Exercises week 7

Last update: 2021/10/11

Goal of the exercises

The goal of this week's exercises is to make sure that you can use lock-free approaches to mutable shared memory, and that you can use the compare-and-set primitive to implement simple lock-free data structures.

Exercise 7.1 Implement a CasHistogram class in the style of week 6 with this interface:

```
interface Histogram {
  void increment(int bin);
  int getCount(int bin);
  int getSpan();
  int getAndClear(int bin);
}
```

The implementation should use AtomicInteger (instead of locks), and use *only* methods compareAndSet and get, no other methods provided on AtomicInteger are allowed.

The method getAndClear returns the current value in the bin and sets it to 0.

Mandatory

- 1. Write a class CasHistogram so that it implements the above interface. Explain why the methods increment, getBins, getSpan and getAndClear are thread-safe.
- 2. Measure the overall time to run the above-mentioned test also on week 6's lock-based Histogram implementation. The file TestCASLockHistogram.java contains boilerplate code to evaluate the performance of counting prime factors using two Histogram classes. To execute it, simply create two objects named histogramCAS and histogramLock containing your implementation of Histogram using CAS and your implementation of Histogram using locks from last week, respectively, and un-comment the pertinent code snippet. How does the performance of that (coarse) lock-based implementation compare to the CAS-based one in this application? Are these results expected? Why?

Exercise 7.2 Recall read-write locks, in the style of Java's java.util.concurrent.locks.ReentrantReadWriteLock. As we discussed, this type of lock can be held either by any number of readers, or by a single writer.

In this exercise you must implement a simple read-write lock class SimpleRWTryLock that is **not** reentrant and that does **not** block. It should implement the following interface:

```
class SimpleRWTryLockInterface {
  public boolean readerTryLock() { ... }
  public void readerUnlock() { ... }
  public boolean writerTryLock() { ... }
  public void writerUnlock() { ... }
}
```

For convenience, we provide the skeleton of the class in ReadWriteCASLock.java.

Method writerTryLock is called by a thread that tries to obtain a write lock. It must succeed and return true if the lock is not already held by any thread, and return false if the lock is held by at least one reader or by a writer.

Method writerUnlock is called to release the write lock, and must throw an exception if the calling thread does not hold a write lock.

Method readerTryLock is called by a thread that tries to obtain a read lock. It must succeed and return true if the lock is held only by readers (or nobody), and return false if the lock is held by a writer.

Method readerUnlock is called to release a read lock, and must throw an exception if the calling thread does not hold a read lock.

The class can be implemented using AtomicReference and compareAndSet(...), by maintaining a single field holders which is an atomic reference of type Holders, an abstract class that has two concrete subclasses:

```
private static abstract class Holders { }

private static class ReaderList extends Holders {
   private final Thread thread;
   private final ReaderList next;
   ...
}

private static class Writer extends Holders {
   public final Thread thread;
   ...
}
```

The ReaderList class is used to represent an immutable linked list of the threads that hold read locks. The Writer class is used to represent a thread that holds the write lock. When holders is null the lock is unheld.

(Representing the holders of read locks by a linked list is very inefficient, but simple and adequate for illustration. The real Java ReentrantReadWriteLock essential has a shared atomic integer count of the number of locks held, supplemented with a ThreadLocal integer for reentrancy of each thread and for checking that only lock holders unlock anything. But this would complicate the exercise. Incidentally, the design used here allows the read locks to be reentrant, since a thread can be in the reader list multiple times, but this is inefficient too).

Mandatory

- 1. Implement the writerTryLock method. It must check that the lock is currently unheld and then atomically set holders to an appropriate Writer object.
- 2. Implement the writerUnlock method. It must check that the lock is currently held and that the holder is the calling thread, and then release the lock by setting holders to null; or else throw an exception.
- 3. Implement the readerTryLock method. This is marginally more complicated because multiple other threads may be trying (successfully) to lock at the same time, or may be unlocking read locks at the same time. Hence you need to repeatedly read the holders field, and so long as it is either null or a ReaderList, attempt to update the field with an extended reader list, containing also the current thread.
 - (Although the SimpleRWTryLock is not intended to be reentrant, for the purposes of this exercise you need not prevent a thread from taking the same lock more than once).
- 4. Implement the readerUnlock method. This also requires a loop and for the same reason as above. You should repeatedly read the holders field and so long as it is non-null and refers to a ReaderList and the calling thread is on the reader list, create a new reader list where the thread has been removed, and try to atomically store that in the holders field; if this succeeds, it should return. If holders is null or does not refer to a ReaderList or the current thread is not on the reader list, then it must throw an exception.

For the readerUnlock method it is useful to implement a couple of auxiliary methods on the immutable ReaderList:

```
public boolean contains(Thread t) { ... }
public ReaderList remove(Thread t) { ... }
```

5. Write simple sequential test cases that demonstrate that your read-write lock works with a single thread. For instance, it should not be able to take a read lock while holding a write lock, and vice versa, and should not be allowed to unlock a read lock or write lock that it does not already hold.

PCPP

IT University of Copenhagen, F2021

6. Write slightly more advanced test cases that use at least two threads to test basic lock functionality.

Challenging

7. Improve the readerTryLock method so that it prevents a thread from taking the same lock more than once, instead an exception if it tries. For instance, the calling thread may use the contains method to check whether it is not on the readers list, and add itself to the list only if it is not. Explain why such a solution would work in this particular case, even if the test-then-set sequence is not atomic.