## P464 Computational Project — Research Questions

Equations are solved for the kinematic regime unless specified otherwise, with diffusivity  $\eta_T=\eta+\eta_t$  taken to be constant in time and space. The basic properties of the solution are the exponential growth rate (eigenvalue)  $\gamma$  (local growth rate if solving in z) or  $\Gamma$  (global growth rate if solving in r), pitch angle  $p_B(z)$  or  $p_B(r)$  and critical dynamo number  $D_c$ , as well as the spatial dependence of the solution (eigenfunction)  $B_r(z)$ ,  $B_\phi(z)$  or  $B_r(r)$ ,  $B_\phi(r)$ . Use parameter values appropriate for galaxies like the Milky Way. Unless otherwise specified, you may neglect the  $\alpha^2$  effect and set  $\overline{V}_r$  and  $\overline{V}_z$  to zero. For those solving the equations in r, choices need to be made about the radial dependence of the parameters. For those solving the equations in z you may take  $\alpha \propto \sin(\pi z/h)$  unless specified otherwise.

- 1. Explore the differences between the  $\alpha^2-\Omega$  dynamo and  $\alpha-\Omega$  dynamo (1D in z) **Abhinav**
- 2. Explore the effects of including outflows, that is  $\overline{V}_z \neq 0$ . You may assume that  $\overline{V}_z = V_0 z/h$  (1D in r) **Adhil**
- 3. Explore the differences between the  $\alpha^2$  dynamo and the  $\alpha-\Omega$  dynamo (1D in z) **Anand**
- 4. Explore the effects of outflows (winds or fountain flow)  $\overline{V}_z \neq 0$  (1D in r) **Aniket**
- Explore the sensitivity of the solution to the seed field. E.g. how long does it take the solution to converge to the eigenfunction for a given seed field?
   (1D in z) Aritra
- Explore the sensitivity of the solution to the seed field. E.g. how long does it take the solution to converge to the eigenfunction for a given seed field?
   (1D in r) Diptarko
- 7. Test the validity of the no-z approximation (1D in z) **Gaurav**
- 8. Explore the effects of radial inflow, that is  $\overline{V}_r < 0$  (1D in r) Gayathri K

- 9. Make  $\overline{V}_z$  increase with time so that it quenches the dynamo (1D in z) **Mohamed**
- 10. Make  $\overline{V}_z$  increase with time so that it quenches the dynamo (1D in r) **Niti**
- 11. Assume  $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0(z)/(1+B^2/B_{\rm eq}^2)$  and obtain saturated solutions (1D in z) **Ganesh**
- 12. Assume  $\alpha(r)=\alpha_0(r)/(1+B^2/B_{\rm eq}^2)$  and obtain saturated solutions (1D in r) **Ritabik**
- 13. Explore the sensitivity of the solutions to the order of the finite differencing algorithm (1D in z) **Sagar**
- 14. Explore the sensitivity of the solutions to the order of the finite differencing algorithm (1D in r) **Soham**
- 15. Explore the sensitivity of the solutions to the functional form adopted for  $\alpha(z)$ , e.g.  $\alpha_0 \sin(\pi z/h)$  or  $\alpha_0 z/h$  or  $\pm \alpha_0$  with or  $\alpha(z)$  an even function of z (1D in z) **Sunil**
- 16. Explore the sensitivity of the solutions to different choices of boundary conditions (including the location of the outer radius) (1D in r) **Swaroop**
- 17. Explore the sensitivity of the solutions to the order of the Runge-Kutta algorithm (1D in z) **Swosti**
- 18. Explore the sensitivity of the solutions to the order of the Runge-Kutta algorithm (1D in r) **Upasana**
- 19. For what values of  $R_{\alpha}$  and  $R_{\omega}$  are the solutions of the  $\alpha^2-\Omega$  dynamo oscillatory? (1D in z) **Abha**
- 20. Explore how the solutions evolve when one allows the rotation curve to change with time in a realistic way as the galaxy evolves (1D in r) **Arshia**
- 21. Solve the equations using vector potential A ( $\phi = 0$  gauge is simplest; see Brandenburg 2003, Sec. 5) and compare with the solutions for the equations in B what are the differences and which method is most efficient? (1D in z) **Chandan**
- 22. Solve the equations using vector potential A ( $\phi=0$  gauge is simplest; see Brandenburg 2003, Sec. 5) and compare with the solutions for the equations in B what are the differences and which method is most efficient? (1D in r) **Gayathri S**
- 23. Test the formula for critical dynamo number from the no-z solution, by varying  $\overline{V}_z$  (1D in z) **Krishna**

- 24. Compare the local growth rate  $\gamma(r)$  from the no-z approximation (tutorial 1) with the global growth rate  $\Gamma$  (1D in r) **Maitrey**
- 25. Assume quadrupolar symmetry to set boundary conditions at the midplane and solve the equations using half the grid (e.g. 0 to h instead of -h to h). Compare with the standard method using the full grid. Which method is more efficient, more accurate? (1D in z) **Oommen**
- 26. Compare the solutions obtained under different, realistic prescriptions for disk flaring (1D in r) **Rajalakshmi**
- 27. For the  $\alpha^2-\Omega$  dynamo explore the parameter space  $R_\alpha$ ,  $R_\Omega$  (1D in z) Ratul
- 28. How does the solution change if radial derivatives are neglected? Is it faster to solve the equations? (1D in r) **Sanyam**
- 29. Explore the dependence of the solution on the time step. Determine the maximum time step as a function of the dynamo number and growth rate. How does the choice of time step affect the computational efficiency?

  (1D in z) Sumegha
- 30. Compare different realistic prescriptions for  $\overline{V}_z(r)$  (1D in r) **Vasanth**
- 31. Explore the dependence of the solution on the spatial resolution. Determine the minimum number of grid points as a function of the dynamo number and growth rate. How does the choice of resolution affect the computational efficiency? (1D in z) **Vishnu**