IIT A2 - UPDATED

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
Team Profile	**5 to >4 pts Exemplary** You have elucidated all the relevant information about your team in a manner that is simple for the reader to interpret. You have included your test results, and a clear discussion of the differences between your ideal jobs.	**4 to >3 pts Accomplished** You have coherently included all of the relevant information about your team. You have included your test results, and discussed all relevant aspects of the differences between your ideal jobs.	**3 to >2.5 pts Developing** You have included all the relevant information about your team. You have included all of your test results, and discussed some relevant aspects of the differences between your ideal jobs.	**2.5 to >0 pts Beginning** You have not included very much information about your team. You need to include more test results. You needed to discuss more aspects of the differences between your ideal jobs.	**0 pts No Marks** This section lacks the cogency expected at a university level.	2.5 / 5 pts

Comments
You skipped introducing the team members. The intro paragraphs from A1 should have been compiled at the start of this essay. I can't read any of the text in the blue table cells for Ideal Jobs.

Tools IT A2 - UPDATED view longer Criteria description	5 to >4 pts			2.5 to >0 pts		3 / 5 pts
	Ratings					**Pts**
	Exemplary You have included a link to your group's website. You have set up your group's Git repository and included a link to it. You have made clear and appropriate comments about your group's log of activity. Any other tool, platform, service used is also discussed.	4 to >3 pts Accomplished You have included a link to your group's website. You have set up your group's Git repository and included a link to it. You have made some comments about your group's log of activity.	3 to >2.5 pts Developing Some of the appropriate links are missing. You have not included a link to your group's Git repository. You need to include more comments about your group's log of activity.	Beginning You haven't included a link to your group's website. You have not set up your group's Git repository or included a link to it. You have not made any reasonable comments about your group's log of activity. MS Teams information missing.	0 pts No Marks MS Teams information missing. No meaningful meetings recorded.	

Comments
Why did you put your website in a zip file instead of publishing it to GitHub Pages? You were supposed to publish to GitHub Pages for A1,

IIT A2 - UPDATED		
Criteria	**Ratings**	**Pts**
	between then and now, you had plenty of time to learn to do this or ask your tutor for help. Was hoping for more commentary about the tools you used and the ways your team collaborated to complete this assignment. Presumably you didn't use GitHub much; did you find MS Teams sufficient for A2 collaboration?	
Industry Data	10 to >8 pts **Exemplary** You have clearly identified relevant job titles for your ideal jobs. You have clearly and accurately identified and ranked your group's required skill set. There is commentary and involved discussion on how this data may … 8 to >6 pts **Accomplished** You have identified relevant job titles for your ideal jobs. You have identified and ranked your group's required skill set. You have made reasonable commentary on how this data … 6 to >5 pts **Developing** You have identified to some extent the job titles for your ideal jobs. You have identified and ranked some of your group's required skill set. You have made comments on how this data may … 5 to >0 pts **Beginning** You have not identified sufficiently the job titles for your ideal jobs. You have not identified or ranked your group's required skill set. Your comments on how this data may have changed your opinion … 0 pts **No Marks** This section lacks the cogency expected at a university level.	8 / 10 pts

IIT A2 - UPDATED		this data may have changed your opinion.	data may have changed your opinion.	need	
Criteria	**Ratings**				**Pts**
	have changed your opinion is provided.			significantl y more work.	
	Comments Consistency in formatting between the sections is needed. I would have liked to see the ranking #s included in everyone's sections.				
IT Work **view longer description**	15 to >12 pts Exemplary You have clearly described the kind of work done. You have described and explored all of the interactions with other people. You have described how most time is	12 to >9 pts Accomplis hed You have described the kind of work done. You have described the interactions	9 to >7.5 pts Developing Your description of the work done needs some more details and exploration . You have described some of the interactions with other people. Your description of the time spent needs more	7.5 to >0 pts Beginning Your description of the work done is inadequate. Your description of the interactions with other people needs more	0 pts No Marks	12 / 15 pts

IIT A2 - UPDATED	time is spent with	with other people.		needs more details. Your	This section lacks the cogency	
Criteria	**Ratings**	You have described		description of the time	lacks the cogency expected at	**Pts**
	a high standard of narrative flow. You have succinctly included the challenging aspects of this work. Developing a section substantially beyond the question set.	how time is spent. You have described the challenging aspects of this work. ▲	attention. You have described some of the challenging aspects of this work. While largely hitting the base specificatio n elements you could have elevated this section with further investigatio n or research..	spent needs more attention. You need to describe in more detail the challenging aspects of this work.	a university level.	

