



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS  
AT ARLINGTON

# ITERATION 1 REVIEW

For Team 7

Reviewed by **Team 8**

Akshata Raikar

Devyani Singh

Ravi Rajpurohit

Shovon Niverd Pereira

## Review for Team 7 Iteration 1 deliverables

**Reviewed by: Team 8 (Akshata Raikar, Devyani Singh, Ravi Rajpurohit, Shovon Niverd Pereira)**

**Advanced Topics in Software Engineering CSE 6324-001 Fall 2023**

Description of the Defect	Severity	Missing/Wrong/Extra	Defect Type	Finder
In the inputs and outputs section few functions and data structures are mentioned, their types and return values are given but it is not clearly mentioned what are their purpose. For example, tournamentIDBytes represents tournament ID in bytes format, but it is not clear what tournament ID means here. Same goes for rest of the componenets in this section.	Major	Missing description of purpose	Design defect	Shovon
Use case section can be better described with help of appropriate diagrams	Minor	Missing	Design defect	Shovon
The first code snippet in the report needs more explanation. It is not clear what that code represents and what source it is taken from. Also, the functionality of the code is not clear.	Major	Missing	Report defect	Devyani
Could have provided references for the bug numbers. Example - It is unclear what issue #1653 is, the solutions codes they tried #1148 should be included as references.	Minor	Missing	Design defect	Ravi
Project plan seems to be incomplete. There is no plan for iteration 3.	Major	Missing	Planning defect	Ravi
Despite no difference in code, in slide 4 the test fails and in slide 5 the test passes. No explanation included in the presentation.	Minor	Missing	Documentation Defect	Akshata