

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
Electrical & Computer Engineering Department
ECE 250 - Winter Quarter 2020
Random Processes

Solutions to P.S. #7

1. *Symmetric random walk.* Let X_n be a random walk defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= 0, \\ X_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i, \end{aligned}$$

where Z_1, Z_2, \dots are i.i.d. with $P\{Z_1 = -1\} = P\{Z_1 = 1\} = \frac{1}{2}$.

- (a) Find $P\{X_{10} = 10\}$.
- (b) Approximate $P\{-10 \leq X_{100} \leq 10\}$ using the central limit theorem.
- (c) Find $P\{X_n = k\}$.

Solution:

- (a) Since the event $\{X_{10} = 10\}$ is equivalent to $\{Z_1 = \dots = Z_{10} = 1\}$, we have $P\{X_{10} = 10\} = 2^{-10}$.
- (b) Since $E(Z_j) = 0$ and $E(Z_j^2) = 1$, by the central limit theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{-10 \leq X_{100} \leq 10\} &= P\left\{-1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{100}} \sum_{i=1}^{100} Z_i\right) \leq 1\right\} \\ &\approx 1 - 2Q(1) = 2\Phi(1) - 1 \\ &\approx 0.682. \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_n = k\} &= P\{(n+k)/2 \text{ heads in } n \text{ independent coin tosses}\} \\ &= \binom{n}{\frac{n+k}{2}} 2^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

for $-n \leq k \leq n$ with $n+k$ even.

2. *Absolute-value random walk.* Consider the symmetric random walk X_n in the previous problem. Define the absolute value random process $Y_n = |X_n|$.
- (a) Find $P\{Y_n = k\}$.
 - (b) Find $P\{\max_{1 \leq i < 20} Y_i = 10 \mid Y_{20} = 0\}$.

Solution:

(a) If $k \geq 0$ then

$$\mathbf{P}\{Y_n = k\} = \mathbf{P}\{X_n = +k \text{ or } X_n = -k\}.$$

If $k > 0$ then $\mathbf{P}\{Y_n = k\} = 2\mathbf{P}\{X_n = k\}$, while $\mathbf{P}\{Y_n = 0\} = \mathbf{P}\{X_n = 0\}$. Thus

$$\mathbf{P}\{Y_n = k\} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{(n+k)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} & k > 0, n - k \text{ is even, } n - k \geq 0 \\ \binom{n}{n/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n & k = 0, n \text{ is even, } n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) If $Y_{20} = |X_{20}| = 0$ then there are only two sample paths with $\max_{1 \leq i < 20} |X_i| = 10$ that is, $Z_1 = Z_2 = \dots = Z_{10} = +1, Z_{11} = \dots = Z_{20} = -1$ or $Z_1 = Z_2 = \dots = Z_{10} = -1, Z_{11} = \dots = Z_{20} = +1$. Since the total number of sample paths is $\binom{20}{10}$ and all paths are equally likely,

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\max_{1 \leq i < 20} Y_i = 10 \mid Y_{20} = 0\right\} = \frac{2}{\binom{20}{10}} = \frac{2}{184756} = \frac{1}{92378}.$$

3. *Discrete-time Wiener process.* Let $Z_n, n \geq 0$ be a discrete time white Gaussian noise (WGN) process, i.e., Z_1, Z_2, \dots are i.i.d. $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Define the process $X_n, n \geq 1$ as $X_0 = 0$, and $X_n = X_{n-1} + Z_n$ for $n \geq 1$.

- (a) Is X_n an independent increment process? Justify your answer.
- (b) Is X_n a Gaussian process? Justify your answer.
- (c) Find the mean and autocorrelation functions of X_n .
- (d) Specify the first order pdf of X_n .
- (e) Specify the joint pdf of X_3, X_5 , and X_8 .
- (f) Find $\mathbf{E}(X_{20} | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10})$.
- (g) Given $X_1 = 4, X_2 = 2$, and $0 \leq X_3 \leq 4$, find the minimum MSE estimate of X_4 .

