Contents

a. Only four pages

- 1. Baby's World View
- Thank you, PreJudge O'Connor!

Baby's World View v1.2

- **Breaking News**
- 4. It Happened One Day
- The Affordable Care Act
- Pop Quiz!
- Three Laws of Life
- 8. The Case as it Stands
- 9. Rationale for Denials
- 10. How Does This Sound To You
- 11. Predeterminations

Ω. Word!

The beginner's mind is the mind of compassion. When our mind is compassionate, it is boundless. Shunryu Suzuki

Name: Baily Barry Address:

> 60+ Age: Gender: male

Education: MS Philosophy (linguistics/epistemology)

Albany, NY

Email: personhood2022@protonmail.com

On-line: https://github.com/PersonHood/DeeplyRooted

pdf, html, md

Bibliography: en.wikipedia.org; Supreme Court Roe v Wade decision

https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/21pdf/19-1392_6j37.pdf

I may be an old white man but I am not an old white man

		Mailing List (fifty) *		
Associations	Law Schools	Supreme Court	Religious Publications	PACs
American Civil Liberties Union	Albany Law School	US Supreme Court	America Magazine - Editor	ActBlue
Re: Deeply Rooted	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Ouellette	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Roberts	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor	80 New Scotland Ave.	1 First Street, NE	1212 Sixth Ave, 11th Floor	POB 441146
New York, NY 10004-2454	Albany, NY 12208-3494	Washington, DC 20543-0001	New York, NY 10036	Somerville, MA 02144
Human Rights Campaign	Columbia Law School	US Supreme Court	Christ and Pop Culture - Editor	Democratic Nation Comm.
Re: Deeply Rooted	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Lester	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Thomas	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
1640 Rhode Island Ave., NW	435 West 116th Street	1 First Street, NE	2337 S 75th Street	430 South Capitol Street, SE
Washington, DC 20036-3278	New York, NY 10027	Washington, DC 20543-0001	Lincoln, Nebraska, 68506	Washington, DC 20003-4024
League of Women Voters	Comell Law School	US Supreme Court	Christian Science Monitor	EMILY's List
Re: Deeply Rooted	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Ohlin	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Alito	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
1233 20th St., NW - Suite 500	Myron Taylor Hall	1 First Street, NE	210 Massachusetts Avenue	POB 96612
Washington, DC 20036	Ithaca, NY 14853-4901	Washington, DC 20543-0001	Boston MA 02115	Washington, DC 20077
Planned Parenthood	Georgetown Law School	US Supreme Court	Christianity Today - Editor	NARAL Pro-Choice America
Re: Deeply Rooted	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Treanor	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Sotomayor	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
1110 Vermont Ave., NW	600 New Jersey Ave., NW	1 First Street, NE	465 Gundersen Drive	1725 Eye St., NW - Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005-6300	Washington, DC 20001-2075	Washington, DC 20543-0001	Carol Stream, IL 60188	Washington, DC 20006
Southern Poverty Law Center	Harvard Law School	US Supreme Court	Jewish Currents - Editor	National Pro-Life Alliance
Re: Deeply Rooted	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Manning	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Kagan	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
400 Washington Ave.	1563 Massachusetts Ave.	1 First Street, NE	POB 130049	5211 Port Royal Rd Suite 500
Montgomery, AL 36104	Cambridge, MA 02138	Washington, DC 20543-0001	Brooklyn, NY 11213	Springfield, VA 22151-2100
Judicial Fairness Initiative	Howard Law School	US Supreme Court	Ministry Magazine - Editor	Republican National Comm.
Andrew Wynne, Vice President	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Holley-Walker	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Gorsuch	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
1201 F Street, NW, Suite 675	2900 Van Ness Street, NW	1 First Street, NE	12501 Old Columbia Pike	310 First Street, SE
Washington, DC 20004	Washington, DC 20008	Washington, DC 20543-0001	Silver Spring, MD 20904	Washington, DC 20003-1885
Center for Reproductive Rights	Liberty Law School	US Supreme Court	Religion & Politics, Stanley, Editor	Susan B. Anthony List, Inc.
Alice Wang, Attorney	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Tan	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Kavanaugh	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
199 Water Street	1971 University Boulevard	1 First Street, NE	1050 Connecticut Ave. NW, Ste 500	2776 South Arlington Mill Dr
New York, NY 10038	Lynchburg, VA 24515	Washington, DC 20543-0001	Washington, DC 20036	Arlington, VA 22206
Legal Services Corporation	New York University Law School	US Supreme Court	Sojoumers - Review Editor	The Lincoln Project
Ronald S. Flagg	Re: Deep Roots, Dean McKenzie	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Coney Barrett	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
3333 K Street, NW	40 Washington Square	1 First Street, NE	408 C Street NE	600 Penn. Ave., SE - No. 15180
Washington, DC 20007	New York, NY 10012	Washington, DC 20543-0001	Washington DC 20002	Washington, DC 20003
Nat. Adv's for Pregnant Women	Yale Law School	US Supreme Court	The Forward - Editor	Warren Democrats
Zenovia Earle, Marketing	Re: Deep Roots, Dean Gerken	Re: Deep Roots, Justice Jackson	Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
575 8th Avenue, 7th Floor N	127 Wall Street	1 First Street, NE	125 Maiden Lane, Floor 8	124 Washington Street, Suite 101
New York, NY 10018	New Haven, CT 06511	Washington, DC 20543-0001	New York, NY 10038	Foxboro, MA 02035
Florida Progressive Caucus	Notre Dame Law Review	White House Domestic Policy Advisor Ambassador Susan E. Rice 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500	Worship Leader Magazine - Editor	WinRed
Re: Awakening,	POB 780		Deeply Rooted	Re: Deeply Rooted
358 Northwest 65th Terrace	1323 Biolchini Hall of Law		29122 Rancho Viejo Rd, Suite 103	POB 9891
Plantation, FL 33317	Notre Dame, IN 46556		San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675	Arlington, VA 22219-1891