Comments

You've provided 2 interviews, so I appreciate you've put in double the required effort here. The questions were good and it sounds like you were able to elicit some good answers from the participants. I would have appreciated an intro and conclusion. It's good practice to write those for every substantive section of a report. The intro could have simply informed the reader who was being interviewed, what industry and occupation they work in, and the size of the firm so the reader had better context upfront before diving into the interview. The conclusion should

IIT A2 - UPDATED		
Criteria	**Ratings**	**Pts**
	have captured what stood out the most to the team or what you found most surprising from the participants responses.	
IT Technologies **view longer description**	25 to >20 pts Exemplary You have given an excellent description of all four of your chosen areas. You have clearly described the state of the art comprehensively. You have accurately discussed the potential impact and how this may affect your daily life in an engaging	20 to >15 pts Accomplished You have given a description of all four of your chosen areas. You have described the state of the art in an informative and concise manner. You have discussed the potential impact and how this	15 to >12.5 pts Developing You have given descriptions of your four chosen areas. You have described the state of the art to a decent extent. You have discussed the potential impact and how this may affect your daily life. Further coverage of these technologies would be	12.5 to >0 pts Beginning Your descriptions of your four chosen areas are severely lacking. Your description of the state of the art needs substantially more work. You need to include more detail on the potential impact and how this	0 pts No Marks This section lacks the cogency expected at a university level.	15 / 25 pts

IIT A2 - UPDATED		may affect your daily life.		may affect your daily life.	
Criteria	**Ratings**				**Pts**

and compelling manner.

most welcome to the reader.

Comments

Cloud Services - Good use of a framework. Nice work identifying some major competitors in the space. Would have benefited from references. What are some of the risks or limitations or problems associated with the trend?

Cyber Security - You have a lot of solid information in this section, but it's not written like an academic paper. Statistics doesn't say anything. Scholars use statistics as a method to discover new knowledge. You need to tell me who used statistics and cite that paper so I can follow up and learn what statistical methods were used to produce those results and discern if I trust those methods or not.

Autonomous Vehicles - Great job using the levels of autonomy framework! I would have liked a bit more discussion about how different competitors are using different approaches with different technologies. For example, Google cars require precompiled maps whereas Tesla relies only on real time 'vision'. What are the advantages and disadvantages to these different technical approaches? Good criticality was shows by discussing the benefits and risks (e.g. employment).

Blockchain - Some good references. You talk a lot about potential benefits, but you need to be more critical. Why has the technology existed for well over a decade yet still hasn't found widespread adoption? What are the drawbacks and disadvantage? For example, there are only between 3-500,000 daily bitcoin users but they consume more electricity than all 10 million people in the Czech Republic.

IIT A2 - UPDATED		
Criteria	**Ratings**	**Pts**
	Overall - More references are needed to support your claims. Some paragraphs could be rewritten to improve clarity or avoid hyperbole.	
Project ideas **view longer description**	**15 to >12 pts** **Exemplary** You have given a clear description of your project idea. You have discussed the details of it in elaborate detail. Not only covering the base elements from A1 and expanding heavily to describe what the group is planning to implement	**12 to >9 pts** **Accomplished** You have given a description of your project idea. You have discussed the details of implementation to a notable extent. There is good understanding and context highlighted for the project idea proposed developing	**9 to >7.5 pts** **Developing** Your description of your project idea hits key details that have expanded from A1. The extent to which you could discuss this could be described in further depth. More research is recommended to elucidate how you would deliver your	**7.5 to >0 pts** **Beginning** Your project idea needs some significant further work. There is not nearly enough detail here to get a clear picture of what you plan to do. There needs to be more innovation and thought regarding the feasibility too towards the	**0 pts** **No Marks** This section lacks the cogency expected at a university level.	7.5 / 15 pts

