

# **INCEPTION REVIEW**

For Team 7

### Reviewed by Team 8

Akshata Raikar Devyani Singh Ravi Rajpurohit Shovon Niverd Pereira

Advanced Topics in Software Engineering CSE 6324-001

## Review for Team 7 Inception deliverables

### Reviewed by: Team 8 (Akshata Raikar, Devyani Singh, Ravi Rajpurohit, Shovon Niverd Pereira)

#### Advanced Topics in Software Engineering CSE 6324-001 Fall 2023

| Description of the Defect  | Severity | Missing/Wro<br>ng/Extra | Defect Type      | Finder          |
|--|----------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Issue #1162 says, "Fetch contract's previously triggered events", but no strategy or description is provided in feature part to better | Major    | Missing                 | Design<br>Defect | Devyani         |
| understand the issue. Also the "Introduction" preface says the   |          |                         |                  |                 |
| project will address two issues but mentions a third issue in the  |          |                         |                  |                 |
| list that follows.   |          |                         |                  |                 |
| Issue #1568 says, "Byte Arrays Not Parsed Elegantly", it is not clear  | Minor    | Missing                 | Design           | Shovon          |
| how the current parsing mechanism works and what kind of   |          |                         | Defect           |                 |
| problem the current mechanism causes which makes it a  |          |                         |                  |                 |
| candidate for an improvement.  |          |                         |                  |                 |
| Issue #1653 says, "Errors with Vyper tests", it is mentioned that  | Major    | Wrong                   | Redundant        | Ravi Rajpurohit |
| this issue is a core issue. Upon looking into the issue description at   |          |                         |                  |                 |
| github, it seems like a type mishandling issue and a quick one line  |          |                         |                  |                 |
| solution is also proposed already.   |          |                         |                  |                 |
| https://github.com/eth-brownie/brownie/issues/1653   |          |                         |                  |                 |
| The implementation plan exceeds the timeline assigned for the  | Major    | Wrong                   | Planning         | Akshata         |
| project, hence there is a possibility of spillovers. The mentioned   |          |                         | Defect           |                 |
| plan accounts for 5 months which seems to be over the actual   |          |                         |                  |                 |
| available time.  |          |                         |                  |                 |