

Contents**a. Only four pages**

1. Baby's World View
2. Building Inspectors and Medical Professionals
3. Thank you, PreJudge O'Connor!
4. Breaking News
5. It Happened One Day
6. The Affordable Care Act
7. Pop Quiz!
8. The Case as it Stands
9. Rationale for Denials
10. How Does This Sound To You
11. Predeterminations

Ω. Word !

The beginner's mind is the mind of compassion. When our mind is compassionate, it is boundless. *Shunryu Suzuki*

**Name:** Baily Barry**Address:** Albany, NY**Age:** 60+**Gender:** male**Education:** MS Philosophy (linguistics/epistemology)**Email:** personhood2022@protonmail.com**On-line:** <https://github.com/PersonHood/BabysWorldView>
pdf, html, md**Bibliography:** en.wikipedia.org**µBWV**

I may be an old white man but I am not an old white man

Mailing List (fifty) ***Associations**

American Civil Liberties Union
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004-2454

Human Rights Campaign
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
1640 Rhode Island Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20036-3278

League of Women Voters
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
1233 20th St., NW - Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Planned Parenthood
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
1110 Vermont Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20005-6300

Southern Poverty Law Center
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
400 Washington Ave.
Montgomery, AL 36104

Judicial Fairness Initiative
Andrew Wynne, Vice President
Re: Legal Person Type
1201 F Street, NW, Suite 675
Washington, DC 20004

Center for Reproductive Rights
Alice Wang, Attorney
Re: Legal Person Type
199 Water Street
New York, NY 10038

Legal Services Corporation
Ronald S. Flagg
Re: Legal Person Type
3333 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20007

Nat. Adv's for Pregnant Women
Zenovia Earle, Marketing
Re: Legal Person Type
575 8th Avenue, 7th Floor N
New York, NY 10018

Florida Progressive Caucus
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
358 Northwest 65th Terrace
Plantation, FL 33317

Law Schools

Albany Law School
Dean Ouellette
Re: Legal Person Type
80 New Scotland Ave.
Albany, NY 12208-3494

Columbia Law School
Dean Lester
Re: Legal Person Type
435 West 116th Street
New York, NY 10027

Cornell Law School
Dean Ohlin
Re: Legal Person Type
Myron Taylor Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853-4901

Georgetown Law School
Dean Treanor
Re: Legal Person Type
600 New Jersey Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20001-2075

Harvard Law School
Dean Manning
Re: Legal Person Type
1563 Massachusetts Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02138

Howard Law School
Dean Holley-Walker
Re: Legal Person Type
2900 Van Ness Street, NW
Washington, DC 20008

Liberty Law School
Dean Tan
Re: Legal Person Type
1971 University Boulevard
Lynchburg, VA 24515

New York University Law School
Dean McKenzie
Re: Legal Person Type
40 Washington Square
New York, NY 10012

Yale Law School
Dean Gerken
Re: Legal Person Type
127 Wall Street
New Haven, CT 06511

Notre Dame Law Review
POB 780
Re: Legal Person Type
1323 Biolchini Hall of Law
Notre Dame, IN 46556

Supreme Court

US Supreme Court
Justice Roberts
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Thomas
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Alito
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Sotomayor
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Kagan
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Gorsuch
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Kavanaugh
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Coney Barrett
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

US Supreme Court
Justice Jackson
Re: Legal Person Type
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

White House

Domestic Policy Advisor
Re: Legal Person Type
Ambassador Susan E. Rice
1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Religious Publications

America Magazine
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
1212 Sixth Ave, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Christ and Pop Culture
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
2337 S 75th Street
Lincoln, Nebraska, 68506

Christian Science Monitor
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
210 Massachusetts Avenue
Boston MA 02115

Christianity Today
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
465 Gundersen Drive
Carol Stream, IL 60188

Jewish Currents
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
POB 130049
Brooklyn, NY 11213

Ministry Magazine
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
12501 Old Columbia Pike
Silver Spring, MD 20904

Religion & Politics, Stanley
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
1050 Connecticut Ave. NW, Ste 500
Washington, DC 20036

Sojourners - Review
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
408 C Street NE
Washington DC 20002

The Forward
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
125 Maiden Lane, Floor 8
New York, NY 10038

Worship Leader Magazine
Editor
Re: Legal Person Type
29122 Rancho Viejo Rd, Suite 103
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

PACs

ActBlue
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
POB 441146
Somerville, MA 02144

Democratic Nation Comm.
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
430 South Capitol Street, SE
Washington, DC 20003-4024

EMILY's List
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
POB 96612
Washington, DC 20077

