

# Iteration 1 Report

University of Texas at Arlington  
Advanced Topics in Software Engineering  
Fall 2023, CSE 6324 - 001

[https://github.com/PereiraMavs/CSE6324\\_Team\\_8](https://github.com/PereiraMavs/CSE6324_Team_8)

Team 8

Akshata Raikar (1002032638)  
Devyani Singh (1001959376)  
Ravi Rajpurohit (1002079916)  
Shovon Niverd Pereira (1002073941)

## Introduction

Blockchain technology is a ground-breaking technology that allows users to communicate without needing a trusted intermediary [1]. A "smart contract" is simply a program that runs on the blockchain. It is a collection of code (its functions) and data (its state) that resides at a specific address [2]. Smart contracts automate the transaction of valuable financial assets. Any kind of error in smart contracts may result in huge financial losses. That is why it is important to test smart contracts thoroughly before deploying them to the blockchain. Smart contracts deployed in Ethereum Blockchain are immutable; therefore, it is imperative to look at vulnerabilities before deploying.

Since each Ethereum transaction requires computational resources to execute, they must be paid for to ensure Ethereum is not vulnerable to spam and cannot get stuck in infinite computational loops. Payment for computation is made in the form of a gas fee [3]. To ensure efficient gas usage it is important to know about excessive use of gas, inefficient code patterns, and precise gas usage amount of solidity functions. Our proposed tool intends to address all these concerns.

## Repository

[https://github.com/PereiraMavs/CSE6324\\_Team\\_8](https://github.com/PereiraMavs/CSE6324_Team_8)

Version: 1.0

## **Vision**

Smart Contracts with inefficient code can waste resources. Also executing a code that surpasses the gas limit can cause exceptions and halt the program midway. It is always good to look for these gas-related vulnerabilities beforehand. Many static and dynamic analysis tools have been developed to detect this kind of situation [4][5].

There are other vulnerabilities that threaten the safety and security of smart contracts. Among many other tools that detect security risks in smart contracts, Slither is one such tool that uses static analysis techniques to detect almost 88 types of threats. It also supplies different APIs to build other analysis tools using its intermediate representation [6].

The vision of this project is to use Slither to build a unified tool that will detect excessive gas usage and find inefficient codes that waste gas. GasGauge [7] and GASOL [8] tools do something similar but if merged with Slither it will add significant value and make a more powerful tool.

## **Proposed Features**

- Estimate the gas cost of a smart contract function before execution to ensure it does not exceed the limit.
- Analyze code to detect inefficient code so that it can be changed to save gas.
- The codes of the tool will be found in the attached GitHub repository.
- The tool will be console-based.

## Project Plan

Following are the features that we will be adding to enhance Slither's capabilities -

- **Fuse multiple For-loops:** While thinking about optimizing for Gas, loops are a good space to work in. When multiple For loops are running for the same length, sometimes they can be optimized by achieving the same task in one loop. In these cases, writing multiple loops can be a bad practice and cost more Gas than necessary. Hence a warning for such scenarios can be helpful in saving time and other resources. We are working on this already and have made significant progress to test this.  
Currently, slither does not handle this. Slither takes care of issues of gas optimization for local variable vs state variable changes etc. So this will be a valuable addition.[4]
- **Map instead of Array:** In the case of memory access, searching elements, and dynamic sizing, maps are more efficient as compared to arrays in terms of gas usage and time spent. This situation can be identified and the developer can be warned for optimization. We are working on this already and have made progress to implement and test this.[10]
- **Redundant SSTORE:** Whenever a disk storage operation takes place, disk access costs significant gas value[4]. This happens for all kinds of read/write operations. To optimize this, disk access should be minimized. We plan to implement this in the 3rd iteration.
- **Calculate Cost of Contract:** It is important to know if a smart contract function exceeds the gas allowed for execution or gas limit. This will allow the developer to know the need for optimization before execution through this detector. We plan to implement this in the 2nd iteration.

## Development Plan:

Iteration 1 (Current)	Iteration 2	Iteration 3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● After the inception review and feedback, we have decided on all the features (mentioned above) to implement for this project.</li><li>● Explored the Slither tool and process for adding new detectors.</li><li>● Working on the implementation and testing of the first two proposed features in this iteration.</li><li>● The roadmap for implementation and testing is clear in this iteration. This will help in getting a boost for future iterations.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● Implement and test the first two features proposed by the 1st week of November.</li><li>● Plan out a pseudocode for implementing the remaining features.</li><li>● Identify the edge cases for the first two features through testing.</li><li>● Document everything for reference.</li><li>● Plan for addressing edge cases.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● Convert the pseudocode for the 3rd feature and test it thoroughly.</li><li>● Implement the 4th feature for overall gas cost estimation of a function.</li><li>● Address the edge cases.</li><li>● Complete testing of all three features and verify usage for the final time.</li><li>● Address all the corner cases if still left in a document.</li><li>● Document everything about the project's future scope.</li></ul>

**Problems faced in Iteration 1:**

- Faced issues with the makefile for the developer installation process in Slither. We resolved this by debugging the errors and using Stackoverflow.
- As expected, due to a lack of familiarity with the Slither tool, we are facing difficulty in adding the detectors and testing them successfully.
- Matching the python version with slither was confusing but was resolved using the virtual environment and *pyenv* tool.

