# Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA):

# A Comprehensive Study of Accelerated Proximal Gradient Methods

# Lecture Notes

# July 17, 2025

# Contents

1	Intr	roduction and Motivation	2						
	1.1	Overview of Sparse Optimization	2						
	1.2	The $\ell_1$ -Regularized Least Squares Problem	2						
	1.3	Comparison of Regularization Norms	2						
2	Proximal Gradient Methods 3								
	2.1	Limitations of Classical Gradient Descent	3						
	2.2	The Proximal Mapping	3						
	2.3	Proximal Operator for $\ell_1$ Regularization	3						
	2.4	Visualization of Thresholding Operators	4						
3	The	Proximal Gradient Algorithm	5						
	3.1	Algorithm Development	5						
	3.2		5						
	3.3	Step Size Selection	5						
		3.3.1 Lipschitz Constant Computation	5						
		3.3.2 Backtracking Line Search	6						
	3.4	Convergence Analysis	6						
4	Fast	t Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA)	7						
	4.1	Motivation for Acceleration	7						
	4.2	The FISTA Algorithm	7						
	4.3	Key Innovations in FISTA	7						
	1.0	4.3.1 The Momentum Sequence	7						
		4.3.2 The Extrapolation Step	8						
	4.4	Convergence Theory	8						
	4.5	Geometric Interpretation	8						
	4.0		C						

<b>5</b>	Implementation Considerations and Extensions				
	5.1	Practical Implementation Details			
		5.1.1 Stopping Criteria			
		5.1.2 Computational Complexity			
	5.2	Extensions and Variants			
		5.2.1 Adaptive Restart			
		5.2.2 Strong Convexity			
	5.3	Applications Beyond $\ell_1$ Regularization			
	5.4	Numerical Experiments and Convergence Behavior			

# 1 Introduction and Motivation

## 1.1 Overview of Sparse Optimization

The Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA) represents a significant advancement in solving composite optimization problems, particularly those involving sparsity-inducing regularizers. This document provides a comprehensive treatment of the theoretical foundations, algorithmic development, and practical implementation of FISTA.

The fundamental optimization problem we consider takes the form:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}) \tag{1}$$

where:

- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth, convex function with Lipschitz continuous gradient
- $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex, possibly non-smooth regularization term
- The composite function F captures both data fidelity and structural constraints

## 1.2 The $\ell_1$ -Regularized Least Squares Problem

A canonical instance of (1) arises in sparse signal recovery and compressed sensing:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \right\|_2^2 + \lambda \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_1 \right\}$$
 (2)

Here, the objective function comprises:

- 1. Data fidelity term:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$ 
  - $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  represents the measurement or design matrix
  - $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  denotes the observed data vector
  - This quadratic term quantifies the discrepancy between model predictions and observations
- 2. Regularization term:  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ 
  - $\lambda > 0$  is the regularization parameter controlling sparsity
  - The  $\ell_1$  norm promotes sparse solutions by encouraging many components to be exactly zero

# 1.3 Comparison of Regularization Norms

Norm	Definition	Properties	Optimization	Applications
$\ell_0$	$\ \mathbf{x}\ _0 =  \{i : x_i \neq 0\} $	Non-convex, discontinuous	NP-hard	Exact sparsity
$\ell_1$	$\ \mathbf{x}\ _{1} = \sum_{i}  x_{i} $	Convex, non-smooth	Tractable	Convex relaxation
$\ell_2$	$\ \mathbf{x}\ _2 = \sqrt{\sum_i x_i^2}$	Convex, smooth	Closed-form	Ridge regression

Table 1: Comparison of commonly used regularization norms in optimization

## 2 Proximal Gradient Methods

### 2.1 Limitations of Classical Gradient Descent

The non-smoothness of the  $\ell_1$  norm presents a fundamental challenge for classical optimization methods. Consider the subdifferential of the  $\ell_1$  norm at a point  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$\partial \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : v_{i} \in \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } x_{i} > 0 \\ \{-1\} & \text{if } x_{i} < 0 \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } x_{i} = 0 \end{cases} \right\}$$

$$(3)$$

The multi-valued nature of the subdifferential at  $x_i = 0$  precludes the direct application of gradient descent, necessitating more sophisticated approaches.

# 2.2 The Proximal Mapping

**Definition 2.1** (Proximal Operator). For a convex function  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , the proximal operator is defined as:

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h}(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \left\{ h(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2} \right\}$$
(4)

The proximal operator can be interpreted as:

- A generalization of orthogonal projection onto convex sets
- A trade-off between minimizing h and staying close to  $\mathbf{v}$
- An implicit gradient step that handles non-smoothness

# 2.3 Proximal Operator for $\ell_1$ Regularization

**Theorem 2.2** (Soft Thresholding). The proximal operator of  $h(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$  is given componentwise by:

$$[\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda \| \cdot \|_{1}}(\mathbf{v})]_{i} = S_{\lambda}(v_{i}) = \operatorname{sign}(v_{i}) \max\{|v_{i}| - \lambda, 0\}$$

$$(5)$$

where  $S_{\lambda}$  is the soft-thresholding operator.