NARAL Pro-Choice America
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
1725 Eye St., NW - Suite 900
Washington, DC 20006

National Pro-Life Alliance
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
5211 Port Royal Rd. - Suite 500
Springfield, VA 22151-2100

Republican National Comm.
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
310 First Street, SE
Washington, DC 20003-1885

Susan B. Anthony List, Inc.
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
2776 South Arlington Mill Dr
Arlington, VA 22206

The Lincoln Project
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
600 Penn. Ave., SE - No. 15180
Washington, DC 20003

Warren Democrats
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
124 Washington Street, Suite 101
Foxboro, MA 02035

WinRed
Media Relations
Re: Legal Person Type
POB 9891
Arlington, VA 22219-1891

* (other addressees as well)

[blank-ish second page]



IT IS NOT REAL UNTIL IT'S AN OLD WHITE MAN'S PROBLEM



Baby's World View

pre-judge, prejudicial, prejudiced

Ron Abbott of LGB-TV reporting

Harris County Building Inspectors and Medical Professionals are *at this moment* converging on the judicial person **Braidwood Management's** building as part of a recently launched investigation regarding a judicial decision made by *District PreJudge Reed O'Connor*.

"...compulsory coverage for [these] services violates [employers'] religious beliefs by making them complicit in facilitating homosexual behavior and [illegal] drug use," so said PreJudge Reed O'Connor on September 7th 2022.

Ignoring the millions of straights who enter the back door or the thousands of medical professionals' high risk profile for blood born disease.

Note: *Augustus of Rome established by Senate Decree new collegiums (judicial persons). Then, Constantine of Rome legalized Christianity in 313AD as a new collegium.*

Judical person **Braidwood Management**, a practitioner and follower of the *Christian Patriot* faith, has allegedly allowed **ingress** upon its body via its back door ;-) thus exposing itself to forfeiture and immediate impounding and possibly demolition, by Harris County Code Enforcement.

During the Building Inspector's and Medical professional's inspection all **Braidwood Management** owners, managers, and employees will be held under **house arrest** as suspects in performing the alleged **ingresses**, of whom **Steven Hotze** is a leading suspect - caught on video numerous times.

Wait, Wait! Yes, I see.

I have just been informed that any and all individuals legally or financially associated with the **Braidwood Management** judicial person, as an investor, insurer, employee, owner, manager, lender, contractor, sub-contractor, and all primary and secondary relations to those persons will also be subject to immediate inspection for evidence of **ingress** via their respective **back doors**, regardless of age, gender, or any other demographic or legal (judical) status.

"making them complicit in facilitating homosexual behavior"...

Any and all individuals whom are deemed suspect of allowing **ingress** upon their person without reporting such **ingress** to the police, as a suspected **rape victim**, will be subject to further investigation.

Any and all employees, managers, and/or owners associated with the **Braidwood Management** judicial person, if found guilty of willfully enabling and/or encouraging back door **ingress** will be summarily fired and/or forced to divest themselves financially from the **Braidwood Management** judicial person, including owner **Steven Hotze**.

Tin hats firmly in place...

"Conservative activist **Steven Hotze** on Wednesday was indicted on **two felony charges** related to his alleged involvement in an air conditioning repairman being held at **gunpoint** in 2020" (Texas Tribune, April 20th, 2022)

The rumors and conspiracies are already floating around social media.

One such rumor is that the President and Founder of **Braidwood Management** is an avid reader of **Dan Savage**, of *Savage Love* fame, also famous for a level of permissiveness **not** permitted within the *Christian Patriot* faith. This may imply that **ingress** may have *also* been practiced *within* the **Mr. Hotze's** own home.

The owner's partner (wife?) will be inspected for evidence, as well as **Mr. Hotze**, who may be a bottom behind closed doors.

Wait, Wait! Yes, I see. Oh my!

I have just been informed that **PreJudge Reed O'Connor** is himself a **suspect** through close primary or secondary relations with one or more otherwise presumed guilty parties and as such will also be inspected for signs of **ingress** or of performing said **ingress** in or about the back door of judicial person **Braidwood Management** or anywhere, for that matter.

Through the **Conservative Republicans for Texas (PAC)**, run by **Steven Hotze**, **PreJudge O'Connor** may have maintained an *all-too-close* religious-right relation with **Mr. Hotze** in Houston for **decades**. **Braidwood Management** is located at 20214 Braidwood Drive; Katy, TX. Which from Houston is a quick shot down 10 to the Waterpark then on to Katy.

A Hotze-Totze time for all!

