L&R
Lex et Ratio Ltd


# The Carnival of the Invisible

When the Marginalised Take Centre Stage at Notting Hill

PETER KAHL

L&R
Lex et Ratio Ltd

# The Carnival of the Invisible

## When the Marginalised Take Centre Stage at Notting Hill

*An essay on joy, resistance, and the fleeting politics of being seen.*

PETER KAHL

26 August 2025

Lex et Ratio Ltd

First published in Great Britain by Lex et Ratio Ltd on 26 August 2025.



**About the Publisher**

Lex et Ratio Ltd provides research, advisory, and strategic consulting in governance reform, fiduciary accountability, and epistemic ethics. Our work integrates legal analysis, institutional theory, and practical reform strategies for public, corporate, and academic institutions.

## Abstract

This essay reflects on my first experience of the Notting Hill Carnival, attended on Monday 25 August. What struck me was not merely the spectacle of feathers, music, and crowds, but the deeper significance: Carnival allows those who are usually invisible in Britain—black and brown communities, women, the marginalised—to take centre stage, if only briefly. Through its history of resistance, its inversion of racial and gender hierarchies, and its joyous assertion of belonging, Carnival demonstrates how visibility itself becomes political. It is a moment sanctioned by the state yet claimed by the community, where the margins become the centre, and where an alternative vision of Britain—plural, imperfect, but alive—can be glimpsed.



## Keywords

Notting Hill Carnival, race, visibility, marginalisation, identity, resistance, belonging, Britain, multiculturalism, diaspora, epistemic justice, political aesthetics, Claudia Jones, Windrush generation, Frantz Fanon, Jacques Rancière, Victor Turner, gender, feminism, liberation, plurality, hybridity, monoculture, equality, celebration


•

This past Monday morning, I received a text message: Will you join me at the Notting Hill Carnival today? I had never been before. I thought, why not—there's a first time for everything. And so, on Monday 25 August, I found myself among the immense, pulsing crowd on the final day of the festival.

What I saw, heard, and felt went far beyond spectacle. Carnival was an assertion of identity, a negotiation of belonging, and a re-staging of Britain itself. I saw black and brown people—Caribbean, African, and diasporic communities—taking centre stage, though other races were there too, woven into the audience and sometimes among the performers. Women, often in Rio-style costumes of feathers and sequins, showing skin with pride and dignity, embodied a joyous beauty that dominated the parade. At one level, it was entertainment; at another, it was profoundly political. Histories of colonialism, migration, and race relations were not only remembered, but also transcended.

## 1. A Carnival of Resistance

Notting Hill Carnival began in the late 1950s, not long after the arrival of the Empire Windrush in 1948 and its generation of Caribbean migrants {Phillips and Phillips 1998}. It was born in the shadow of the 1958 Notting Hill race riots, when violence against black residents exposed the fault lines of post-war Britain {Winder 2005}. Claudia Jones, a Trinidad-born journalist and activist, organised an indoor Caribbean carnival in 1959 as a gesture of defiance and solidarity {Sherwood 1999}. From those beginnings, it grew into a street festival that eventually spilled across West London.

To attend Carnival today is to witness both continuity and transformation. The sound systems blasting reggae, soca, calypso, and dancehall echo the Caribbean roots of the event {Gilroy 1993}. But what I experienced this year was not merely a Caribbean enclave transplanted into London: it was Britain's largest public festival, attracting millions, and an unmistakably pluralist phenomenon.

While Carnival today is largely seen as a celebration, its very presence on the streets has always been political. In the 1970s, it became a contested arena where questions of race, policing, and public space converged. Scholars note how Carnival was defended as a right to occupy the streets in the face of state hostility, and how it connected with wider movements such as Rock Against Racism and the Anti-Nazi League. These alliances—between black Caribbean communities, white youth subcultures, reggae and punk—turned Carnival into a living expression of solidarity and resistance {Cochrane 2025}. This history underlines how visibility at Notting Hill was never simply aesthetic: it was claimed, defended, and fought for, long before it became the sanctioned, multicultural festival I experienced.

