These proposals are based on the Members' Council meeting of December 2nd, 2017, in London.

- a) All CLPs should be both required to develop meaningful and effective membership engagement strategies. These strategies should include welcome packs, skills audits, phoning and visiting new members in person, the purposeful organising of social events to welcome and enthuse new members, as well as political education. These strategies should have the full logistic support of regional officers, as well as paid organisers in CLPs with high memberships;
- b) All branch and CLP standing orders should be made available to relevant members on membersnet;
- c) Data protection laws governing the use of data by branches and CLPs should be made available to members on membersnet, along with short, clear explainers which clearly outline the implications of this for the use of data at the CLP level, as well as the measures to be implemented in the case of infringements of the law;
- d) CLPs should be able, and encouraged, to upload minutes onto membersnet which can be accessed by members within the relevant branch or constituency;
- e) Online voting to be made available to CLP delegates or members (in CLPs which use All Member Meetings) to allow higher levels of participation by people unable to attend;
- f) A requirement for every CLP to have a disability officer role as an executive position, either as a standalone position, or alternatively incorporated into the remit of another executive position (this could also form the basis of submission under the 'Improving diversity at all levels within the party' theme)

To be discussed:

- a) There was some discussion of establishing roles for randomly selected members in CLPs. However there was not full agreement over this because some members questioned whether randomly selected members would turn up and participate meaningfully;
- b) There was also discussion over whether there should be term limits for CLP or branch officers (e.g. 5 years);
- c) There was broad agreement on the importance of providing creche facilities, but also recognition of the legal and safeguarding obstacles to doing so. One possible solution to this was to specifically focus on teachers who are already CRB checked and ask see if they could look after the creches (this could be a better fit under the 'Greater participation of women' theme;