QI  

$$\hat{y}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1}\times\hat{0}$$
  $\hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{y}-\hat{\beta}_{1}\hat{\times}\hat{2}$   
Plug  $\bar{x}$  to  $\hat{0}$   $\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1}\bar{x}$   
Plug  $\hat{\omega}$   $\bar{y}-\hat{\beta}_{1}\bar{x}+\hat{\beta}_{1}\bar{x}=\bar{y}$ 

Q2 COH(Xy) 
$$= \frac{SSXy}{JSSXX}$$

=  $SSYY - \frac{\beta^2}{\beta^2} SSXX$ 

=  $SSYY - \frac{(SSXY)^2}{(SSXX)^2} \cdot SSXX$ 

=  $SSYY - \frac{(SSXY)^2}{SSXX} \cdot SSXX$ 

=  $SSYY - \frac{(SSXY)^2}{SSXX} \cdot \frac{(SSXy)^2}{SSXX}$ 

=  $SSYY - \frac{SSXy}{SSXy} \cdot \frac{(SSXy)^2}{SSXX}$ 

=  $SSYY - \frac{SSXy}{SSXy} \cdot \frac{SSXX}{SSXX}$ 

=  $\frac{SSXy}{SSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JSSY} \cdot \frac{1}{JSSY} \cdot \frac{1}{JFGHY(X,Y)^2}$ 

=  $\frac{SSXy}{SSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JSSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JFGHY(X,Y)^2}$ 

=  $\frac{SSXy}{JSSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JSSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JFGHY(X,Y)^2}$ 

=  $\frac{SSXy}{JSSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JSSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JFGHY(X,Y)^2}$ 

=  $\frac{SSXy}{JSSXX} \cdot \frac{1}{JSSYX} \cdot \frac{1}{JFGHY(X,Y)^2}$ 

Q3 Ho: 
$$\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 = 4\beta_3$$
 | where  $Var(\hat{\beta}_1 + 2\hat{\beta}_2 + 4\hat{\beta}_3 | x)$   
H,:  $\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 4\beta_3$  | =  $Var(\hat{\beta}_1 | x) + 4Var(\hat{\beta}_2 | x) + 16(\hat{\beta}_3 | x) + 4Cov(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2 | x) - 3Cov(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_3 | x) - 4Cov(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2 | x)$ 

$$+ = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 + 2\hat{\beta}_2 - 4\hat{\beta}_3}{Var(\hat{\beta}_1 + 2\hat{\beta}_2 - 4\hat{\beta}_3 | x)}$$
| 16 Cov( $\hat{\beta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_3 | x$ )

```
Q4
```

- a) E(blood pressure (X)= Bo + B1. Xage + B2. Xweight + B3. X piabetes statu
- b) NO. If we are going to plot the rolation between Diabetes Status and blood pressure, we won't See a quadratic trend Since Diabetes Status is a Categorical variable

05

- a) Ho: B1=0
- b) No. To be able to if  $X_1$ : squadratically related to Y, one must include  $X_1^2$  in the model. So I would add  $\beta_7 X_1^2$  term to the model
- C) Ho: Bs =0

06

- a) n-(4+1)=42 => n=47
- b) male
- C) female and income
- When changing from 'male group to female group, we estime an average decrease of 21.11833 pounds expenditure on gambling by keeping other cotticients unchanged.
- e) 52.67%
- f) It's same as the unit of gamble variable, which is pounds
- 9) i Ho: B, = Bz = Bq=0

Ha: At least one B; to i=1,2,3,4

ii test Statistic = 11.69 , p-value = 1.815 x10-6

iii F distribution, F4,42

iv Since p-value is less than 5%, we reject the null hypothesis.

We can conclude that overall model is statistically significant.