

A basic grammar for English

$S \rightarrow NP VP$

$NP \rightarrow DET N$

$NP \rightarrow NP PP$

$VP \rightarrow V$

$VP \rightarrow V NP$

$VP \rightarrow V PP$

$VP \rightarrow V NP NP$

$VP \rightarrow V NP PP$

$VP \rightarrow V PP PP$

$PP \rightarrow P NP$

$DET \rightarrow the \mid a \mid my...$

$N \rightarrow boy \mid girl \mid book$

$V \rightarrow cries \mid sleeps$

$V \rightarrow likes \mid reads$

$V \rightarrow dreams \mid complains$

$V \rightarrow shares \mid discusses$

$V \rightarrow gives \mid tells$

$N \rightarrow talks \mid speaks$

$P \rightarrow of \mid about \mid to \mid with$

\rightarrow

Context-Free Grammars

- ▶ A context-free grammar (CFG) is defined as $G = (\Sigma, V, S, P)$ where :
 - ▶ Σ is a finite set of *terminal* symbols
 - ▶ V is a finite set of *non-terminal* symbols, $V \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$
 - ▶ S is a distinguished symbol in V , termed the *axiom* of the grammar
 - ▶ P is a set of rewrite rules such that if $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is in P , then (i) $\alpha \in V$ and (ii) $\beta \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$
- ▶ The language $L(G)$ of a grammar G is defined as :

$$L(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \text{ st. } S \Rightarrow_G^* w\}$$

- ▶ A word in $L(G)$ derives from S and contains only terminal symbols
- ▶ A *protoword* is any word α in $(V \cup \Sigma)^*$ such that $S \Rightarrow^* \alpha$.

A simple context-free Grammar

- ▶ Let $G_1 = (\{a, b\}, \{S\}, S, \{S \rightarrow aSb, S \rightarrow ab\})$
- ▶ $ab \in L(G_1)$, since $S \Rightarrow ab$
- ▶ $aabb \in L(G_1)$: $S \Rightarrow aSb$ (by rule 1) and $aSb \Rightarrow aabb$ (by rule 2), then $S \Rightarrow^* aabb$.
- ▶ $\forall n > 0, a^n b^n \in L(G_1)$. Proof :
 - ▶ true for $n = 1, n = 2$.
 - ▶ if true for n , then $S \Rightarrow^* a^n b^n$ and the last rule used must be $S \rightarrow ab$: $S \Rightarrow^* a^{n-1} S b^{n-1} \Rightarrow a^n b^n$.
Replace the last rule with $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aabb$ to get $a^{n+1} b^{n+1}$
- ▶ $L(G_1) = \{a^n b^n, n > 0\}$ (consider the protowords).

Recognition = Search

- ▶ \Rightarrow_G defines an oriented graph (=binary relationship) over the set of protowords.
- ▶ $w \in L(G)$ amounts to finding a path in this graph
- ▶ caveat : the graph is infinite (yet locally finite), classical path-finding algorithms don't work
- ▶ search strategies :
 - ▶ from S to w : top-down recognition
 - ▶ from w to S : bottom-up recognition
 - ▶ depth-first (sequential exploration of paths)
 - ▶ breadth-first (parallel exploration of paths)
 - ▶ ...

Brute-force approach : top-down recursive descent

Solution :

```
% top down recognition
tdr(Proto, Word):- match(Proto, Word, [], []).

tdr([X|Proto], Word) :-
rule(X, RHS),
append(RHS, Proto, NewProto),
match(NewProto, Word, NewProto1, NewWord),
tdr(NewProto1, NewWord).

match([X|L1], [X|L2], R1, R2) :- !, match(L1, L2, R1, R2).
match(L1, L2, L1, L2).
```

- ▶ this procedure only visit left-derivations : is it a problem ?
- ▶ speed-up : check that the *terminal prefix* of $NEWP$ is consistent with w .
- ▶ it fails if G contains left-recursive rules $A \rightarrow A\alpha$. Why?

Brute-force approach : top-down recursive descent

Solution :

```
% top down recognition
bulrp([s]).

bulrp(P):-
    append(Pref,Rest,P),
    append(RHS,Suff,Rest),
    rule(X,RHS),
    append(Pref,[X|Suff],NEWP),
    write(NEWP), nl,
    bulrp(NEWP).
```

- ▶ bottom-up parsing is based on *reductions*
- ▶ it fails if G contains unproductive rules or chain rules rules
 $A \xRightarrow{*} A$. Why?