Solution:

- (a) Yes. The increments $X_{n_1}, X_{n_2} - X_{n_1}, \dots, X_{n_{k_1}} - X_{n_k}$ are sums of different Z_i random variables, and the Z_i are IID.
- (b) Yes. Any set of samples of $X_n, n \geq 1$ are obtained by a linear transformation of IID $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables and therefore all n th order distributions of X_n are jointly Gaussian (it is not sufficient to show that the random variable X_n is Gaussian for each n).
- (c) We have

$$\mathbf{E}[X_n] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[Z_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_X(n_1, n_2) &= \mathbb{E}[X_{n_1} X_{n_2}] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} Z_i \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Z_j \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathbb{E}[Z_i Z_j] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n_1, n_2)} \mathbb{E}(Z_i^2) \quad (\text{IID}) \\
&= \min(n_1, n_2).
\end{aligned}$$

(d) As shown above, X_n is Gaussian with mean zero and variance

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(X_n) &= \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] - \mathbb{E}^2[X_n] \\
&= R_X(n, n) - 0 \\
&= n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, n)$.

$$\text{Cov}(X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}) = \mathbb{E}(X_{n_1} X_{n_2}) - \mathbb{E}(X_{n_1})\mathbb{E}(X_{n_2}) = \min(n_1, n_2).$$

Therefore, X_{n_1} and X_{n_2} are jointly Gaussian random variables with mean $\mu = [0 \ 0]^T$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & \min(n_1, n_2) \\ \min(n_1, n_2) & n_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

(e) $X_n, n \geq 1$ is a zero mean Gaussian random process. Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_3 \\ X_5 \\ X_8 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[X_3] \\ \mathbb{E}[X_5] \\ \mathbb{E}[X_8] \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_X(3, 3) & R_X(3, 5) & R_X(3, 8) \\ R_X(5, 3) & R_X(5, 5) & R_X(5, 8) \\ R_X(8, 3) & R_X(8, 5) & R_X(8, 8) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Substituting, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_3 \\ X_5 \\ X_8 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

(f) Since X_n is an independent increment process,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(X_{20}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10}) &= \mathbb{E}(X_{20} - X_{10} + X_{10}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10}) \\
&= \mathbb{E}(X_{20} - X_{10}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10}) + \mathbb{E}(X_{10}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10}) \\
&= \mathbb{E}(X_{20} - X_{10}) + X_{10} \\
&= 0 + X_{10} \\
&= X_{10}.
\end{aligned}$$

- (g) The MMSE estimate of X_4 given $X_1 = x_1$, $X_2 = x_2$ and $a \leq X_3 \leq b$ equals $\mathbb{E}[X_4|X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, a \leq X_3 \leq b]$. Thus, the MMSE estimate is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[X_4 | \{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, a \leq X_3 \leq b\}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X_2 + Z_3 + Z_4 | \{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, a \leq X_2 + Z_3 \leq b\}] \\
&= x_2 + \mathbb{E}[Z_3 | \{a - x_2 \leq Z_3 \leq b - x_2\}] + \mathbb{E}[Z_4] \\
&\quad (\text{since } Z_3 \text{ is independent of } (X_1, X_2), \text{ and } Z_4 \text{ is independent of } (X_1, X_2, Z_3)) \\
&= x_2 + \mathbb{E}[Z_3 | \{a - x_2 \leq Z_3 \leq b - x_2\}].
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging in the values, the required MMSE estimate is $\hat{X}_4 = 2 + \mathbb{E}[Z_3 | \{Z_3 \in [-2, 2]\}]$. We have

$$f_{Z_3|Z_3 \in [-2, 2]}(z_3) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{Z_3}(z_3)}{\mathbb{P}(Z_3 \in [-2, 2])}, & z_3 \in [-2, 2] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

which is symmetric about $z_3 = 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{E}[Z_3 | \{Z_3 \in [-2, 2]\}] = 0$ and we have $\hat{X}_4 = 2$.