**Problems anticipated for future iterations:**

- Introducing new vulnerabilities while implementing new features is an important concern. We plan to do good research and take the support of the TA, Professor, and the developer community to identify cases for this.
- The tool's performance after adding the new features also brings a concern. If the performance is compromised in terms of time, it will require additional time to fix it. We plan to keep the last week of iteration 3 for this.
- Finding out and identifying SSTORE patterns to minimize that may pose a challenge as Slither actually receives solidity files, not bytecode files.

## Specification and Design

When a particular detector is used in Slither, the output will be given in the form of console-based text.

- **Input:** Smart contracts in solidity

The input is in the form of a *.sol (solidity)* file along with the necessary properties to test the added detector.

- **Output:** Analysis results from added detectors

Console output finds out all the nodes representing loops that can be merged. These nodes can be translated to line numbers for the user to interpret with ease.

- **Exceptional cases:**

- For now, the fusible loop detector only works with identifiers (eg: for  $i < n$ ;  $n$  is an identifier), but not with member access (eg: for  $i < arr.length$ ;  $arr.length$  is member access).
- For now, we are designing this detector for loop statement of depth 1 and not for nested loops.

- **Dependencies:**

- Python **3.8.18** is required to run Slither and added detectors.
- Solidity version **0.8.18** is recommended to compile smart contracts within Slither. It can be changed using *solc-select* which is preinstalled with slither.

- **How to add detectors:**

Create a file for the detector(*fusible\_loop.py*). A class with AbstractDetector was implemented and *\_detect()* abstract method needs to be implemented. After the detector logic is added the detector import should be added in the *all\_detectors.py*. After rebuilding the project the new detector will be ready to use.

## Use case diagram:

Here is a detailed use case diagram representing the user flow for all the features proposed for this project.





## Code and Tests

**Fuse multiple For-loops:** This detector has been added to slither tested against sample smart contracts. The sample contract is as follows:

```
loop.sol
1  // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
2  pragma solidity ^0.8.18;
3
4  contract Loop {
5      function loop() public pure {
6          // for loops with similar iteration conditions
7          uint n = 10;
8          for (uint i = 0; i < n; i++) {
9              if (i == 3) {
10                 continue;
11             }
12             if (i == 5) {
13                 break;
14             }
15         }
16
17         for (uint i = 0; i < n; i++) {
18             if (i == 3) {
19                 continue;
20             }
21         }
22     }
23 }
24
```

The detector relied on finding the nodes in a function that identifies as `START_LOOPS`. Slither provides objects to identify these nodes. After that terminating conditions were extracted and checked if two loops use the same terminating condition. For now, this detector can only detect fusible loops if they use the same identifier as the right operand of the terminating condition. Code snippets and results are added.

```

#finds the loops that can be merged
#returns a list of tuples of the loops that can be merged
#the tuple contains the nodes of the loops
#the first node of the tuple is the first loop
#the second node of the tuple is the second loop
@staticmethod
def _findLoop(nodes: List[Node], fuse: List[Node]):
    visited = []
    for node in nodes:
        if node.type == NodeType.STARTLOOP:
            if_node = node.sons[0]

            exp: BinaryOperation = if_node.expression
            visited.append(node)
    for i in range(0, len(visited)):
        for i2 in range(i + 1, len(visited)):
            n1: Node = visited[i]
            n2: Node = visited[i2]
            e1: BinaryOperation = n1.sons[0].expression
            e2: BinaryOperation = n2.sons[0].expression
            if str(e1.expression_right) == str(e2.expression_right):
                fuse.append((n1, n2))

    return fuse

```

```

#entry point of the detector
#calls the _findLoop function to find the loops that can be merged
def _detect(self) -> List[Output]:
    results = []
    funtions = self.compilation_unit.functions

    issue = []
    for f in funtions:

        issue += FusibleLoops._findLoop(f.nodes, [])

    for i in issue:
        info = [
            "Loop condition ",
            i[0],
            i[1],
            " can be merged into one loop.\n",
        ]
        res = self.generate_result(info)
        results.append(res)
    return results

```

Following is the snippet of the output.

```
pereira@pereira-ideacentre-Y900-34ISZ:/home1/CSE6324_Team_8/slither$ slither loop.sol
'solc --version' running
'solc loop.sol --combined-json abi,ast,bin,bin-runtime,srcmap,srcmap-runtime,userdoc,devdoc,hashes
INFO:Detectors:
Loop condition BEGIN_LOOP (loop.sol#8-15)BEGIN_LOOP (loop.sol#17-21) can be merged into one loop.
Reference: https://github.com/PereiraMavs/CSE6324_Team_8/wiki/Detector-Wiki#fusable-loops
INFO:Slither:loop.sol analyzed (1 contracts with 89 detectors), 1 result(s) found
```

## Gas Consumption of multiple loops

The following images represent the benefits of merging unnecessary loops.[9]

