P4: TRAIN A SMARTCAB TO DRIVE

ANDY PEREZ

1. Implementation of a basic driving agent

Since we are initially setting the move/action to be entirely random (using python's random number generation to choose one of the four possible actions), it's not surpising that the car moves rather randomly and erratically. Turns are as likely as moving forward, so it tends to snake around quite a lot. It can take a considerable amount of time, but the car does eventually reach the target location, although it never reached it on time during my runs.

2. Identify and update state

The set of states I chose to model the environment are as follows, along with a justifaction for each.

• Orientation of traffic light at intersection (N-S or E-W)

When at an intersection and making a decision as to whether proceed or wait, it's vitally important to know whether the light is red (and hence you have to wait else incur a negative reward) or proceed forwards. There are no other variables besides inputs['light'] that can convey this information, thus it's vital to include it.

• Whether there is oncoming traffic, traffic to the left, or traffic to the right

These are all related so instead of considering separately I've put them
all under one item. It also is important to know the position of other cars
at an intersection before proceeding wth an action. According to the rules
of the road, one needs to be aware of other cars at the intersection before
making a decision so that they can be given the right of way if necessary,
particularly when one is attempting to make a turn.

• The next waypoint

There needs to be some way of including in the status variables some sort of guidance as to which direction the car is to go, or else it'll have no reason to move towards the destination square as opposed to some random square. This is the direction we want to go and that we want the car to go unless there's a good reason not, so hence it should be included since our car is supposed to follow the plans of the planner and this is how it communicates with the car.

• Whether the deadline is imminent

This is another factor that affects how you drive. Since the reward of reaching the desired end state is larger than the possible positive or negative rewards in absolute value at any interrsection, it may be the case that when a car is near the goal but almost out of time it wants to skimp on

1

2

the rules and incur negative rewards for a bit in order to be able to reach the destination on time and get the large positive reward that comes with it. Since the negative reward is -1, I chose to use whether the deadline is within 6 or not, for the environment isn't very large, and there's little gain to be had to start rushing and incurring negative rewards before, since with 6 negative rewards of value -1 and the 6 unrecieved postiive rewards of 0.5 for not doing wrong actions, we already almost fully cancel out the reward for reaching the final state.

The environment is modeled as an ever-changing intersection with the use of these variables. At every time step, one ends up at the same place. Cars appear, lights change, and waypoints change as given by the actual full environment outside these variables. However, this myopic is sufficient, since the car does not need to see past the string of intersections in order to make its decisions except for figuring out how to get to the destination. However, that is already taken care of by the planner, which takes into consideration the bearing and the relative positions of the car and the destination.

3. Implement Q-Learning

Well, first of all the agent is much more effective at reaching the target after implmenting Q-learning, at least after going through a large amount of rounds of learning. At first, obviously, it's still going to be mostly random. It still doesn't reach the target a lot of the time, but that's still a massive improvement. It seems to go in circles sometimes for no particular reasion, making four right turns in a row sometimes.

4. Enhancing the Driving Agent

First of all, the *deadline_approaching* variable was removed from the list of states that Q learning operates on. The reason being that there were already too many states, and having this extra state doubled the number of possible states. It would be worth using it, possibly, if there was a way to couple states where *deadline_approaching* was True/False so that learning Q in one affected Q in the other. If it's a good idea to normally make a right turn in a certain situation, it's at least a decent idea to do so when a deadline is approaching as well. The car arrived at its destination quite before the target time almost always though, so there wasn't much learning on the proper Q values for states with this variable set to True, so I just removed it.

Furthermore, I reduced the initialized default values for Q from 10 to 4. It turned out that setting them to 10 slowed down the convergence of Q to it's actual values by too much. Because the learning rate went as $\alpha=1/t$, by the time some of the rarer state-action pairs were being accessed, the learning rate was too slow to reduce the 10s to anywhere near their actual values. However, when Q is nearer the actual expected values of states, which tend to be around 2-3, then we create a model that is still very exploratory, but not too much so to the point of not learning the good choices in time. I reduced ϵ , the frequency with which random actions are taken, from .1 to .06, although this didn't have much effect except to make it so that there are less random actions in the model once it's been trained. Having

epsilon go even lower but start higher by allowing it to vary with t would have been appropriate as well, but just having it set to a constant sufficed for our purposes.

4.1. AdaBoost.

 \bullet Time consumption and F1 score table (averaged over four runs)

	Training set size		
	100	200	300
Training time (secs)	0.087	0.088	0.098
Prediction time (secs)	0.005	0.008	0.008
F1 score for training set	0.964	0.867	0.848
F1 score for test set	0.716	0.785	0.803