4. *Wiener process.* Recall the following definition of the (standard) Wiener process:

- $W(0) = 0$,
- $\{W(t)\}$ is independent increment with $W(t) - W(s) \sim N(0, t - s)$ for all $t > s$,
- $\mathbb{P}\{\omega : W(\omega, t) \text{ is continuous in } t\} = 1$.

Let $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$ be independent Wiener processes.

- (a) Find the mean and the variance of

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_1(t) + W_2(t)).$$

Is $\{X(t)\}$ a Wiener process? Justify your answer.

- (b) Find the mean and the variance of

$$Y(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_1(t) - W_2(t)).$$

Is $\{Y(t)\}$ a Wiener process? Justify your answer.

- (c) Find $\mathbb{E}[X(t)Y(s)]$.

Solution:

- (a) Since $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$ are independent, Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance t , the sum $S(t) = W_1(t) + W_2(t)$ is Gaussian with mean zero and variance $2t$. The random variable $X(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_1(t) + W_2(t))$ is therefore Gaussian with mean zero and variance t . Noting that $W_i(t) - W_i(s) \sim N(0, t - s)$, $t > s$ for $i = 1, 2$, a similar

argument shows that $X(t) - X(s) \sim N(0, t - s)$, $t > s$. It remains to show that $X(t)$ is independent increment. 'For this, we will use transform methods and exploit the fact that for independent random variables X, Y ,

$$\Phi_{X,Y}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \Phi_X(\omega_1)\Phi_Y(\omega_2).$$

Specifically, we will show that, for $t_0 < t_1$, the random variables $W(t_0)$ and $W(t_1) - W(t_0)$ are independent. A similar argument can then be applied to other pairs of increments. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{W(t_0), W(t_1) - W(t_0)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{j\omega_1 W(t_0) + j\omega_2 (W(t_1) - W(t_0))}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{j\omega_1 (W_1(t_0) + W_2(t_0)) / \sqrt{2} + j\omega_2 ((W_1(t_1) + W_2(t_1)) - (W_1(t_0) + W_2(t_0))) / \sqrt{2}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j(\omega_1 W_1(t_0) + j\omega_2 (W_1(t_1) - W_1(t_0)))} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j(\omega_1 W_2(t_0) + j\omega_2 (W_2(t_1) - W_2(t_0)))}] \\ (W_1(t), W_2(t)) \text{ independent} &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j(\omega_1 W_1(t_0) + j\omega_2 (W_1(t_1) - W_1(t_0)))}] \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j(\omega_1 W_2(t_0) + j\omega_2 (W_2(t_1) - W_2(t_0)))}] \\ \text{ind. increment} &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j\omega_1 W_1(t_0)}] \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j\omega_2 (W_1(t_1) - W_1(t_0))}] \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j\omega_1 W_2(t_0)}] \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j\omega_2 (W_2(t_1) - W_2(t_0))}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j(\omega_1 W_1(t_0) + j\omega_2 (W_1(t_1) - W_1(t_0)))}] \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j(\omega_1 W_2(t_0) + j\omega_2 (W_2(t_1) - W_2(t_0)))}] \\ (W_1(t), W_2(t)) \text{ independent} &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j\omega_1 (W_1(t_0) + W_2(t_0))}] \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j\omega_2 ((W_1(t_1) + W_2(t_1)) - (W_1(t_0) + W_2(t_0)))}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{j\omega_1 W(t_0)}] \mathbb{E}[e^{j\omega_2 (W(t_1) - W(t_0))}] \\ &= \Phi_{W(t_0)}(\omega_1) \Phi_{W(t_1) - W(t_0)}(\omega_2). \end{aligned}$$

which proves independence of $W(t_0)$ and $W(t_1) - W(t_0)$

- (b) The arguments and answers from part (a) apply to the difference $Y(t)$.
(c)

