = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} - \log N \right)$$

Hence γ_K is independent of the choice of K . Therefore we can write $\gamma_K = \gamma$ and this is the Euler's constant we saw in Lecture 1.

Example (Stirling's formula). We now apply [Euler-Maclaurin summation](#) to derive the Stirling's formula. Let $g(t) = \log t$ and $g^{(k)}(t) = (-1)^{k+1}(k-1)!t^{-k}$. Hence

$$\log(n!) = \sum_{1 < m \leq n} \log(m) = \int_1^n \log(t) dt + \frac{\log n}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^{K+1} \frac{B_k}{k(k-1)} \left(\frac{1}{n^{k-1}} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{K+1} \int_1^n \frac{B_{K+1}(\{t\})}{t^{K+1}} dt$$

The integral of $\log t$ is $t \log t - t$, so the first integral evaluates to $n \log n - n + 1$. For the other integral, we have

$$\int_1^n \frac{B_{K+1}(\{t\})}{t^{K+1}} dt = \int_1^\infty \frac{B_{K+1}(\{t\})}{t^{K+1}} dt - \int_n^\infty \frac{B_{K+1}(\{t\})}{t^{K+1}} dt$$

Note that $|B_{K+1}(\{t\})|$ is bounded because $\{t\} \in [0, 1]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the first integral converges to a constant and the second integral is $\mathcal{O}(n^{-K})$. Collecting all the constant together as c , then

$$\log(n!) = n \log n - n + \frac{\log n}{2} + c + \sum_{k=2}^{K+1} \frac{B_k}{k(k-1)n^{k-1}} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-K})$$

As in the previous example, the constant c also does not depend on K . In fact, $c = \log(2\pi)/2$. Now, we can take $K = 1$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} \log(n!) &= \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n - n + \frac{\log 2\pi}{2} + \frac{B_2}{2n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n - n + \log \sqrt{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $r(n)$ represents this error term so that $r(n) = \mathcal{O}(1/n)$. Exponentiating both sides give

$$n! = \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} \cdot e^{r(n)}$$

There is $N > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that $r(n) \leq \frac{M}{n}$ for $n \geq N$. Hence

$$e^{r(n)} \leq e^{M/n} \quad \text{for } n \geq N$$

Now we have $e^{r(n)} = 1 + (e^{r(n)} - 1)$ and $e^{r(n)} - 1 = o(1)$. Therefore

$$n! = \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n}(1 + o(1)) = \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} + \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} \cdot o(1)$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 + o(1) = 1$$

Hence we obtain the Stirling's formula

$$n! \sim \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

3 Multiplicative Functions and Dirichlet Series

Definition. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called an **arithmetic function**.

Definition. We say $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **multiplicative** if $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ when $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. We say f is **completely multiplicative** if $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example. The function $f(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is completely multiplicative.

Example. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The function $f(n) = n^z$ is completely multiplicative.

3.1 Divisor Functions

Example (Divisor Function). Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The function

$$\sigma_z : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ by } \sigma_z(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^z$$

is a multiplicative function. As an example, note that $d \mid 12 \iff d \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$ and

$$\sigma_z(12) = 1 + 2^z + 3^z + 4^z + 6^z + 12^z = (1 + 2^z + 4^z)(1 + 3^z) = \sigma_z(4)\sigma_z(3)$$

More generally, let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ written in its prime factorization. Then we have $d \mid n$ if and only if $d = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k}$ where each $\beta_i \in \{0, \dots, \alpha_i\}$. Then

$$\sigma_z(n) = \sum_{d|p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}} d^z = (1 + p_1^z + \cdots + p_1^{\alpha_1 z}) \cdots (1 + p_k^z + \cdots + p_k^{\alpha_k z}) = \sigma_z(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdots \sigma_z(p_k^{\alpha_k})$$

This implies $\sigma_z(mn) = \sigma_z(m)\sigma_z(n)$ for $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. For $z = 0$ we denote $\sigma_0(n) = d(n)$, which counts the number of divisors of n .

