MTH225-13 In-class exercise 2: Hierarchical Logistic Regression

Names: (signatures only please, printed names will not be counted)

1.)	4.)
2.)	5.)
3.)	6.)

Overview

In the previous exercise, we analyzed this data with a logistic regression model that had separate priors for each tank.

When analyzing data with a large number of levels, classical (non-hierarchical) linear models offer two extremes:

- Pool all tanks and estimate a single common average effect for all tanks.
- Don't pool anything and estimate each tank separately.

A compromise is possible in which we employ "partial pooling": this acknowledges the possibility that while individual tanks differ, they also have some similarities so that knowing the data from one tank contributes something to our knowledge of other tanks.

This type of model is called hierarchical, because in effect we have a hierarchy of parameters: our model includes a common prior for the priors at the tank level.

Depending on how similar the tanks are, and how much variation there is within a tank, a hierarchical model can often provide a better representation of the data by making use to some extend of all tanks when estimating an individual tank's parameter.

Description of the data

As before, we are interested in the survival rate of tadpoles in captivity.

We have data from 48 different tanks that represents the original number of tadpoles in the tank, the number that survived, and a categorical variable for the size of the tank (big or small).

We are interested in estimating the survival probability and the precision of our estimate of that probability for each tank.

We are also interested in whether the size of the tank has any effect on the survival probability.

The logit transform and logistic regression model

Like any probability, we know p has to be in the interval [0,1]. However, the computations will be more stable if we "stretch" the range of p to $-\infty, \infty$). This is known as a *logit* transformation, and the formula for it is:

$$l(p) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) \quad 0$$

A bit of thought should convince you that

$$l(p) \to \infty$$
 as $p \to 1$

and

$$l(p) \to -\infty$$
 as $p \to 0$

The wider range for p makes the algorithms used to estimate it more stable numerically.

The model for logistic regression can be written as:

$$l(p) = X\beta + e$$

where X represents a 'design' matrix or matrix of predictors, and β is a vector of regression coefficients, one for each predictor.

The e term is a vector representing "noise" or measurement error in the response, and is usually assumed to be a vector of independent, identically distributed normal random variables with mean zero and a common standard deviation σ_e .

In this problem, we have two classification-type predictors:

• $a_{tank}[i]$ represents the effect of tank i on l(p)

• $a_{size}[j]$ represents the effect of tank size on l(p) (j is 1 for small tanks, 2 for large tanks)

We could also describe this model as a two-way ANOVA with binomial data, but it is more common to lump this type of model into "logistic regression".

Logistic regression is very widely used in the sciences.

Running the analysis

Use the following files (downloaded from github) to run the logistic regression:

- MTH225_week13_IC1a_example1.Rnw
- MTH225_week13_IC1a_example1.stan

Interpreting the results

The STAN code will produce estimates for the following parameters:

- a_tank[i] Effect of tank i on l(p)
- a_size[j] Effect of size j on l(p)
- lp[i] Logit-transformed probability of survival for tank i
- p[i] Probability of survival for tank i

Use the STAN output to answer the following questions:

- Find the point estimate of the logit-transformed survival probabity l(p) for tank 1 and a 95% credible interval for it.
- \bullet Find the point estimate of the survival probability p for tank 2 and a 95% credible interval for it.
- Based on the 95% credible intervals, are the survival probabilities for tanks 1 and 2 different (i.e., do the credible intervals overlap? If so, by how much?)
- Does tank size appear to make a difference in the survival rate?

Note: The data used is from $Statistical\ Rethinking$: A Bayesian Course with $Examples\ in\ R\ and\ STAN$ by Richard McElreath (CRC Press, December 2015)