But, who is on top, or do they switch?

Thank You, PreJudge O'Connor!

Follow-up News

PreJudge Reed O'Connor and (*juridical person*) **Braidwood Management** have been accused of conspiracy to commit **genocide**, slow torture, and **cruel and unusual punishment**.

Both have conspired to render **unaffordable** life-saving health care to a population of law abiding citizens who have in good faith financially contributed to a life-saving group health insurance plan.

Plans that the Federal Government has repeatedly guaranteed to **all** citizens - *We the People*. Beyond a reasonable doubt those harmed now will die later if reasonable accommodations are not made in a timely manner, where *timing is of the essence*. **Why now?** Were specific employee **singled out**?

Are Hotze and O'Connor in cahoots?

Bazaar New News

In a bewildering turn of legal events, all prejudgemental organizations have been outlawed in Texas, banned, business licenses revoked, kaput.

Following PreJudge O'Connor's decision and subsequent torture and genocide legal defense, a jury of his peers outlawed prejudgemental organizations and legally sanctioned singling out individuals associated with otherwise unaffected organizations for their prejudicial actions.

It turns out that PreJudge O'Connor had more than a few moral and legal skeletons in his closet once the tabloids were let loose on their latest victim, feeding

the 24/7 news cycle with chum.

After being subjected to dozens of questions in the manner of the 1950's HUAC:

Did you or did you not...

Have you now or have you ever been...

Not unlike his victims, ironically

Airing *every* personal detail of PreJudge O'Connor's life, back to the time when he was caught with pornographic picture magazines by his Memaw when he was 19 years old. He eventually was lead out of his own star chamber in hand cuffs, crying like a baby.

It's hard'in to be sympathetic though, no?

It Happened One Day

RomneyCare (2006) ObamaCare (2010)

In Texas on September 7th, 2022 PreJudge Reed O'Connor (OWM) supported a claim made by a group of people asking that a larger group of people render unaffordable scientifically proven preventative medical health care from another (though not completely separate) group of people.

Because of the nature of the health care coincides with a practice that is contrary to a select group of people (though not completely separate).

It is not clear if any individual has been proven guilty with evidence showing the practice had been practiced.

The complainant otherwise does not receive said preventative medical health care in the normal course of their lives but (a cosmically huuuge but) such care may be deemed necessary to the complainants when under acceptable (to the complainants) circumstances.

The Affordable Care Act

Group health insurance plans must cover preventive services, screenings, etc. without co-payments. The group plans are provided equally to all through employer (i.e. juridical persons) and State plans.

On September 7th, Texas Federal Court PreJudge Reed O'Connor said that the complainant, Braidwood Management, should not be required to cover a highly effective preventive treatment for HIV and AIDS in keeping with Braidwood's religious objections, wherein the company practices a religion.

Preventative medical health care is central to the Romney [R] and Obama [D] health care laws and provisions and have subsequently been upheld in all cases at all levels of court battles, including SCOTUS.

In fact PreJudge O'Connor tried to block the entirety of the health care act and was slapped down by the Supreme Court of the United States, in 2018.

Health Benefits

Studies have shown adoption of preventative practices increases where cost is no longer a factor. Eliminating cost sharing altogether also has reduced racial and ethnic disparities in access to those services.

And, healthy employees *now* means more productive employees and longer living employees and lower premiums and less paid sick leave. Failing to employ preventative care **nets** out at **greater cost** for employees and employers.

Think about **that** for a moment.

Pop Quiz!

Towards the bottom of the 1st column, please note (O'Connor).

Look at all that is left in life for he and his kind (a person **type**) to arbitrarily and selectively deny *affordable* and *ready* access to related *services* and *products*.

Granted, a majority of these items are unattainable in the best of times by many law abiding citizens, **but** they are **not** prohibited from them because of who they *are*. **Not in America.** *A few case studies are listed on the next page.*

Productive & Essential Items

- Sustenance
 - ✓ Water
 - ✓ Gruel
 - ✓ Fresh
 - ✓ Variety
 - ✓ Tasty
- Shelter
 - ✓ Now
 - ✓ Awhile
 - ✓ Forever
 - ✓ Systemic
 - ✓ In Decline
- Security
 - ✓ Cover Head / Body / Feet
 - ✓ Support Network - Civic & Family
 - ✓ Free From Harm - Environment & Law
 - ✓ Permission - Freedoms, Liberties
 - ✓ Defended - National Defense
- Transportation
 - ✓ Bike / Walk - right of ways
 - ✓ Personal Owned - moped, car
 - ✓ Personal Rented - taxi, Gig
 - ✓ Public - bus, train, plane, ferry
 - ✓ Luxury - Cruise, Tour, Excursion
- End of Life
 - ✓ Prevention
 - ✓ In Home Care
 - ✓ Hospice Care
 - ✓ Preparation
 - ✓ Mourning