IT IS NOT REAL UNTIL IT'S AN OLD WHITE MAN'S PROBLEM

* (other addressees as well)

[blank-ish second page]



Baby's World View

Thank You, PreJudge O'Connor!

pre-judge, prejudicial, prejudiced

Breaking News

PreJudge Reed O'Conner and (juridical person) Braidwood Management have been accused of conspiracy to commit genocide, slow torture, and cruel and unusual punishment.

Both have conspired to render unaffordable life-saving health care to a population of law abiding citizens who have in good faith financially contributed to a life-saving

group health insurance plan. Why now? Where specific employee identified? Just stirring up trouble?

Plans that the Federal Government has repeatedly guaranteed to all citizens - We the People. Beyond a reasonable doubt those harmed now will die later if reasonable accommodations are not made in a timely manner, where timing is of the essence.

Breaking News

In a bewildering turn of legal events, all prejudgemental organizations have been outlawed in Texas, banned, business licenses revoked, kaput.

Following PreJudge O'Connor's decision and subsequent torture and genocide legal defense, a jury of his peers outlawed prejudgemental organizations and legally sanctioned singling out individuals associated with otherwise unaffected organizations for their prejudicial actions.

It turns out that PreJudge O'Connor had more than a few moral and legal skeletons in his closet once the tabloids were let loose on their latest

victim, feeding the 24/7 news cycle with chum.

After being subjected to dozens of questions in the manner of the 1950's HUAC:

Did you or did you not...

Have you now or have you ever been...

Airing every personal detail of PreJudge O'Connor's life, back to the time when he was caught with pornographic picture magazines by his Memaw when he was 19 years old, he eventually was lead out of his own star chamber in hand cuffs, crying like a baby. It's hard'in to be sympathetic though.