IIT A2 - UPDATED	implement	your		
Criteria	**Ratings**				**Pts**

| | and deliver in A3. | on the A1 ba | project idea. | completion of such a project. ▲ | |

Comments
You have a really cool and helpful idea. These services already exist in the market. You might want to have a look and see how others have attempted to offer similar features to customers. What's missing from this idea are all the technical specifications. What hardware will you use to build the device? Are you going to create a proof of concept using an Arduino or RaspberryPi? How will the device communicate with the app? You mention that users will be able to message each other through the app if they find the pet. How do you make that messaging system work? How will you track your pets water intake? What will you use to monitor the pets heart-rate? Will this information be stored on the cloud? What kind of databases are needed to track these details?

IIT A2 - UPDATED		
Criteria	**Ratings**	**Pts**
Feedback **view longer description**	7.5 to >6 pts Exemplary ACTIVE group members have contributed quality personal feedback on themselves and their group members on SparkPLUS. ▲ · 6 to >4.5 pts Accomplished ACTIVE group members have contributed appropriate feedback on themselves and their group members on SparkPLUS. · 4.5 to >3.75 pts Developing ACTIVE group members have contributed some feedback on themselves and their group members on SparkPLUS, but there is some significant information missing. · 3.75 to >0 pts Beginning ACTIVE group has not contributed appropriately to SparkPLUS. A significant amount of information is missing. · 0 pts No Marks	7.5 / 7.5 pts
Group Reflection	7.5 to >6 pts Exemplary You have reflected and discussed · 6 to >4.5 pts Accomplis... · 4.5 to >3.75 pts Developing...	3.8 / 7.5 pts

IIT A2 - UPDATED		Accomplished	Developing You have described what	3.75 to >0 pts	
Criteria	**Ratings**	You have clearly described	worked	Beginning You have not clearly described what	**Pts**
	what worked well in your group. You have elaborated on what could be improved and how. You have included at least one surprising thing for each team member. You have deeply discussed one thing you have learned about group work. Contributions have been noted clearly with	what worked well in your group. You have discussed what could be improved. You have included at least one surprising thing for each team member. You have discussed one thing you have learned about group work. Contributions have been noted clearly and	well in your group to some extent. You have discussed what could be improved, but some more explanation is needed. Your discussion of a surprising thing and what you have learned both need more work. Contributions have been noted clearly with	worked well in your group. You have not discussed what could be improved. You have not discussed a surprising thing, or what you have learned about groups. Contributions needed to have been noted clearly.	0 pts No Marks	

IIT A2 - UPDATED		discussion.	comments.	
Criteria	**Ratings**			**Pts**

commentary.

Comments
Each member had to write 200 words of personal reflection plus 400 words as a group reflection. You only have 375 words of a group reflection.

| Presentation | 10 to >8 pts **Exemplary** Your report looks clean and professional, using the appropriate font, colours and backgrounds. Resembling a document with graphic design elements. Exceptional formatting. | 8 to >6 pts **Accomplished** Your report looks good and uses the appropriate font, colours and backgrounds. You have included an appropriate number of images and visuals. | 6 to >5 pts **Developing** Your report looks reasonable but needs some attention to detail in key areas. Reasonable formatting. You should improve your use of | 5 to >0 pts **Beginning** Your report needs improvement. You need to use appropriate fonts, colours and backgrounds. You have little or no use of appropriate images or visual elements. Document templates implementa | 0 pts **No Marks** | 6 / 10 pts |

IIT A2 - UPDATED		Your report has been well-formatted.	images and visual elements.	tion would be	
Criteria	**Ratings**				**Pts**
	You have included an appropriate number of images/visual elements.			recommended.	

Comments

There is no GitHub Page to evaluate. The report is fairly well organised. There are some consistency issues where different people have contributed similar sections. The report should be written in third person using one tone of voice so the reader never knows who wrote what sections. If you want the reader to read your reference list you need to include the reference in in-text citations. You also need to refer to specific figures or appendix items when you expect the reader to go look at them. I like that you attempted to use tables and charts, unfortunately, they are a bit confusing or too small to read at times. Continue to work on exploring ways to visually represent your information. Reading industry papers by Accenture, Deloitte, or IBM can give you lots of inspiration.

Total Points: 65