

Closure properties of CFLs

Closure ?

- The CFL are closed under some operation means after performing that particular operation on those CFLs, The resultant language is CFL.

Closure properties of CFLs

The context free languages **are closed** under the following operations:-

- Union
- Concatenation
- Closure and positive closure
- Homomorphism

Closure properties of CFLs

The context free languages **are not closed** under the following operations:-

- Intersection
- Complement

Closed under Union

- If L_1 and L_2 are Context free languages then $L=L_1 \cup L_2$ is also Context free.

Proof:-

- Let L_1 and L_2 be CFLs generated by the CFGs

$G_1=(V_1,T_1,P_1,S_1)$

$G_2=(V_2,T_2,P_2,S_2)$

- We assume that V_1 and V_2 are disjoint
- Also S_3 is not in V_1 or V_2

- Construct a grammar

$G_3=(V_3,T_3,P_3,S_3)$

$V_3=V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S_3\},$

$T_3=T_1 \cup T_2$

$P_3 = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{ S_3 \rightarrow S_1 | S_2 \}$

Closed under Union

- If w is in L_1 , then the derivation
- $S_3 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow w$ is a derivation in G_3 , As every production of G_1 is a production of G_3
- Similarly every word in L_2 has a derivation in G_3 beginning with $S_3 \Rightarrow S_2$.
- **$L(G_3)$ contains those strings that are derivable from S_1 as well as derivable from S_2**
- Thus $L_1 \cup L_2 \subset L(G_3)$
- **As all the strings of G_1 and G_2 can be derived from G_3 and can be represented in VTPS format, Thus it is Closed under Union**

Closed under Concatenation

- If L_1 and L_2 are Context free languages then $L=L_1.L_2$ is also Context free.

Proof:-

- Let L_1 and L_2 be CFLs generated by the CFGs

$G_1=(V_1,T_1,P_1,S_1)$

$G_2=(V_2,T_2,P_2,S_2)$

- We assume that V_1 and V_2 are disjoint
- Also S_4 is not in V_1 or V_2

- For $L_1.L_2$,

- Construct a grammar

$G_4=(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S_4\}, T_1 \cup T_2, P_4, S_4)$

$P_4=P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{S_4 \rightarrow S_1S_2\}$

- The proof that $L(G_4)=L(G_1)L(G_2)$ is similar to the proof for union

Closed under Concatenation

For L_1, L_2 ,

- Construct a grammar

$G_4 = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S_4\}, T_1 \cup T_2, P_4, S_4)$

$P_4 = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{S_4 \rightarrow S_1 S_2\}$

- The proof that $L(G_4) = L(G_1)L(G_2)$ is similar to the proof for union
- **All the strings S_1 followed by strings s_2 can be generated by the grammar G_4**
- **G_4 can be represented in VTPS format**
- **Thus, G_4 is closed under Concatenation**

Closed under Concatenation

- If L_1 and L_2 are two context free languages, their concatenation $L_1.L_2$ will also be context free.

For example,

- $L_1 = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$
- $L_2 = \{ c^m d^m \mid m \geq 0 \}$
- $L_3 = L_1.L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^m d^m \mid m \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0 \}$
- L_1 says number of a's should be equal to number of b's
- L_2 says number of c's should be equal to number of d's.
- Concatenation says first number of a's should be equal to number of b's, then number of c's should be equal to number of d's.
- We can create a PDA which will first push for a's, pop for b's, push for c's then pop for d's.
- So it can be accepted by pushdown automata, hence context free.
- Thus, CFL are closed under Concatenation.

Closed under Kleene Closure

- If L_1 is Context free languages then $L=L_1^*$ is also Context free.

Proof:-

- Let L_1 be CFL generated by the CFG

$G_1=(V_1,T_1,P_1,S_1)$

- Also S_1 is not in V_1

For L_1^* ,

- Construct a grammar

$G_5=(V_1 \cup \{S_5\},T_1 ,P_5,S_5)$

$P_5=P_1 \cup \{S_5 \rightarrow S_1 S_5 \mid \epsilon\}$

- The proof that $L(G_5)=L(G_1)^*$ can be done similarly

Closed under Concatenation

- For $L1^*$,
- Construct a grammar $G5=(V1 \cup \{S5\}, T1, P5, S5)$
where $P5$ is $P1$ plus the production
- $S5 \rightarrow S1S5 \mid \epsilon$
- The proof that $L(G5)=L(G1)^*$ can be done similarly
- All the strings for $S1^*$ can be generated by the grammar $G5$
- $G5$ can be represented in VTPS format
- Thus, $G5$ is closed under Kleene Closure

Not Closed under Intersection

- Let us take two languages L1 and L2
- $L1 = \{a^i b^j c^j \mid i \geq 1 \text{ and } j \geq 1\}$ and
- $L2 = \{a^i b^j c^j \mid i \geq 1 \text{ and } j \geq 1\}$
- L1 says number of a's should be equal to number of b's
- L2 says number of b's should be equal to number of c's.
- Both are CFLs
- A PDA to recognize L1 stores a's on its stack and cancels them against b's then accepts its input after reading one or more c's.

Not Closed under Intersection

- L_1 can be generated as
 - $S \rightarrow AB$
 - $A \rightarrow aAb \mid ab$
 - $B \rightarrow cB \mid c$
 - where A generates $a^i b^i$ and B generates c^j
-
- L_2 can be generated as
 - $S \rightarrow CD$
 - $C \rightarrow aC \mid a$
 - $D \rightarrow bDc \mid bc$
 - where D generates $b^j c^j$ and C generates a^i

Not Closed under Intersection

- However, $L_1 \cap L_2 = L$
- $L = \{a^i b^i c^i \mid i \geq 1\}$
- L_1 says number of a's should be equal to number of b's
- L_2 says number of b's should be equal to number of c's.
- A string in both the languages must have equal numbers of all three symbols
- But push down automata can compare only two symbols. So it cannot be accepted by pushdown automata, hence not context free.
- Thus, L is not CFL
- Hence, proved

Not Closed under Complementation

- Let L_1, L_2 are 2 CFLs
- Lets assume that complement of a CFL is a CFL itself.
- Thus, L_1', L_2' both are CFLs
- Then $L = (L_1' \cup L_2')$ is also a CFL
- $L_1 \cap L_2 = (L_1' \cup L_2')$
- we know that intersection is not closed
- Thus, L' may or may not be CFL
- Hence, Not Closed under Complementation

Intersection with Regular Sets

- CFL are closed under intersection with regular sets
- If L is a CFL and R is a regular set then $L \cap R$ is always a CFL