

The **purpose** of the peer review is to demonstrate that you can assess your peers objectively in order to help them become better ADSAI professionals. Please record feedback in the form of comments and action points in your learning log to reflect how you are doing and if you have potential areas of improvement!

#### **Final Score**

Field	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Variance	Responses	Sum
Score	2.50	7.00	4.38	4.00	1.67	2.80	4	17.50

Your **final score** is **calculated by dividing your Mean score by 7** (amount scored questions = 7). So 5.83/7 = 0.83. People with a modifier above 0.7 can potentially be marked up 1 assessment criteria; e.g. you go from a sufficient to a good for any ILO which is group-assessed. Peers with a modifier below - 0.3 can potentially be marked down for all group deliverables by receiving either a missing or by being marked down by one assessment criteria; e.g. you go from a sufficient to an insufficient for any ILO which is group-assessed. The examinations can move a class/group the modifier threshold up and down with a 0.2 range after examination and peer-review is complete to ensure appropriate assessment.

Your **first assessment** in week 4 counts as a **preliminary grade** to allow the team member to change their behaviour if their team contribution and collaboration are in need of improvement. The **second assessment** in week 8 will count towards your **final grade** and will influence your grade towards group deliverables.

**Disclaimer**: Note that the peer-review system is an aid for ADS&AI lecturers to determine fair team contributions & collaboration in group projects. **No rights can** therefore **be obtained from** the **final score**. The tool is still under development in terms of software and development, final modifiers for grades will always be awarded using a mixed-method approach where the qualitative input of the two final assessors regarding the quality of the deliverables and observed activity (i.e. on trello, version-control systems such a Github and Datalab behaviour) of a given student of a is the defining factors.

#### **Team Participation**



## Comment & Feedback - (for in the Learning Log):

Please add a comment if any:

You have missed some datalab sessions but you made it up with the value you brought in the datalab sessions you were available.

## Action points (for in the Learning Log & Sprint Retrospective):

# **Comment & Feedback** - (for in the Learning Log):

No data found - your filters may be too exclusive!

# **Action points** (for in the Learning Log & Sprint Retrospective):

#### **Professional Behaviour**




## Comment & Feedback - (for in the Learning Log):

No data found - your filters may be too exclusive!

## Action points (for in the Learning Log & Sprint Retrospective):

#### **Team Support**




## Comment & Feedback - (for in the Learning Log):

Please add a comment if any...

You showed me some interesting methods and packages which we used for deployment.

## Action points (for in the Learning Log & Sprint Retrospective):

## **Developmental Feedback**



## Comment & Feedback - (for in the Learning Log):

No data found - your filters may be too exclusive!

## Action points (for in the Learning Log & Sprint Retrospective):

#### **Role Fulfilment**




## Comment & Feedback - (for in the Learning Log):

No data found - your filters may be too exclusive!

## Action points (for in the Learning Log & Sprint Retrospective):

#### **Team Communication**



## Comment & Feedback - (for in the Learning Log):

Please add a comment if any...

You always made sure to help when it comes to recording and evidencing the meetings

## Action points (for in the Learning Log & Sprint Retrospective):