Group 10 Annotation Guideline
SI630: Homework 3

Score 1: Not helpful
(if the reply matches **1** of the criteria below)

1.   Wrong answer (correctness)
      I.   Totally wrong or misleading answer
      II.   Answer goes totally off-course, misunderstand the question
      III.   Commercial advertisement
      IV.   Meaningless answer

2.   Not understandable (quality)
      I.   Gibberish, non-meaningful sentence
      II.   Most of the content (70%) are in foreign languages (not in English)
      III.   Disorganized structure, made it very hard to understand
      IV.   Poor grammar, makes it very hard to understand,
      V.   It makes completely no sense / It does not make any sense at all and/ or has ambiguous information.
      VI.   It cannot relate to ANY situation that would fit the answer to the question / It contains totally irrelevant information.

3.   Too few useful information (quantity)
      I.   The answer is too short to provide enough information / It lacks all the required data and misses all the required information.

> ***Example:***
> *Question: Do you have any repressed memories? How did you find out, and what is it?*
> *Answer: I can't remember.*

      II.   Not enough indication/description about what the author meant
      III.   Too many irrelevant information (greater than 70%)

4.   Abusive/hatred answer
Example:
Question: What's the dumbest decision you have made, that you don't regret?
Answer: Burning that orphqnage. the whole countrt is after me now, but atleast I got to hear the screams of those children

Score 2: A little not helpful
(if the reply matches **2** of these categories)

1.   Answer the question but not accurately (correctness)
        I.   The answer meets the question but is not accurately answered. Ex. The question asks when, but the answer is how / the answer is answering a portion of the question.
        II.   Lost some keywords that the question asks for
        III.   Some chunks of information are correct while most of it is incorrect.

2.   Hard to understand (quality)
        I.   The answer uses too many idioms that is not common
        II.   The grammar problem leads the answer misunderstand
        III.   Disorganized structure, made people take time to understand
        IV.   The credibility of the data/ information could be questioned or compromised.
        V.   The answers that make no sense at first glance, you would need a second thought to digest the answer

3.   Too much information (quantity)
        I.   The answer provides too much non-useful information (50% - 70%)
        II.   Not enough indication/description
                Example:
                Question: Have you ever stepped back and questioned your entire identity? What caused it?
                Answer: Having infinite amounts of alone time is perfect for thinking.

Score 3: Satisfactory
(if the reply matches **either 2** of these categories)

1.   Answered the question, but not fully correct (correctness)
        I.   Lost a few points in the question
        II.   It does make some sort of sense but not completely understandable.

2.   Understandable (quality)
        I.   Most of the grammar are correct, only minor issue
        II.   Structure may not perfect, but acceptable

3.   Enough information for the answer, but not perfect (quantity)
        I.   Can provide more piece of information
        II.   Lots of irrelevant information (50%). There is a lot of information which is either bland or it is useless.

4.   Satisfactory answer:
        I.   The information or results can lead towards an open-ended discussion.
        II.   It is biased and not everyone concurs with it.

5.   Humor, accurate but unrelated answer
        Example:
        Question: People who ran away from their families, what was it like, and why did you do it?
        Answer: I ran away because I farted a lot. My family hated it. But I loved it. I continue to fart to this day

Score 4: Helpful
(**Must match category 1** and match **1** of the other two categories)

1.   Correct answer (Correctness) / the answers that you would reply in a real life, real world setting **(must have)**
        I.   Answered most of the points, only minor left; Most of the information is accurate although there is some misinformation or data that is doubtful.
                Example:
                Question: What was the worst job you ever had and why?
                Answer: Retail for a small family business. I was required to wear a suit and tie and stand all day in uncomfortable dress shoes on a hard floor. The pay was $10/hr, with commissions â€œpossibleâ€ if the owner decided he wanted to pay them that month. I never earned a dime of commissions no matter how many times I hit their target for sales. By the time I quit, my feet hurt so bad all the time that I could barely stand up.

        II.   People can implement the answer to fix the problem with only a few further lookup

2.   Easy to understand (quality)
        I.   Only minor grammar and structure issue, but not critical
        II.   Use the right example to illustrate
        III.   It encompasses all the requirements but still the quality of the results is not up to date.

3.   Enough information (quantity)
        I.   Only a few irrelevant information (25%)
        II.   Only need a few further lookup

III.   It does have the complete expected answer however most of the significant results are present.

Score 5: Very Helpful
(Match **all** of these categories, **must match category 1**)
(The reply can vary in length)

1.   Correct answer (Correctness) **(must have)**
    I.   Answered all the points in the question
    II.   People can implement the answer to fix the problem with almost no further lookup / the answer is constructive and actionable for the person who ask the question
    III.   It contains the truth and accurate information / The answers that not only make sense completely

2.   Good in quality (quality)
    I.   Straightforward
    II.   Succinct
        Example:
        Question: What song brings tears to your eyes?
        Answer: Chop suey by system of a down

    III.   Almost no grammar and structure issue
    IV.   It is complete in terms of an answer, and it is concise at the same time as well.
    V.   Can be sarcasm but matches other category
        Example:
        Question: What is a good job that pays well straight out of high school?
        Answer: Prostitution, if you?e in an area where it? Legal

3.   Just right enough information (quantity)
    I.   It consists of relevant information which a user is looking for.
    II.   It covers all the requirements and contains all the data and information.