It Happened One Day

RomneyCare (2006) ObamaCare (2010)

In Texas on September 7th, 2022 PreJudge Reed O'Connor (OWM) supported a claim made by a group of people asking that a larger group of people render unaffordable scientifically proven preventative medical health care from another (though not completely separate) group of people.

Because of the nature of the health care given coincides with a practice that is contrary to a select group of people (though not *completely* separate).

It is not clear if any individual has been **proven** guilty with evidence showing the practice had been practiced.

The complainant otherwise does **not** receive said preventative medical health care in the normal course of their lives **but** (a cosmically huuuge **but**) such care may be deemed **necessary** to the *complainants* when under acceptable (to the complainants) circumstances.

The Affordable Care Act

Group health insurance plans must cover preventive services, screenings, etc. without co-payments. The *group* plans are provided equally to all through employer and State plans (i.e. *judicial persons*).

On September 7th, Texas Federal Court **PreJudge** O'Connor said that the complainant, Reed **Braidwood Management,** should **not** be required to cover a highly effective preventive treatment for HIV and AIDS in keeping with Braidwood's religious **objections**, wherein the company *practices* a **religion**.

Preventative medical health care is *central* to the Romney [R] and Obama [D] health care laws and provisions and have subsequently been upheld in all cases at all levels of court battles, including SCOTUS.

In fact PreJudge O'Connor tried to block the entirety of the health care act and was slapped down by the Supreme Court of the United States, in 2018.

Health Benefits

Studies have shown adoption of preventative practices increases where cost is no longer a factor. Eliminating cost sharing altogether also has reduced racial and ethnic disparities in access to those services.

And, healthy employees *now* means more productive employees and longer living employees and lower premiums and less paid sick leave. Failing to employ preventative care **nets** out at **greater cost** for employees and employers.

Think about **that** for a moment.

Pop Quiz!

Towards the bottom of the 1st column, please note (O'Connor).

Look at all that is left in life for he and his kind (a person **type**) to arbitrarily and selectively deny *affordable* and ready access to related services and products.

Granted, a majority of these items are unattainable in the best of times by many law abiding citizens, but they are **not** prohibited from them because of who they are. **Not** in **America**. A few case studies are listed on the next page.

Productive & Essential Items

- Sustenance
 - ✓ Water
 - ✓ Gruel
 - ✓ Fresh
 - ✓ Variety
 - ✓ Tasty
- Shelter
 - ✓ Now
 - Awhile
 - ✓ Forever
 - ✓ Systemic
 - ✓ In Decline
- Security
 - ✓ Cover Head / Body / Feet
 - ✓ Support Network Civic & Family
 - ✓ Free From Harm Environment & Law
 - ✓ Permission Freedoms, Liberties✓ Defended National Defense
- Transportation
 - ✓ Bike / Walk right of ways
 - ✓ Personal Owned moped, car
 - ✓ Personal Rented taxi, Gig
 - ✓ Public bus, train, plane, ferry
 - ✓ Luxury Cruise, Tour, Excursion
- End of Life
 - ✓ Prevention
 - ✓ In Home Care
 - ✓ Hospice Care
 - ✓ Preparation ✓ Mourning

Productive & Aspirational Items

- Health
 - ✓ Providing good sustenance to the needy
 - ✓ Good habits & practices education
 - ✓ Emergency medical care

 - ✓ Recovery & therapy
 ✓ Preventative medical care (O'Connor)
- Education
 - ✓ 03-05 years old Pre-K and Kindergarten
 - ✓ 05-11 years old Elementary School ✓ 12-15 years old Middle School

 - ✓ 15-19 years old High School & Trades
 - ✓ 19-27 years old Undergrad, Masters, PhD
- **Employment**
 - ✓ Labor or Intellectual
 - ✓ Facilitation or Management
 - ✓ Betterment