Productive & Aspirational Items

- Health
 - ✓ Providing good sustenance to the needy
 - ✓ Good habits & practices education
 - ✓ Emergency medical care
 - ✓ Recovery & therapy
 - ✓ Preventative medical care (O'Connor)
- Education
 - ✓ 03-05 years old - Pre-K and Kindergarten
 - ✓ 05-11 years old - Elementary School
 - ✓ 12-15 years old - Middle School
 - ✓ 15-19 years old - High School & Trades
 - ✓ 19-27 years old - Undergrad, Masters, PhD
- Employment
 - ✓ Labor or Intellectual
 - ✓ Facilitation or Management
 - ✓ Betterment

- Volunteering Leisure Time
 - ✓ Unencumbered time
 - ✓ Intellectual Pursuits
 - ✓ Physical Pursuits
 - ✓ Exploration & Adventure
 - ✓ Personal Contributions
- Expression
 - ✓ Sports
 - ✓ Media
 - ✓ Ideas
 - ✓ Social
 - ✓ Political

Counter Productive & Restrictive

- Essential
 - ✓ Liberties and Freedoms in jeopardy
- Sustenance
 - ✓ Systemic failure to provide
- Shelter
 - ✓ Systemic failure to provide or enable
- Security
 - ✓ The State is Invasive & lawless
- Public Transportation
 - ✓ Systemic failure to provide
- End of Life
 - ✓ Lack of public welfare support & regulation

Aspirational

- Health
 - ✓ Systemic failure to maintain facilities
- Education
 - ✓ Limited or prohibited by age or gender
- Employment
 - ✓ Systemic failure to train and renew skills
- Leisure
 - ✓ None permitted
- Expressive
 - ✓ Censored and criminalized

Rationale for Denials

NO soup for YOU!

Based on an Organized & Establish Belief System

- ✓ Organized with a written *code*
- ✓ With charismatic leader

Based on conscientious objection

- ✓ Sound Moral Principles - no Tin Hats
- ✓ Long Held though hard to prove, private

Whimsical

- ✓ Singular, Recently Adopted, Unprincipled

The Case as it Stands

And there is a case still

This will not pass unlitigated

Punishment Without a Crime

In America the *People* are innocent until proven guilty.

If suspected or culpable of a *crime* there is a well-worn path to resolution.

- accusation
- discovery and investigation
- smokey backroom dealings
 - maybe a *deal* is made
 - maybe a trial and jury are scheduled

The very 1st step is always an *accusation*

No matter what a complainant accuses the alleged perpetrator of a specific *crime*.

All else grinds to a halt if a *crime* is not identified, innocent or guilty.

Born innocent and in *America* innocent until proven **guilty** - *Noice*

A Type of Person

It is not a *crime* to be a **type** of person.

In fact, in America, **all** possible **types** of *People* have been litigated and subsequently protected at the Federal Judicial level - most recently with the same-sex marriage act, and before that racial classifications.

Current Thinking

In 2018 a cake maker narrowly won a SCOTUS decision in which they discriminated against a client on the basis of alleged homosexual acts and religious beliefs, ignoring all of the convicted same-sex pedophile priests.

Justice Kennedy, in the majority, strongly **reaffirmed protections** for **gay rights**.

However, he said:

"The outcome of cases like this in *other circumstances* must await further elaboration in the courts"

Annnnd, heeeRe's Johnny!

Three Case Studies

Your Memaw is on Bupropion to help her quit smoking, and is having a tough time of it. She sees a close female friend often and they live in a tough *diverse* part of town.

Bupropion is also a sex aid and Memaw seems a bit too close to her friend, on the wrong side of the tracks.

Is your Memaw a lesbian prostitute?

Your Memaw is on Memantine to help with early onset Alzheimer's. She may appear to be out of it. To help relieve the stress of living alone she goes for long walks to see people.

Memantine is also a schizophrenia and tinnitus treatment.

Is your Memaw a raving loon that hears voices and is seen out at all hours looking lost and talking to herself?

Your Memaw is on Clonidine to help with cancer-related vomiting. She tries to get out as often as she can, but has limited mobility and isn't always thinking clearly.

Clonidine also helps people quit opioids.

Is your Memaw an illegal drug addict who is off the wagon wandering the streets aimlessly looking for her dealer?