$$\begin{aligned} E[X(t)Y(s)] &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(W_1(t) + W_2(t))(W_1(s) - W_2(s))] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[W_1(t)W_1(s) + W_2(t)W_1(s) - W_1(t)W_2(s) - W_2(t)W_2(s)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}[W_1(t)W_1(s)] + \mathbb{E}[W_2(t)W_1(s)] - \mathbb{E}[W_1(t)W_2(s)] - \mathbb{E}[W_2(t)W_2(s)]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (R_{W_1}(t, s) + 0 + 0 - R_{W_2}(t, s)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have use the independence of the processes $\{W_1(t)\}$ and $\{W_2(t)\}$, along with the fact that $R_{W_1}(t, s) = R_{W_2}(t, s)$.

5. *Autoregressive process.* Let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \frac{1}{2}X_{n-1} + Z_n$ for $n \geq 1$, where Z_1, Z_2, \dots are i.i.d. $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Find the mean and autocorrelation function of X_n .

Solution: This autoregressive process is an example of a Gauss-Markov process, as described in Section 8.2.5, with parameter $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and noise process variance $N = 1$. The mean function is

$$\mu_X(n) = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The autocorrelation function is

$$\begin{aligned} R_X(n_1, n_2) &= \alpha^{|n_2 - n_1|} \frac{1 - \alpha^{2\min(n_1, n_2)}}{1 - \alpha^2} N \\ &= 2^{-|n_1 - n_2|} \frac{4}{3} [1 - 4^{-\min(n_1, n_2)}] \end{aligned}$$

6. *Moving average process.* Let Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, \dots be i.i.d. $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

- (a) Let $X_n = \frac{1}{2}Z_{n-1} + Z_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Find the mean and autocorrelation function of X_n .
- (b) Is $\{X_n\}$ wide-sense stationary? Justify your answer.
- (c) Is $\{X_n\}$ Gaussian? Justify your answer.
- (d) Is $\{X_n\}$ strict-sense stationary? Justify your answer.
- (e) Find $\mathbb{E}(X_3|X_1, X_2)$.
- (f) Find $\mathbb{E}(X_3|X_2)$.
- (g) Is $\{X_n\}$ Markov? Justify your answer.
- (h) Is $\{X_n\}$ independent increment? Justify your answer.

Solution:

- (a)

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(Z_{n-1}) + \mathbb{E}(Z_n) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_X(m, n) &= \mathbb{E}(X_m X_n) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}Z_{n-1} + Z_n \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}Z_{m-1} + Z_m \right) \right] \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}^2], & n - m = 1 \\ \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}^2] + \mathbb{E}[Z_n^2], & n = m \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[Z_n^2], & m - n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{5}{4}, & n = m \\ \frac{1}{2}, & |n - m| = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Since the mean and autocorrelation functions are time-invariant, the process is WSS.
- (c) Since (X_1, \dots, X_n) is a linear transform of a GRV (Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n) , the process is Gaussian.
- (d) Since the process is WSS and Gaussian, it is SSS.
- (e) Since the process is Gaussian, the conditional expectation (MMSE estimate) is linear. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}(X_3|X_1, X_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5/4 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 5/4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{21}(10X_2 - 4X_1).$$

- (f) Similarly, $\mathbb{E}(X_3|X_2) = (2/5)X_2$.
- (g) Since $\mathbb{E}(X_3|X_1, X_2) \neq \mathbb{E}(X_3|X_2)$, the process is not Markov.
- (h) Since the process is not Markov, it is not independent increment.

7. *QAM random process.* Consider the random process

$$X(t) = Z_1 \cos \omega t + Z_2 \sin \omega t, \quad -\infty < t < \infty,$$

where Z_1 and Z_2 are i.i.d. discrete random variables such that $p_{Z_i}(+1) = p_{Z_i}(-1) = \frac{1}{2}$.

- (a) Is $X(t)$ wide-sense stationary? Justify your answer.
- (b) Is $X(t)$ strict-sense stationary? Justify your answer.