Remark. If $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is multiplicative. Define

$$F : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ by } F(n) := \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

Similar to the methods above, for $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ we have

$$F(n) = (1 + f(p_1) + \cdots + f(p_1^{\alpha_1})) \cdots (1 + f(p_k) + \cdots + f(p_k^{\alpha_k})) = F(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdots F(p_k^{\alpha_k})$$

Therefore F is also multiplicative. Hence the sum (over divisors) of a multiplicative function is also a multiplicative function.

Lecture 6, 2025/09/23

3.2 Euler's Totient Function

Definition. Define **Euler's totient function** φ by

$$\varphi(n) = \text{number of } 1 \leq r \leq n \text{ with } (r, n) = 1$$

For example $\varphi(12) = 4$ because 1, 5, 7, 11 are coprime to it. We claim that φ is multiplicative. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(m, n) = 1$, then note that

$$(r, mn) = 1 \iff (r, m) = 1 \text{ and } (r, n) = 1$$

The condition $(r, m) = 1$ gives $\varphi(m)$ possible residue classes mod m and $(r, n) = 1$ gives $\varphi(n)$ possible residue classes mod n . By Chinese Remainder theorem, $(r, mn) = 1$ gives $\varphi(m)\varphi(n)$ possible residue classes mod mn . It follows that $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)$.

Another way to see it is that for rings R, T we have $(R \oplus T)^\times \cong R^\times \oplus T^\times$. By Chinese Remainder theorem we have the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

A residue class $a \pmod{k}$ is a unit if and only if $(a, k) = 1$. Hence $|\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^\times| = \varphi(n)$. Taking the cardinality gives

$$\varphi(mn) = |(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times| = |(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times| \cdot |(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times| = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)$$

Hence, if p_1, \dots, p_k are distinct primes and $\alpha_i \geq 0$ we have

$$\varphi(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i})$$

Now let us compute $\varphi(p^a)$ for a prime p and $a \geq 1$. Note that $\varphi(p) = p - 1$ and if $a \geq 1$, then

$$\{1 \leq n \leq p^a : (n, p^a) \neq 1\} = \{p, 2p, \dots, (p^{a-1} - 1)p, p^a\} \text{ has } p^{a-1} \text{ elements}$$

It follows that the number of $n \leq p^a$ with $(n, p^a) = 1$ is

$$\varphi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1} = p^{a-1}(p - 1)$$

In general, if $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ and each $\alpha_k \geq 1$, then

$$\varphi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i-1} (p_i - 1) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \cdot \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} = n \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

This proved the following theorem

Theorem 3.1. If $n = 1$ then $\varphi(1) = 1$. If $n \geq 2$ then $\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$.

Remark. This can be proved using a probabilistic argument. An integer $a \leq n$ satisfies $(a, n) = 1$ if and only if $p \nmid a$ for all $p \mid n$. The probability that $p \nmid a$ is $1 - p^{-1}$. Multiplying all of them gives the probability that $(a, n) = 1$. Then multiplying by n gives the number of such a .

Example. If $n = 15$ then its prime divisors are 3 and 5, so

$$\varphi(15) = 15 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{5}\right) = 15 \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} = 8$$

If $n = 12$ then its prime divisors are 2 and 3, so

$$\varphi(12) = 12 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 12 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 4$$

Now let us consider the sum of totient functions $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d)$.

Example. For example, if $n = 12$ then

$$\sum_{d|12} \varphi(d) = \varphi(1) + \varphi(2) + \varphi(3) + \varphi(4) + \varphi(6) + \varphi(12) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 4 = 12$$

In general, if $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ then by the multiplicativity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \sum_{i_k=1}^{\alpha_k} \varphi(p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_k^{i_k}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{d|p_i^{\alpha_i}} \varphi(d) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \varphi(p_i) + \cdots + \varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i})) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + (p_i - 1) + (p_i^2 - p_i) + \cdots + (p_i^{\alpha_i} - p_i^{\alpha_i-1})) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} = n \end{aligned}$$

This proved that

Theorem 3.2. If $n \geq 1$ then $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$.

