

We will denote with  $E_G(V_1, V_2)$  the cut generated by a bipartition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of  $V(G)$ .

(a)

o Let  $\phi \in [0, c(uv) - \alpha(uv)]$ .

Let the new cost function be  $c' : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $c'(xy) = \begin{cases} c(xy), & \text{if } xy \in E \setminus \{uv\} \\ c(xy) - \phi, & \text{if } xy = uv \end{cases}$

$$\phi \leq c(uv) - \alpha(uv) \Rightarrow c'(uv) \geq c(uv) - (c(uv) - \alpha(uv)) \Rightarrow c'(uv) \geq \alpha(uv).$$

Proof by contradiction:

Assume there exists a spanning tree  $T' \subseteq G$  such that  $c'(T') < c'(T)$ .

If  $uv \notin E(T')$ , then we have  $c'(T') = c(T') \Rightarrow c(T') < c(T)$

This contradicts  $T$  being a minimum cost spanning tree of  $G$  with respect to  $c$ , therefore we know that:

$\forall T''$  such that  $T''$  is an MST in  $G$  with respect to  $c'$ , we have  $uv \in E(T'')$  (i).

Since  $T'$  is a tree, we know  $uv$  is a bridge in  $T'$ , and  $T' - uv$  has exactly two connected components.

Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be the two connected components of  $T' - uv$ . It is obvious that  $(V_1, V_2)$  is a partition of  $V(T') = V(G)$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $u \in V_1$  and  $v \in V_2$ .

Consider  $P_T(u, v)$ , the unique path in  $T$  from  $u$  to  $v$ .

We know that  $P_T(u, v)$  must contain an edge  $xy \in E_G(V_1, V_2)$ , since  $u$  and  $v$  are in different components of  $T' - uv$ .

We also know that  $\alpha(uv) \leq c'(uv)$ , which means that all edges on  $P_T(u, v)$  have cost less than or equal to  $c'(uv)$ .

So we know that there exists an edge  $xy \in E_G(V_1, V_2)$  such that  $c'(xy) \leq c'(uv)$ .

Then we can take  $T'' = (V(T'), E(T') \setminus \{uv\} \cup \{xy\})$ , which is a spanning tree of  $G$ .

But then we have that:  $c'(T'') = c'(T') - c'(uv) + c'(xy) \leq c'(T') \Rightarrow T''$  is an MST of  $G$  with respect to  $c'$ , but, since  $uv \notin E(T'')$ , this contradicts (i).

We have reached a contradiction, therefore our assumption was false, meaning there cannot exist a spanning tree of  $G$  that has a cost strictly less than  $T$  with respect to  $c' \Rightarrow T$  is an MST of  $G$  with respect to  $c'$ .

(b)

Let  $\phi \in [0, \beta(uv) - c(uv)]$ .

Let the new cost function be  $c' : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $c'(xy) = \begin{cases} c(xy), & \text{if } xy \in E \setminus \{uv\} \\ c(xy) + \phi, & \text{if } xy = uv \end{cases}$

$$\phi \leq \beta(uv) - c(uv) \Rightarrow c'(uv) \leq c(uv) + (\beta(uv) - c(uv)) \Rightarrow c'(uv) \leq \beta(uv).$$

Proof by contradiction:

Assume there exists a spanning tree  $T' \subseteq G$  such that  $c'(T') < c'(T)$ .

Notice that  $c'(T) = c(T) + \phi$ .

If  $uv \in E(T')$ , then we have  $c'(T') = c(T') + \phi, c'(T') < c'(T) \Rightarrow c(T') + \phi < c(T) + \phi \Rightarrow c(T') < c(T)$ .

This contradicts  $T$  being an MST of  $G$  with respect to  $c$ , therefore we know that:

$\forall T''$  such that  $T''$  is an MST in  $G$  with respect to  $c'$ , we have  $uv \notin E(T'')$  (ii).

Since  $T$  is a tree, we know  $uv$  is a bridge in  $T$ , and  $T - uv$  has exactly two connected components.

Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be the two connected components of  $T - uv$ . It is obvious that  $(V_1, V_2)$  is a partition of  $V(T) = V(G)$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $u \in V_1$  and  $v \in V_2$ .

Consider  $P_{T'}(u, v)$ , the unique path in  $T'$  from  $u$  to  $v$ .

We know that  $P_{T'}(u, v)$  must contain an edge  $xy \in E_G(V_1, V_2)$ , since  $u$  and  $v$  are in different components of  $T - uv$ .

We also know that  $c'(uv) \leq \beta(uv)$ , which means that all edges on  $P_{T'}(u, v)$  have cost greater than or equal to  $c'(uv)$ .

So we know that there exists an edge  $xy \in E_G(V_1, V_2)$  such that  $c'(xy) \geq c'(uv)$ , and  $xy \in E(T')$ .

Then we can take  $T'' = (V(T'), E(T') \setminus \{xy\} \cup \{uv\})$ , which is a spanning tree of  $G$ .

But then we have that:  $c'(T'') = c'(T') - c'(xy) + c'(uv) \leq c'(T') \Rightarrow T''$  is an MST of  $G$  with respect to  $c'$ , but, since  $uv \in E(T'')$ , this contradicts (ii).

We have reached a contradiction, therefore our assumption was false, meaning there cannot exist a spanning tree of  $G$  that has a cost strictly less than  $T$  with respect to  $c' \Rightarrow T$  is an MST of  $G$  with respect to  $c'$ .