Is all of the above an option?

Off-label Prescriptions

All law abiding citizens are **protected** from **invasion** of privacy and unauthorized exposure of their **medical records** - particularly if the law abiding citizen is **not** under criminal investigation where a warrant grants very specific investigative rights.

Presume a law abiding citizen is **not** accused of any crime and is taking any of the following prescription drugs for whatever **private** reason and with a licensed medical practitioner's **prescription**.

The **only** fact that the accuser and the PreJudge have in hand is alleged evidence that the law abiding citizen has a prescription for a drug. So far, so good? Good.

Bupropion: for depression

- and quit smoking
- and ADHD treatment
- and sex aid

Clonidine: for ADHD

- and cancer pain
- and psychiatric patients

Clomiphene: male infertility

- and female infertility
- ? male or female?

Quetiapine: for insomnia

- and schizophrenia
- and bipolar disorder

Memantine: for OCD

- and Alzheimer's

Retigabine: for vomiting

- and schizophrenia
- and tinnitus, hearing

Clonidine: for ADHD

- and cancer patients
- and psychiatric patients
- and quitting smoking
- and quitting opioids
- ✓ legal opioids?

Criminalize for what one *does*; Criminalize for who you *are*; Criminalized *too far*

How Does This Sound To You

In the health care case before PreJudge O'Connor the interesting bits all occurred at an arbitrary time, years after the health care act was passed into law, at the Federal level, where **all** States must abide by the law.

Also, years after several well-publicize cases were **slapped down** by the Supreme Court and other courts.

The only surprise is that PreJudge O'Connor, with a long history of similar prejudgments, threw himself under that bus, **again**. Insanity, repeat...

Now, what if in response to PreJudge O'Connor's decision the great State of Texas was compelled to pass judgement **before** products and services were rendered upon a client of unknown *veracity*?

Person type must be predetermined

Beyond a reasonable doubt

Before Care is administered

By a licensed medical professional

That just does **not** sound right, Does it?

Assumptions

assume time is *not* of the essence, it is not an emergency
assume that, beyond a reasonable doubt, an authoritative determination is *even possible*

assume that the process is sufficiently transparent and reproducible to be admissible in a court of law

assume that evidence acquired during the determination process meets all legal standards for a strong case

Spit-balling here, but if a medical professional with a specialty in any of the areas mentioned in the drug catalogue were asked for their *professional opinion* they are guaranteed to respond, 100% guaranteed:

I would have to examine the patient first.

PreJudge O'Connor's Medical Opinion

- Is PreJudge O'Connor a medical professional with expertise in HIV and AIDS?
- Did PreJudge O'Connor employ the services of a HIV and AIDS medical professional?
- Did the medical professional examine the patient and provide written findings?
- Was there a **patient** at all?

Between a **juridical** person and a **biological** person *We the People* will vote to subordinate the rights of the **juridical** person, **always**, obs!

United States Constitution

The United States Constitution, including amendments, have thus far eliminated most all possible discriminatory categories and related activities and thus **person type** per se is protected.

- The Innocent & The Other-abled
- The Racial & The Religious
- The Gendered & The Needy

Determination

The United States Constitution leaves only **actions** to be **determined** as lawful or unlawful, not **being**.

None-the-less, the **process** remains problematic.

- Investigation of what?
 - ✓ A crime?
 - What crime?
 - *Requesting medical care?*
- A warrant requires **probable cause**
 - ✓ a Judge has to sign a warrant
 - under what code of law: Civil, Criminal?
 - is "Probable Person Type" warrantable
- Warrantless Investigations
 - ✓ Minimally are invasion of privacy
 - a very fundamental right under the law
- Private investigators hired by hospitals
 - ✓ At what point in an investigation:
 - does it become persecution?
 - does it become harassment?

Professional Medical Opinion

"Preventative medical health care" implies that the patient does not have a medical condition per se however, due to personal and private circumstances they enlisted the services of a medical professional to prescribe a *preventative treatment*.

Hypothetically, the patient may have a valid, legal, and morally coherent risk profile that prompted the medical professional to concur with the patient.

For example:

- the patient is an EMT (medical) with daily exposure to bodily effluent
- the patient is a doctor or nurse with similar exposure
- the patient is otherwise in need of intravenous medical care (needles)
- the patient is employed where risk of cuts is high (bleeding)
- the patient is an intravenous **illegal** drug user

Word !

[blank-ish eight page]



IT IS NOT REAL UNTIL IT'S AN OLD WHITE MAN'S PROBLEM