3.3 Möbius Function

Definition. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the **Möbius function** μ to be

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\nu(n)} & \text{if } n \text{ is squarefree} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here $\nu(n) :=$ number of distinct prime factors of n . Note that μ is multiplicative. Let p_1, \dots, p_k be distinct primes with $\alpha_i \geq 1$. Then

$$\mu(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if all } \alpha_i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and on the other hands

$$\mu(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdots \mu(p_k^{\alpha_k}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if all } \mu(p_i^{\alpha_i}) = -1 \iff \alpha_i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \iff \text{one of } \alpha_i \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

It follows that μ is a multiplicative function.

Now let us look at the sum $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)$. If $n = 12$ then

$$\sum_{d|12} \mu(d) = \mu(1) + \mu(2) + \mu(3) + \mu(4) + \mu(6) + \mu(12) = 1 - 1 - 1 + 0 + 1 = 0$$

In general we have the following theorem

Theorem 3.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n > 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases}$

Proof. If $n = 1$ then it is trivial. Assume $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ with $\alpha_i \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \sum_{i_k=1}^{\alpha_k} \mu(p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_k^{i_k}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{d|p_i^{\alpha_i}} \mu(d) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \mu(p_i) + \cdots + \mu(p_i^{\alpha_i})) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - 1 + 0 + \cdots + 0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

3.4 Dirichlet Series and Abscissa of Convergence

Definition. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arithmetic function. For $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ we let

$$D(f; s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$

be the **Dirichlet series** associated to the function f . When does this series converge absolutely?

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(n)}{n^s} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{|n^{\sigma+it}|} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{n^{\sigma}}$$

If RHS converges for given σ_1 , then it also converges for any $\sigma > \sigma_1$. The **Abcissa of absolute convergence** of $D(f; s)$ is defined as

$$\sigma_0 := \sup \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{n^{\sigma}} \text{ converges} \right\}$$

If $\sigma > \sigma_0$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{n^{\sigma}}$ converges. If $\sigma < \sigma_0$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{n^{\sigma}}$ diverges.

If $\sigma = \sigma_0$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{n^{\sigma}}$ may or may not converge.

Example. If $1(n) = 1$ is constant, then $D(1, s) = \zeta(s)$ is the zeta function. The Abcissa of convergence is $\sigma_0 = 1$. At $\sigma = 1$, this does not converge.

Example. Let $f(n) = (\log n)^{-2}$. Then

$$D(f, s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s (\log n)^2}$$

This has Abcissa of convergence $\sigma_0 = 1$ and it converges at $\sigma = 1$. At $\sigma = 1$ we can compare it with the convergent integral

$$\int_2^{\infty} \frac{1}{t(\log t)^2} dt = \int_{\log 2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^2} du < \infty$$

Example. Let $f(n) = (-1)^{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The η function is defined by

$$\eta(s) := D(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} - \frac{1}{4^s} + \dots$$

This has Abcissa of convergence $\sigma_0 = 1$ but it converges conditionally if $\sigma > 0$ (this can be proved using partial summation).

Remark. The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) = \mathcal{O}(N^{1/2+\epsilon})$$

for $\epsilon > 0$. The Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$ has abscissa of convergence equal to 1. Assuming the RH, the series converges conditionally when $\sigma > 1/2$.

Theorem 3.4 (Uniqueness of Dirichlet Coefficients). Let F, G be Dirichlet series defined by

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s} \quad \text{and} \quad G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)n^{-s}$$

Assume that F and G converge absolutely on $\operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_0$. If $F(s) = G(s)$ for all $\operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_0$, then we have $f(n) = g(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Let $h(n) = f(n) - g(n)$. Say $h(n) \neq 0$ for at least one $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let N be the smallest such n . Hence $h(N) \neq 0$ and $h(n) = 0$ for $1 \leq n < N$. Thus

$$0 = F(s) - G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n) - g(n)}{n^s} = \frac{h(N)}{N^s} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} s > \sigma$$

Our goal is to show $h(N) = 0$ so we get a contradiction. Rearranging the equation gives

$$h(N) = -N^s \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s}$$

Write $s = \sigma + it$, hence we have

$$|h(N)| \leq N^{\sigma} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|h(n)|}{n^{\sigma}}$$

