Assignment 3 Loop Invariant Code Motion

Due Date: Apr. 7th, Total Marks: 100 pts

CSCD70 Compiler Optimizations
Department of Computer Science
University of Toronto

Abstract

In this assignment, you will implement the Loop Invariant Code Motion (LICM) discussed in class. You will also develop a register allocator according to the interference graph algorithm as is discussed in class. The register allocator will be used for lowering the optimized IR to machine code and having it run on real hardware.

1 Policy

1.1 Collaboration

You will work in groups of **two** for the assignments in this course. Please turn in a single submission per group.

1.2 Submission

Please submit all your files to GitHub Classroom. Make sure that your submission includes the followings:

```
writeup.pdf
lib/LICM.cpp
lib/RegAllocIntfGraph.cpp
lib/CMakeLists.txt
CMakeLists.txt
test/*
```

- A report named writeup.pdf that has answers to the **programming** (highlighted in ∠ using Section 2) and theoretical questions, and **optioanlly** describes the implementation details of your passes.
- Well-commented source code for your LICM pass and Intf-Graph register allocator, together with a build file CMakeLis ts.txt (please write your CMakeLists.txt in such a way that all passes can be built, integrated, and tested using the command cmake .. && make && make test).
- A subfolder named tests that contains all the microbenchmarks used for verification of your code.

2 Problem Statement

2.1 Loop Invariant Code Motion [40 pts]

In this pass, you will decrease the number of dynamic instructions executed during a loop by identifying and hoisting/sinking out those that are *loop-invariant*, as was discussed in class. Please call your pass *loop-invariant-code-motion* (please note that naming it to *licm* will cause a naming conflict).

In addition to the usual preprocessing *mem2reg*, you should also optimize your code using the LLVM built-in pass loop-simplify to insert loop preheaders where appropriate. If this built-in pass is unable to insert a preheader, you can ignore the loop.

It is recommended to derive from LLVM's LoopPass. *You are not allowed to use methods from LLVM that are related to loop-invariance*, which includes but is not limited to

- isLoopInvariant
- hasLoopInvariantOperands

• makeLoopInvariant

For each loop, compute the set of loop-invariant instructions. When checking for loop invariance, you should also include the following additional conditions in Listing 1 for determining whether an instruction is invariant. Hoist to the preheader or sink to the exit of all loop-invariant instructions that are candidates for code motion, ensuring that dependencies are preserved.

In your documentation, please make sure to answer the following questions:

- 1. How do you check for loop invariance, also, why do you think we need the additional conditions in Listing 1 (especially the first two)?
- 2. How do you hoist the code that is loop-invariant to the loop preheader, or sink to the loop exit?
- 3. Which one(s) of the loop structures (for, while, do-while) can LICM work naturally (i.e., without modifying the CFG) and why? What transformations do you need to make for those loop structures to which LICM is not directly applicable?

2.2 Register Allocation [40 pts]

Most of the optimizations that we have implemented so far are on the IR level. In this part of the assignment, we will switch gear to approach a backend optimization problem. Specifically, you will implement a register allocator using the interference graph algorithm that we have described in class. The register allocator will be used to map the IR to backend-dependent machine assembly code, which can then be compiled and executed on real hardware.

Note that you should implement and test your register allocator on an $x86_64$ machine (which is the architecture of the MathLab machine). The correctness of your register allocator will be verified on the example shown during the register allocation tutorial and the optimized IR from your LICM optimization pass.

3 Theoretical Questions

3.1 Pointer Analysis [10 pts]

Recall that pointer analysis can be flow insensitive, flow sensitive, or path sensitive. For the code below, show the result of performing each type of pointer analysis for the dereference of pointer p at the last line.

```
S1: p = malloc();
S2: q = malloc();
S3: p = q;
S4:
    r = malloc()
S5: q = r;
S6: if (a)
S7:
         p = q;
S8:
    if (b)
S9:
         p = r;
S10: if (!a)
S11:
         p = malloc();
S12: ? = *p;
```

Recall that pointer analysis can also be context sensitive or insensitive. For the code below, show the result of performing each type of pointer analysis for the dereference of p.

```
int a, b, c, *p, *q;
int main()
{
S1:
          f1();
S2:
         p = &a;
S3:
         f2();
S4:
         p = q;
S5:
          f3();
}
void f1()
{
S6:
         p = &a;
S7:
         q = &c;
S8:
          f2();
S9:
          f3();
}
void f2()
S10:
          p = \&b;
S11:
         q = &a;
S12:
         f3();
}
void f3()
S13:
          ? = *p;
}
```

3.2 Register Allocation [10 pts]

Suppose that you have a processor with four registers. Consider the following code, where only definitions and uses of interest are shown. Perform the *register allocation* algorithm described in class, showing the following steps for full marks:

- 1. live variables
- 2. reaching definitions
- 3. live ranges
- 4. interference graph
- 5. final colored graph




Figure 1. Code for Register Allocation Analysis

4 FAQ

Given below is the questions asked during previous offering of the class. If you do not think they fully answer your question, please open a new thread on Piazza.

Q: For the interference graph in the theoretical question, should we split the live ranges making $x_{1,2,3}$ etc. or should we treat each variable as a node?

A: In the programming portion, please follow the live intervals given to you by the *LiveIntervals* pass. In the theoretical questions, please treat each variable as a node.