- Volunteering Leisure Time
 - ✓ Unencumbered time
 - ✓ Intellectual Pursuits
 - ✓ Physical Pursuits
 - ✓ Exploration & Adventure
 - ✓ Personal Contributions
- Expression
 - ✓ Sports
 - ✓ Media
 - ✓ Ideas
 - ✓ Social
 - ✓ Political

Counter Productive & Restrictive

- Essential
 - ✓ Liberties and Freedoms in jeopardy
- Sustenance
 - ✓ Systemic failure to provide
- Shelter
 - ✓ Systemic failure to provide or enable
- Security
 - ✓ The State is Invasive & lawless
- Public Transportation
 - ✓ Systemic failure to provide
- End of Life
 - ✓ Lack of public welfare support & regulation

Aspirational

- Health
 - ✓ Systemic failure to maintain facilities
- Education
 - ✓ Limited or prohibited by age or gender
- **Employment**
 - ✓ Systemic failure to train and renew skills
- Leisure
 - ✓ None permitted
- Expressive
 - ✓ Censored and criminalized

Rationale for Denials

NO soup for YOU!

Based on an Organized & Establish Belief System

- ✓ Organized with a written *code*
- ✓ With charismatic leader
- Based on conscientious objection

 - ✓ Sound Moral Principles no Tin Hats ✓ Long Held though hard to prove, private
- Whimsical
 - ✓ Singular, Recently Adopted, Unprincipled

The Case as it Stands

And there is a case still This will not pass unlitigated

Punishment Without a Crime

In America the *People* are innocent until proven guilty.

If suspected or culpable of a *crime* there is a well-worn path to resolution.

- accusation
- discovery and investigation
- smokey backroom dealings
 - maybe a deal is made
 - maybe a trial and jury are scheduled

The very 1st step is always an accusation

No matter what a complainant accuses the alleged perpetrator of, a specific *crime* must be identified.

All else grinds to a halt if a *crime* is not identified, innocent or guilty.

A Type of Person

It is not a *crime* to be a **type** of person.

In fact, in America, **all** possible **types** of *People* have been litigated and subsequently protected at the Federal Judicial level - most recently with the samesex marriage act, and before that racial classifications.

Current Thinking

In 2018 a cake maker narrowly won a SCOTUS decision in which they discriminated against a client on the basis of alleged homosexual acts and religious beliefs, ignoring all of the convicted same-sex pedophile priests.

Justice Kennedy, in the majority, strongly **reaffirmed protections** for **gay rights**.

However, he said:

"The outcome of cases like this in other circumstances must await further elaboration in the courts"

Annnnd, heeeRe's Johnny!

Born innocent and in America innocent until proven guilty - Noice

Three Case Studies

Your Memaw is on Bupropion to help her quit smoking, and is having a tough time of it. She sees a close female friend often and they live in a tough *diverse* part of town.

Bupropion is also a sex aid and Memaw seems a bit too close to her friend, on the wrong side of the tracks.

Is your Memaw a lesbian prostitute?

Your Memaw is on Memantine to help with early onset Alzheimer's. She may appear to be out of it. To help relieve the stress of living alone she goes for long walks to see people.

Memantine is also a schizophrenia and tinnitus treatment.

Is your Memaw a raving loon that hears voices and is seen out at all hours looking lost and talking to herself?

Is all of the above an option?

Your Memaw is on Clonidine to help with cancer-related vomiting. She tries to get out as often as she can, but has limited mobility and isn't always thinking clearly.

Clonidine also helps people quit opioids.

Is your Memaw an illegal drug addict who is off the wagon wandering the streets aimlessly looking her dealer?

Off-label Prescriptions

All law abiding citizens are **protected** from **invasion** of privacy and unauthorized exposure of their **medical records** - particularly if the law abiding citizen is **not** under criminal investigation where a warrant grants very specific investigative rights.

