## "Significance testing as perverse probabilistic reasoning"

 $\square$  Consider a typical medical research study, for example designed to test the efficacy of a drug, in which a null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub> ('no effect') is tested against an alternative hypothesis H<sub>1</sub> ('some effect'). Suppose that the study results pass a test of statistical significance (that is P-value <0.05) in favor of H<sub>1</sub>. What has been shown?

□ 1. H<sub>0</sub> is false.
□ 2. H<sub>1</sub> is true.
□ 3. H<sub>0</sub> is probably false.
□ 4. H<sub>1</sub> is probably true.
□ 5. Both (1) and (2).
□ 6. Both (3) and (4).
□ 7. None of the above.

## Significance testing as perverse probabilistic reasoning

□ Only 12 of 246 physicians surveyed in [1] chose #7.

- $\square$  1. H<sub>0</sub> is false.
- $\square$  2.  $H_1$  is true.
- $\square$  3. H<sub>0</sub> is probably false.
- $\square$  4.  $H_1$  is probably true.
- $\square$  5. Both (1) and (2).
- $\square$  6. Both (3) and (4).
- $\square$  7. None of the above.