*Proof.* For the scalar case, we need to solve:

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda |u| + \frac{1}{2} (u - v)^2 \right\} \tag{6}$$

Taking the subdifferential and setting it to contain zero:

$$0 \in \lambda \cdot \partial |u| + (u - v) \tag{7}$$

$$v \in u + \lambda \cdot \partial |u| \tag{8}$$

Case analysis yields:

- If  $v > \lambda$ :  $u = v \lambda$
- If  $v < -\lambda$ :  $u = v + \lambda$
- If  $|v| \le \lambda$ : u = 0

Combining these cases gives the soft-thresholding formula.

# 2.4 Visualization of Thresholding Operators

The soft-thresholding operator exhibits the following characteristics:

- Shrinkage effect: Non-zero coefficients are reduced by  $\lambda$
- Sparsification: Coefficients with  $|v_i| \leq \lambda$  are set to zero
- Sign preservation: The sign of large coefficients is maintained

Key Insight: Soft thresholding simultaneously promotes sparsity and shrinks large coefficients

# 3 The Proximal Gradient Algorithm

## 3.1 Algorithm Development

The proximal gradient method, also known as the Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (ISTA), combines gradient descent for the smooth part with proximal operations for the non-smooth part.

**Theorem 3.1** (Proximal Gradient Iteration). For problem (1) with f having L-Lipschitz gradient, the iteration:

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha q} \left( \mathbf{x}^k - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k) \right) \tag{9}$$

converges to the optimal solution when  $0 < \alpha < 2/L$ .

## 3.2 ISTA for $\ell_1$ -Regularized Least Squares

For the specific problem (2), the algorithm takes the form:

Algorithm 1 Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (ISTA)

- 1. Initialize: Choose  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , step size  $\alpha > 0$
- 2. For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  do:
  - (a) Compute gradient:  $\mathbf{g}^k = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^k \mathbf{b})$
  - (b) Gradient step:  $\mathbf{z}^k = \mathbf{x}^k \alpha \mathbf{g}^k$
  - (c) Soft threshold:  $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = S_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{z}^k)$
- 3. Until convergence criterion is met

# 3.3 Step Size Selection

# 3.3.1 Lipschitz Constant Computation

**Definition 3.2** (Lipschitz Continuity of Gradient). A function f has L-Lipschitz continuous gradient if:

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|_{2} \le L \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{2}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
 (10)

For the quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$ :

**Lemma 3.3.** The Lipschitz constant of  $\nabla f$  is  $L = \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}\|_2 = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})$ , where  $\lambda_{\max}$  denotes the largest eigenvalue.

*Proof.* The gradient is  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$ . Thus:

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|_2 = \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\|_2$$
(11)

$$\leq \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2 \tag{12}$$

$$= \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}) \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2 \tag{13}$$

where we used the fact that the spectral norm equals the largest eigenvalue for symmetric positive semidefinite matrices.  $\Box$ 

#### 3.3.2 Backtracking Line Search

When computing eigenvalues is impractical, adaptive step size selection via backtracking provides a robust alternative:

#### Algorithm 2 Backtracking Line Search for Proximal Gradient

- 1. Parameters:  $\beta \in (0,1)$  (typically  $\beta = 0.5$ ),  $\eta \in (0,1)$  (typically  $\eta = 0.9$ )
- 2. **Initialize**:  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  (initial guess, e.g.,  $\alpha_0 = 1$ )
- 3. Repeat:
  - (a) Compute:  $\mathbf{x}^+ = \text{prox}_{\alpha g}(\mathbf{x}^k \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k))$
  - (b) **While**  $F(\mathbf{x}^{+}) > F(\mathbf{x}^{k})$ :
    - Set  $\alpha \leftarrow \beta \alpha$
    - Recompute  $\mathbf{x}^+$
- 4. **Set**:  $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^+$

# 3.4 Convergence Analysis

**Theorem 3.4** (ISTA Convergence Rate). For the proximal gradient method with constant step size  $\alpha = 1/L$ , we have:

$$F(\mathbf{x}^k) - F(\mathbf{x}^*) \le \frac{L \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2}{2k}$$

$$\tag{14}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is an optimal solution.

Important: ISTA achieves O(1/k) convergence

# 4 Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA)

#### 4.1 Motivation for Acceleration

While ISTA achieves O(1/k) convergence, Nesterov's acceleration technique can improve this to  $O(1/k^2)$  without additional computational cost per iteration. This acceleration is achieved through a momentum-like mechanism that exploits the history of iterates.