## 2. Race, Centre Stage, and the Politics of Visibility

What struck me most, as I stood in the press of dancers and onlookers, was who occupied centre stage. It was black and brown bodies—dancing, singing, performing, dazzling in colour. Girls in flamboyant costumes commanded attention, not as marginalised figures, but as protagonists. In the streets of West London, where once Caribbean migrants were unwelcome, their descendants and allies now dominated the visual and auditory space.

This inversion of racial hierarchy has symbolic weight. Britain, for centuries, exported power outward through empire, placing itself at the cultural centre. At Carnival, the inversion occurs: the Caribbean, the formerly colonised, produces the aesthetic, musical, and bodily grammar of the day. White British people and others do not disappear, but their role becomes participatory, not directive. In this way, Carnival enacts a kind of epistemic justice: it gives centre stage, literally and metaphorically, to those who were once erased or marginalised {Fricker 2007}.

## 3. Gender, Body, and Liberation

Another dimension I could not ignore was gender. Women, in particular, seemed to embody the very spirit of Carnival. Their costumes—minimal, glittering, sensual—did not read to me as objectification, though an outsider might misinterpret them that way. Rather, they seemed an act of liberation: the right to display the body not under conditions of shame or exploitation, but on one's own terms, within a tradition of masquerade that values beauty, energy, and sensuality.

The anthropologist Victor Turner once described carnival as a 'liminal space', where ordinary hierarchies are suspended, and participants inhabit a world of play, inversion, and creativity {Turner 1969}. At Notting Hill, women take this liminal space and transform it into visibility and pride. They are not accessories to men; they are the spectacle, the leaders of the parade. In this sense, Carnival is also feminist: a temporary reordering in which women claim public space, control the gaze, and redefine beauty.

## 4. Britain Beyond Monoculture

When I reflected on what I saw—faces of every colour, accents of every variety, food stalls offering everything from jerk chicken to vegan Caribbean curries—I felt that Carnival was a microcosm of Britain's future. The monocultural Britain of the 1950s, in which Caribbean migrants were viewed as outsiders, is gone {Kenny 2014}. In its place is a Britain of plurality, hybridity, and cultural layering.

Carnival dramatises this change in a visceral way. It is one thing to read statistics about the diversity of London; it is another to stand among a million people, to hear the polyphony of languages and music, and to realise that no single culture owns the streets. Britain here is many colours, many rhythms. And crucially, it is no longer a narrative of assimilation, where minorities must 'fit in'. Instead, the mainstream bends, accommodates, and in fact celebrates the aesthetics of its minorities.

## 5. Tensions and Critiques

Yet one must also acknowledge the controversies that surround Carnival. Each year there are headlines about policing, crime, and public order {Fryer 1984}. Some critics dismiss Carnival as disorderly, even dangerous. Others worry it has become too commercialised, losing touch with its radical roots {Nurse 2004}. There are feminist debates about whether the costumes empower or objectify women {Walvin 2013}. And there are questions of gentrification: the Notting Hill of 2025 is not the working-class immigrant neighbourhood of 1958, but a wealthy enclave. Some argue that Carnival today exists in tension with the local property market and with policing practices that remain racialised.

These tensions remind us that Carnival is not utopia. It is contested space, both joyous and fragile, and its existence each year depends on negotiation between communities, organisers, police, and local government. The very fact that Carnival continues is itself a victory, but it remains a precarious one.

## 6. Philosophical Reflections: Carnival as Political Aesthetics

From a philosophical perspective, Carnival can be read through the lens of political aesthetics. Jacques Rancière suggests that politics is about the 'distribution of the sensible': about who is seen, who is heard, and whose bodies count in public space {Rancière 2004}. By this measure, Carnival is political at its core. It redistributes visibility. The bodies of black and brown Britons, once rendered invisible or vilified, become the centre of celebration.

Similarly, Frantz Fanon wrote about the colonised subject reclaiming dignity through performance and cultural expression {Fanon 1967}. At Notting Hill, one sees Fanon's insight materialised: the performance is not for the colonial gaze, but for self-assertion and communal joy. Carnival says: we are here, we are beautiful, we belong.