The screenshot shows the EVM Playground interface with a Solidity contract named 'Loop'. The contract contains two nested loops. The first loop increments 'i' by 1 until it reaches 5, then increments 'i' by 2 until it reaches 10. The second loop increments 'i' by 1 until it reaches 5, then increments 'i' by 2 until it reaches 10. The gas consumption is shown as 61650.

```
3
4 contract Loop {
5     function loop() public {
6         // for Loop
7         uint n = 10;
8         for (uint i = 0; i < n; i++) {
9             if (i == 3) {
10                i+=1;
11                continue;
12            }
13            if (i == 5) {
14                // Exit Loop with break
15                break;
16            }
17        }
18        for (uint i = 0; i < n; i++) {
19            if (i == 4) {
20                i+=2;
21                continue;
22            }
23            if (i == 5) {
24                break;
25            }
26        }
27    }
28 }
```

PC	Op	Gas
[00]	PUSH1	80
[02]	PUSH1	40
[04]	MSTORE	
[05]	CALLVALUE	
[06]	DUP1	
[07]	ISZERO	
[08]	PUSH2	0010
[0b]	JUMPI	
[0c]	PUSH1	00
[0e]	DUP1	
[0f]	REVERT	
[10]	JUMPDEST	
[11]	POP	

## More loops use more gas

The screenshot shows the EVM Playground interface with a Solidity contract named 'Loop'. The contract contains two nested loops. The first loop increments 'i' by 1 until it reaches 5, then increments 'i' by 2 until it reaches 10. The second loop increments 'i' by 1 until it reaches 5, then increments 'i' by 2 until it reaches 10. The gas consumption is shown as 60296.

```
1 // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
2 pragma solidity ^0.8.18;
3
4 contract Loop {
5     function loop() public {
6         // for Loop
7         uint n = 10;
8         for (uint i = 0; i < n; i++) {
9             if (i == 3) {
10                i+=1;
11                continue;
12            }
13            if (i == 5) {
14                // Exit Loop with break
15                break;
16            }
17        }
18        if (i == 4) {
19            i+=2;
20            continue;
21        }
22        if (i == 5) {
23            break;
24        }
25    }
26 }
```

PC	Op	Gas
[00]	PUSH1	80
[02]	PUSH1	40
[04]	MSTORE	
[05]	CALLVALUE	
[06]	DUP1	
[07]	ISZERO	
[08]	PUSH2	0010
[0b]	JUMPI	
[0c]	PUSH1	00
[0e]	DUP1	
[0f]	REVERT	
[10]	JUMPDEST	
[11]	POP	
[12]	PUSH2	01df

Removing unnecessary loops saves gas

## Customers and Users

Slither is a smart contract security framework written in Python and is widely used in the blockchain developer community. While identifying potential customers and users for this project, we came across the following points -

- Blockchain Developers - developers working on Ethereum, Binance smart chain, or other blockchain platforms who create smart contracts. They use security analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities and improve their code.
- Auditors for Smart Contracts - Professionals or companies specializing in smart contract security audits. They require advanced tools to assess the security and reliability of smart contracts.
- Academic Researchers - Academics and researchers in the field of blockchain and smart contract security who could use the tool for their studies and experiments.
- Blockchain Enthusiasts - Individuals interested in blockchain technology who develop smart contracts as a hobby or for personal projects. They may use the tool for learning and experimentation.

## Competitors

Tool	Features
GasGauge [7]	GasGauge focuses on gas-related vulnerabilities that occur by out-of-gas situations
GasFuzzer[5]	It improves on the ContractFuzzer tool to find out vulnerabilities via gas allowance manipulation
GasChecker[4]	Suggests efficient smart contract coding technique to improve gas usage

## References

- [1] S. S. Kushwaha, S. Joshi, D. Singh, M. Kaur and H. -N. Lee, "Ethereum Smart Contract Analysis Tools: A Systematic Review," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 57037-57062, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3169902.
- [2] <https://ethereum.org/en/developers/docs/smart-contracts/> , accessed on 09/23/2023
- [3] <https://ethereum.org/en/developers/docs/gas/> , accessed on 09/23/2023
- [4] T. Chen et al., "GasChecker: Scalable Analysis for Discovering Gas-Inefficient Smart Contracts," in IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1433-1448, 1 July-Sept. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TETC.2020.2979019.
- [5] I. Ashraf, X. Ma, B. Jiang and W. K. Chan, "GasFuzzer: Fuzzing Ethereum Smart Contract Binaries to Expose Gas-Oriented Exception Security Vulnerabilities," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 99552-99564, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2995183.
- [6] <https://github.com/crytic/slither>, accessed on 09/23/2023
- [7] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.14771>, accessed on 09/23/2023
- [8] Albert, E., Correas, J., Gordillo, P., Román-Díez, G., Rubio, A. (2020). GASOL: Gas Analysis and Optimization for Ethereum Smart Contracts. In: Biere, A., Parker, D. (eds) Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems. TACAS 2020. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (), vol 12079. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45237-7\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45237-7_7)
- [9] <https://www.evm.codes/>
- [10] <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/how-reduce-smart-contract-gas-usage-arслан-maqbool>