Solution:

Note that $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mathbb{E}[Z_2] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[Z_1^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z_2^2] = 1$.

- (a) We first check the mean.

$$\mathbb{E}(X(t)) = \mathbb{E}(Z_1) \cos \omega t + \mathbb{E}(Z_2) \sin \omega t = 0 \cdot \cos(\omega t) + 0 \cdot \sin(\omega t) = 0.$$

The mean is independent of t . Next we consider the autocorrelation function.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X(t+\tau)X(t)) &= \mathbb{E}((Z_1 \cos(\omega(t+\tau)) + Z_2 \sin(\omega(t+\tau)))(Z_1 \cos(\omega t) + Z_2 \sin(\omega t))) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(Z_1^2) \cos(\omega(t+\tau)) \cos(\omega t) + \mathbb{E}(Z_2^2) \sin(\omega(t+\tau)) \sin(\omega t) \\ &= \cos(\omega(t+\tau)) \cos(\omega t) + \sin(\omega(t+\tau)) \sin(\omega t) \\ &= \cos(\omega(t+\tau) - \omega t) = \cos \omega \tau. \end{aligned}$$

The autocorrelation function is also time invariant. Therefore $X(t)$ is WSS.

- (b) Note that $X(0) = Z_1 \cos 0 + Z_2 \sin 0 = Z_1$, so $X(0)$ has the same pmf as Z_1 . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} X\left(\frac{\pi}{4\omega}\right) &= Z_1 \cos(\pi/4) + Z_2 \sin(\pi/4) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 + Z_2) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2} & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{-2}{\sqrt{2}} = -\sqrt{2} & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{4} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $X(\pi/4\omega)$ does not have the same pdf or even same range as $X(0)$. Therefore $X(t)$ is not first-order stationary and as a result is not SSS.

8. *Convergence of random processes.* Let $\{N(t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ . Recall that the process is independent increment and $N(t) - N(s)$, $0 \leq s < t$, has the pmf

$$p_{N(t)-N(s)}(n) = \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}(\lambda(t-s))^n}{n!}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Define

$$M(t) = \frac{N(t)}{t}, \quad t > 0.$$

- (a) Find the mean and autocorrelation function of $\{M(t)\}_{t>0}$.

$N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$, so $E[M(t)] = \lambda t/t = \lambda$.

In the discussion session, we found the autocorrelation function of the Poisson process,

$$R_N(t_1, t_2) = \lambda \min(t_1, t_2) + \lambda^2 t_1 t_2.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} R_M(t_1, t_2) &= E[M(t_1)M(t_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} E[N(t_1)N(t_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} R_N(t_1, t_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} (\lambda \min(t_1, t_2) + \lambda^2 t_1 t_2) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\max(t_1, t_2)} + \lambda^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note also that $\text{Var}(M(t)) = R_M(t, t) - E[M]^2 = \frac{\lambda}{t}$.

- (b) Does $\{M(t)\}_{t>0}$ converge in mean square as $t \rightarrow \infty$, that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[(M(t) - M)^2] = 0$$

for some random variable (or constant) M ? If so, what is the limit?

The Chebyshev Inequality states that

$$P(|M(t) - E[M(t)]| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(M(t))}{\epsilon^2}$$

so

$$P(|M(t) - \lambda| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\lambda}{t\epsilon^2}.$$

Now,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{t\epsilon^2} = 0$$

so

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(|M(t) - \lambda| \geq \epsilon) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(|M(t) - \lambda| \leq \epsilon) = 1.$$

Thus, $M(t)$ converges in probability to λ , so we conjecture that it converges in mean square as well. We find that

$$\mathbf{E}[(M(t) - \lambda)^2] = \mathbf{E}[M(t)^2] - 2\lambda\mathbf{E}[M(t)] + \lambda^2 = \frac{\lambda}{t}$$

so

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[(M(t) - \lambda)^2] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{t} = 0,$$

confirming the conjecture.