For $n \geq N+1$ and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sigma > c > \sigma_0$. Then $n^{\sigma} = n^{\sigma-c} n^c \geq (N+1)^{\sigma-c} n^c$. Hence

$$|h(N)| \leq N^{\sigma} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|h(n)|}{n^{\sigma}} \leq N^{\sigma} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|h(n)|}{(N+1)^{\sigma-c} n^c} = \left(\frac{N}{N+1} \right)^{\sigma} (N+1)^c \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|h(n)|}{n^c}$$

Here $(N+1)^c$ is bounded and the sum $\sum_{n \geq N+1} \frac{|h(n)|}{n^c}$ is bounded, as it is the tail of a convergent series. By taking $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, the RHS tends to 0. Therefore $h(N) = 0$. \square

3.5 Product of Dirichlet Series

Consider the Dirichlet series $F(s) = D(f; s)$ and $G(s) = D(g; s)$ that converge absolutely on $\sigma > \sigma_0$.

For $\sigma > \sigma_0$ consider their product

$$F(s)G(s) = \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(d)}{d^s} \right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m^s} \right)$$

Expanding this product gives us

$$f(1)g(1) + \frac{f(1)g(2) + f(2)g(1)}{2^s} + \frac{f(1)g(3) + f(3)g(1)}{3^s} + \frac{f(1)g(4) + f(2)g(2) + f(4)g(1)}{4^s} + \dots$$

Note that the coefficient of n^{-s} term in $F(s)G(s)$ are sum of $f(d)g(m)$ for $dm = n$. Therefore

$$F(s)G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{dm=n} f(d)g(m) \right) \frac{1}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \right) \frac{1}{n^s}$$

Example. Consider the zeta function $\zeta(s) = D(1; s)$. Then

$$\zeta(s)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{d|n} 1 \cdot 1 \right) \frac{1}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)}{n^s}$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have

$$\zeta(s)^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{a_1 \cdots a_k = n} 1 \right) \frac{1}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k(n)}{n^s}$$

where

$$d_k(n) := \sum_{a_1 \cdots a_k = n} 1 = \begin{array}{l} \text{number of ways to write } n \text{ as a} \\ \text{product of } k \text{ natural numbers} \end{array}$$

Example. Consider the product $\zeta(s)\zeta(s-z)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\zeta(s-z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^z}{n^s} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} s > \operatorname{Re} z + 1$$

Here $f(n) = 1$ and $g(n) = n^z$, so we have

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{d|n} d^z \right) \frac{1}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_z(n)}{n^s} = D(\sigma_z; s)$$

Example (Euler Products). Euler proved that

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} s > 1$$

The reason this is true is that, for every n^{-s} on the LHS by unique factorization this term appears exactly once on the RHS.

Now consider the reciprocal of this infinite product

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{5^s} \right) \cdots = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} - \frac{1}{3^s} - \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{(-1)^2}{6^s} + \cdots$$

On the RHS we are only getting n^{-s} for squarefree n 's and the coefficients is $(-1)^{\nu(n)}$ where $\nu(n)$ is the number of prime factors of n . By the definition of Möbius function we have

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$$

and this series converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. Thus

$$1 = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \cdot \zeta(s) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \right) \frac{1}{n^s}$$

By the [Uniqueness of Dirichlet series](#) we have

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is exactly [Theorem 3.3!!](#)

Example (Dirichlet series of $\varphi(n)$). Note that if $\sigma > 2$ then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^{\sigma}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^{\sigma}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma-1}} \text{ converges}$$

On the other hand, if $\sigma \leq 2$ then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^{\sigma}} \geq \sum_p \frac{p-1}{p^{\sigma}} \text{ diverges because } \sum_p \frac{1}{p} \text{ diverges}$$

Hence the Abscissa of convergence is 2 and the series diverges at 2. But

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\varphi(p)}{p^s} + \frac{\varphi(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s > 2$$

by the unique factorization and multiplicativity of $\varphi(n)$. Using the formula $\varphi(p^a) = p^{a-1}(p-1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{p-1}{p^s} + \frac{p(p-1)}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{p-1}{p^s} \left(1 + \frac{p}{p^s} + \frac{p^2}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{p-1}{p^s} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s-1}} \right)^{-1} \right) = \prod_p \frac{1 - p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-(s-1)}} = \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s > 2 \end{aligned}$$