2018-08-13 Error correction

- 1. Further bit checking
 - a. Increase Hamming distance between valid code words with more parity bits
 - b. Example: 4-bit word

7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Bit position
D3	D2	D1	C2	D0	C1	CO	Bit type (D = data, C = check / parity)

- i. C0 is the parity bit over bits 3, 5, 7
- ii. C1 is the parity bit over bits 3, 6, 7
- iii. C2 is the parity bit over bits 5, 6, 7
- c. Original data: 0110. Let's calculate the code word associated with it.
 - i. Fill in table, then calculate check bits

7	6	5	4	3	2	1
0	1	1	C2	0	C1	CO

- ii. For CO, bits 3, 5, 7 are 0, 1, 0. XOR(010) = 1, so CO = 1.
- iii. For C1, bits 3, 6, 7 are 0, 1, 0. XOR(010) = 1, so C1 = 1.
- iv. For C2, bits 5, 6, 7 are 1, 1, 0. XOR(110) = 0, so C2 = 0.
- v. Putting these together, we get 0110011.
- d. Let's flip one of the bits now. Is 0010011 valid?
 - i. Fill in the table, then verify check bits

7	6	5	4	3	2	1
D3	D2	D1	C2	D0	C1	CO
0	0	1	0	0	1	1

- ii. For C0, bits 3, 5, 7 are 0, 1, 0. XOR(010) = 1, so C0 = 1.
- iii. For C1, bits 3, 6, 7 are 0, 0, 0. XOR(000) = 0, so C1 = 0.
- iv. For C2, bits 5, 6, 7 are 1, 0, 0. XOR(100) = 1, so C2 = 1.
- v. We have a mismatch with C1 and C2, thus the codeword was invalid.
 - 1. We calculated C1 = 0, but the bit received was 1.
 - 2. Same applies for C2 = 1, but bit received was 0.
- e. Error correction we know there was an error, how do we fix it?
 - i. XOR the generated check bits with the check bits from the received word
 - ii. Result tells us exactly where the error occurred
 - iii. This is possible because of the way we've laid out the check bits
 - 1. This is also why we started with 1 instead of 0 when numbering the bits
 - iv. XORing each bit together:

Received: 011 Calculated: 101 XOR: 110

- v. So we know bit position 6 was the error, we correct that one
 - 1. We get 0110011, which was our original code word before we flipped anything
 - 2. Extracting the data, we get 0110, which was our original data
- 2. Why this works
 - a. Each data bit must be covered (checked) by at least 2 parity bits
 - i. Doesn't matter which parity bits cover which data bits
 - ii. However, doing it in the way we did above allows you to determine the error location easily
 - b. Why we start numbering from 1
 - i. Need a bit pattern that indicates there were no errors
 - ii. If the recreated check bits match the original check bits, XOR returns 0
 - iii. We use that position to indicate no errors
 - c. Which parity bits check which data bits

Error correction

- i. C0 is in location 1
 - Checks all bits with bit 1 high (except for itself)
 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, so on
- ii. C1 is in location 2
 - 1. Checks all bits with bit 2 high (except for itself)
 - 2. 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19, so on
- iii. C2 is in location 4
 - 1. Checks all bits with bit 4 high (except for itself)
 - 2. 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21, so on
- iv. If we had a C3, it would be in location 8
 - 1. Would check all bits with bit 8 high (except for itself)
 - 2. 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 24, 25, so on