## 4.2 The FISTA Algorithm

Algorithm 3 Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA)

- 1. Initialize:
  - Choose  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{y}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$
  - Set  $t_1 = 1$
  - Choose step size  $\alpha \leq 1/L$
- 2. For  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  do:
  - (a) Proximal gradient step:

$$\mathbf{x}^{k} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha q}(\mathbf{y}^{k} - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{y}^{k}))$$
(15)

(b) Update momentum parameter:

$$t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2} \tag{16}$$

(c) Compute extrapolated point:

$$\mathbf{y}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k + \frac{t_k - 1}{t_{k+1}} (\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^{k-1})$$
 (17)

3. Until convergence

# 4.3 Key Innovations in FISTA

#### 4.3.1 The Momentum Sequence

The sequence  $\{t_k\}$  satisfies the recurrence relation:

$$t_{k+1}^2 - t_{k+1} - t_k^2 = 0 (18)$$

This yields the closed-form expression:

$$t_k = \frac{k+1}{2} + O(1) \approx \frac{k}{2} \text{ for large } k$$
 (19)

#### 4.3.2 The Extrapolation Step

The extrapolation coefficient:

$$\beta_k = \frac{t_k - 1}{t_{k+1}} \approx \frac{k - 2}{k + 1} \to 1 \text{ as } k \to \infty$$
 (20)

This creates an "overshoot" effect that accelerates convergence by anticipating the trajectory of the iterates.

## 4.4 Convergence Theory

**Theorem 4.1** (FISTA Convergence Rate). For FISTA with step size  $\alpha = 1/L$ , the following bound holds:

$$F(\mathbf{x}^k) - F(\mathbf{x}^*) \le \frac{2L \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2}{(k+1)^2}$$
 (21)

**Remark 4.2.** The  $O(1/k^2)$  rate is optimal for first-order methods on the class of convex functions with Lipschitz continuous gradients.

## 4.5 Geometric Interpretation

FISTA can be viewed as performing gradient descent on an auxiliary sequence  $\{y^k\}$  that is constructed to have favorable properties:

- The sequence  $\{\mathbf{y}^k\}$  exhibits less oscillation than  $\{\mathbf{x}^k\}$
- The extrapolation step creates a "look-ahead" effect
- The momentum builds up over iterations, accelerating convergence in consistent directions

# 5 Implementation Considerations and Extensions

## 5.1 Practical Implementation Details

#### 5.1.1 Stopping Criteria

Common convergence criteria for FISTA include:

1. Relative change in objective:

$$\frac{\left|F(\mathbf{x}^k) - F(\mathbf{x}^{k-1})\right|}{\left|F(\mathbf{x}^{k-1})\right|} < \epsilon_{\text{obj}}$$
(22)

2. Relative change in iterates:

$$\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}^{k} - \mathbf{x}^{k-1}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{x}^{k-1}\right\|_{2}} < \epsilon_{\text{sol}}$$

$$(23)$$

3. Optimality conditions:

$$\operatorname{dist}(0, \partial F(\mathbf{x}^k)) < \epsilon_{\text{opt}} \tag{24}$$

#### 5.1.2 Computational Complexity

Per iteration, FISTA requires:

- One gradient evaluation: O(mn) for matrix-vector products
- One soft-thresholding operation: O(n)
- Vector operations: O(n)

Total complexity: O(mn) per iteration, same as ISTA but with faster convergence.

#### 5.2 Extensions and Variants

#### 5.2.1 Adaptive Restart

Adaptive restart strategies can further improve practical performance:

Restart if: 
$$\langle \mathbf{y}^k - \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^{k-1} \rangle > 0$$
 (25)

This condition detects when the momentum is counterproductive.

#### 5.2.2 Strong Convexity

When f is  $\mu$ -strongly convex, linear convergence can be achieved:

$$F(\mathbf{x}^k) - F(\mathbf{x}^*) \le \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}\right)^k \left[F(\mathbf{x}^0) - F(\mathbf{x}^*)\right]$$
(26)

Regularizer	Proximal Operator	Application
$\ \mathbf{x}\ _1$	Soft thresholding	Sparse recovery
$\ \mathbf{x}\ _2$	Scaling	Group sparsity
$\delta_C(\mathbf{x})$	Projection onto $C$	Constrained optimization
$\ \mathbf{X}\ _*$	Singular value thresholding	Low-rank matrix recovery

Table 2: Common regularizers and their proximal operators

# 5.3 Applications Beyond $\ell_1$ Regularization

FISTA's framework extends to various proximal operators:

## 5.4 Numerical Experiments and Convergence Behavior

In practice, FISTA exhibits several characteristic behaviors:

1. Initial phase: Rapid decrease in objective value

2. Middle phase: Steady convergence with momentum benefits

3. Final phase: Oscillations may occur near the solution

Comparison with ISTA Empirical studies consistently show FISTA requiring 5-10Œ fewer iterations than ISTA for the same accuracy, validating the theoretical acceleration.

# Conclusion

The Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm represents a fundamental advancement in composite convex optimization, combining:

- Elegant handling of non-smooth regularizers via proximal operators
- Optimal convergence rates through Nesterov's acceleration
- Practical efficiency and broad applicability

FISTA's success has inspired numerous extensions and remains a cornerstone algorithm in machine learning, signal processing, and computational statistics.