Presume a law abiding citizen is **not** accused of any crime and is taking any of the following prescription drugs for whatever **private** reason and with a medical practitioner's **prescription**.

The **only** fact that the accuser and the PreJudge have in hand is alleged evidence that the law abiding citizen has a prescription for a drug. So far, so good? Good.

Bupropion: for depression

- helps quit smoking
- an ADHD treatment
- a sex aid

Clonidine: for ADHD

- for cancer pain
- for psychiatric patients

Clomiphene: male infertility

- for female infertility
- male or female?

Quetiapine: for insomnia

- schizophrenia
- bipolar disorder

Memantine: for OCD

for Alzheimer's

Retigabine: for vomiting

- schizophrenia
- tinnitus, hearing

Clonidine: for ADHD

- for cancer patients
- for psychiatric patients
- for quitting smoking
- for quitting opioids
 - √ legal opioids?

Criminalize for what one does; Criminalize for who you are; Criminalized too far

How Does This Sound To You

In the health care case before PreJudge O'Connor the interesting bits all occurred at an arbitrary time, years after the health care act was passed into law, at the Federal level, where **all** States must abide by the law.

Also, years after several well-publicize cases were **slapped down** by the Supreme Court and other courts.

The only surprise is that PreJudge O'Connor, with a long history of similar prejudgments, threw himself under that bus, **again**.

Now, what if in response to PreJudge O'Connor's decision the great State of Texas was compelled to pass judgement **before** products and services were rendered upon a client of unknown *veracity?*

Person type must be predetermined

Beyond a reasonable doubt

Before Care is administered

By a licensed medical professional

That just does **not** sound right, Does it?

Assumptions

assume time is *not* of the essence, it is not an emergency assume that, beyond a reasonable doubt, an authoritative determination is even possible

assume that the process is sufficiently transparent and reproducible to be admissible in a court of law

assume that evidence acquired during the determination process meets all legal standards for a strong case

United States Constitution

The United States Constitution, including amendments, have thus far eliminated most all possible discriminatory categories and related activities and thus **person type** per se is protected.

- The Innocent & The Other-abled
- The Racial & The Religious
- The Gendered & The Needy

Determination

The United States Constitution leaves only **actions** to be **determined** as lawful or unlawful, not **being**.

None-the-less, the **process** remains problematic.

- Investigation of what?
 - ✓ A crime?
 - What crime?
 - Requesting medical care?
- A warrant requires probable cause
 - ✓ a Judge has to sign a warrant
 - under what code of law: Civil, Criminal?
 - is "Probable Person Type" warrantable
- Warrantless Investigations
 - ✓ Minimally are invasion of privacy
 - a very fundamental right under the law
- Private investigators hired by hospitals
 - ✓ At what point in an investigation:
 - does it become persecution?
 - does it become harassment?

Medical Professional Opinion

Spit-balling here, but if a medical professional with a specialty in any of the areas mentioned in the drug catalogue were asked for their *professional opinion* they are guaranteed to respond, 100% guaranteed:

I would have to examine the patient first.

PreJudge O'Connor's Medical Opinion

- Is PreJudge O'Connor a medical professional with expertise in HIV and AIDS?
- Did PreJudge O'Connor employ the services of a HIV and AIDS medical professional?
- Did the medical professional examine the patient and provide written findings?
- Was there a **patient** at all?

"Preventative medical health care" implies that the patient does **not** have a medical condition per se however, due to personal and private circumstances they enlisted the services of a medical professional to prescribe a *preventative treatment*.

Hypothetically, the patient may have a valid, legal, and morally coherent risk profile that prompted the medical professional to concur with the patient.

For example:

- the patient is an EMT (medical) with daily exposure to bodily effluent
- the patient is a doctor or nurse with similar exposure
- the patient is otherwise in need of intravenous medical care (needles)
- the patient is employed where risk of cuts is high (bleeding)
- the patient is an intravenous illegal drug user



Word!