## 7. My Experience, My Britain

For me, as I moved among the sound systems and parades, the most striking thing was the absence of self-consciousness. People were not performing their identity defensively, but exuberantly. The festival was not about tolerance, as if grudgingly allowed; it was about celebration. And in that sense, it was a vision of Britain not as merely diverse, but as pluralistic and equal.

Carnival taught me that belonging is not merely about citizenship papers or residence. It is about cultural recognition. It is about who has the right to make noise, to take up space, to dance in the streets without apology. On 25 August, that right belonged to Britain's black and brown communities, and it was shared generously with the rest of us.

## 8. Conclusion

The Notting Hill Carnival is not just a festival. It is history, resistance, art, politics, and joy compressed into two days. It reminds us that Britain is no longer a monoculture, and that equality is not achieved in courtrooms or parliament alone, but in the streets where people dance, eat, and live together. The sight of women glittering in Rio-style costumes, of black and brown people taking centre stage, of crowds of every colour moving as one—these are not trivial entertainments. They are a living constitution, an alternative vision of Britain enacted not in words, but in rhythm, costume, and dance.

And yet Carnival carries the weight of its own past. In the 1970s it was not guaranteed, but contested—fought for in the face of police hostility and racial tension, defended as a right to occupy public space {Cochrane 2025}. The visibility I witnessed this Monday is built on that inheritance. It is a fragile, conditional presence, permitted for a few days a year, but it is also a reminder: visibility can be claimed, defended, and passed on.

As I left Carnival, my ears still ringing, I thought: this is what democracy looks like when it wears feathers and sequins. It is loud, chaotic, contested, but also beautiful. It is Britain at its best—imperfect, plural, alive—and it stands on the shoulders of those who once refused to be invisible.

•

## Bibliography


Cochrane Z, 'Notting Hill Carnival and Rock Against Racism: converging cultures of resistance during late 1970s Britain' (2024) 48 *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 2081–2101

Fanon F, *Black Skin, White Masks* (Charles Lam Markmann tr, Grove Press 1967)

Fricker M, *Epistemic Injustice: Power and the Ethics of Knowing* (OUP 2007)

Fryer P, *Staying Power: The History of Black People in Britain* (Pluto Press 2010)

Gilroy P, *The Black Atlantic: Modernity and Double Consciousness* (Verso 1993)

Nurse K, 'Globalization in Reverse: Diaspora and the export of Trinidad Carnival' in Milla Cozart Riggio (ed), *Carnival: Culture in Action – The Trinidad Experience* (Routledge 2004)

Kenny M, *The Politics of English Nationhood* (OUP 2014)

Phillips M and Phillips T, *Windrush: The Irresistible Rise of Multi-Racial Britain* (HarperCollins 1998)

Rancière J, *The Politics of Aesthetics: The distribution of the Sensible* (Gabriel Rockhill tr, Bloomsbury Academic 2004)

Sherwood M, *Claudia Jones: A Life in Exile* (Lawrence & Wishart 1999)

Turner V, *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure* (Transaction Publishers 1969)

Walvin J, *Crossings: Africa, the Americas and the Atlantic Slave Trade* (Reaktion Books 2013)

Winder R, *Bloody Foreigners: The Story of Immigration to Britain* (Little, Brown 2005)

•

## Author Contact

**ORCID**: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1616-4843>
**Email**: <peter.kahl@juris.vc>
**LinkedIn**: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/peter-kahl-law/>
**GitHub**: <https://github.com/Peter-Kahl>
**PhilPapers**: <https://philpeople.org/profiles/peter-kahl>
**Google Scholar**: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?hl=en&user=z-yfRRYAAAAJ>
**Blog**: <https://pkahl.substack.com/>

•

## Cite this work

Peter Kahl, *The Carnival of the Invisible: When the Marginalised Take Centre Stage at Notting Hill* (Lex et Ratio Ltd 2025) <https://github.com/Peter-Kahl/The-Carnival-of-the-Invisible>

•

## Revision History

| Edition | Description of Changes | Epistemic Impact | Date |
|---|---|---|---|
| — | Initial release | None | 2025-08-26 |

•