1. Top Performers

Identify caregivers with the highest number of completed visits. Clearly define 'completed' and briefly explain your reasoning.

Answer:

Definition:

A 'completed' visit is the one satisfying the following conditions:

clock_in_actual_datetime<=start_datetime, (1)

clock out actual datetime>=end datetime. (2)

Since start_datetime/end_datetime are scheduled shift start and end. Inequality (1) means the caregivers does not come late. Inequality (2) means the caregivers does not leave early. Two inequalities assures that the visiting time is enough and meeting the requirement of scheduled shift. So when a carelog satisfies inequalities (1) and (2), it is defined as a 'completed' visit.

SQL Query:

SELECT

"caregiverId",

COUNT(*) AS completed visit count

FROM carelogs

WHERE

"clockInActualDatetime" <= "startDatetime"

AND "clockOutActualDatetime" >= "endDatetime"

GROUP BY "caregiverId"

ORDER BY completed_visit_count DESC;

Sample Outputs:

Sample Outputs.	
-[RECORD 1]	
	56f5cc4b85
completed visit count	294
-[RECORD 2]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	The state of the s
-[RECORD 3]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 4]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 5]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 6]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
-[RECORD 7]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 8]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 9]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 10]	
caregiverId	
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 11]	
	a667ce9032
completed visit count	
-[RECORD 12]	
	75344d8077
completed visit count	N. S.
	100001101

2. Reliability Issues:

Highlight caregivers showing frequent reliability issues(e.g., late arrivals, cancellations, missed visits). Clearly explain your criteria for identifying these caregivers.

Answer:

Definition:

A caregiver is assumed to have reliability issues, if any of their carelogs meets one of the following conditions

- (1) One of start_datetime, end_datetime, clock_in_actual_datetime and clock out actual datetime is null
- (2) (end_datetime-start_datetime)>(clock_out_actual_datetime-clock in actual datetime)
- (3) clock in actual datetime > start datetime + 10 minutes

SQL Query:

```
WITH flagged logs AS (
 SELECT
  "caregiverId",
  "carelogId",
   "startDatetime" IS NULL OR
   "endDatetime" IS NULL OR
   "clockInActualDatetime" IS NULL OR
   "clockOutActualDatetime" IS NULL
  ) AS has missing fields,
   "startDatetime" IS NOT NULL AND
   "endDatetime" IS NOT NULL AND
   "clockInActualDatetime" IS NOT NULL AND
   "clockOutActualDatetime" IS NOT NULL AND
   ("clockOutActualDatetime" - "clockInActualDatetime") < ( "endDatetime" -
"startDatetime")
  ) AS left early,
   "startDatetime" IS NOT NULL AND
   "clockInActualDatetime" IS NOT NULL AND
   "clockInActualDatetime" > "startDatetime" + INTERVAL '10 minutes'
  ) AS late arrival
 FROM carelogs
),
caregiver_flags AS (
 SELECT
  "caregiverId",
  COUNT(*) FILTER (
   WHERE has_missing_fields OR left_early OR late_arrival
```

```
) AS reliability_issue_count
FROM flagged_logs
GROUP BY "caregiverId"
)
SELECT *
FROM caregiver_flags
WHERE reliability_issue_count > 0
ORDER BY reliability_issue_count DESC;
```

Sample Outputs:

```
-[ RECORD 1 ]-----
                          cd6bd8d5f1
caregiverId
reliability_issue_count | 214
-[ RECORD 2 ]-----
caregiverId
                          7bfbfda241
reliability_issue_count | 202
-[ RECORD 3 ]----
caregiverId | f864
reliability_issue_count | 183
                          f864a0fb90
-[ RECORD 4 ]-----
caregiverId | 7873
reliability_issue_count | 168
                          78735ef0b9
-[ RECORD 5 ]-----
caregiverId | 5b8d
reliability_issue_count | 166
                          5b8dae6f05
-[ RECORD 6 ]-----
caregiverId | b9ah
reliability_issue_count | 147
                          b9ab60b9bf
-[ RECORD 7 ]-----
caregiverId
                          2129ff7024
reliability_issue_count | 134
-[ RECORD 8 ]-----
caregiverId
                          4cfbacf756
reliability_issue_count | 122
-[ RECORD 9 ]-----
caregiverId
                          d295fe6f11
reliability_issue_count | 117
-[ RECORD 10 ]-----+
caregiverId
                         | dbf8bf7cf9
reliability_issue_count | 86
-[ RECORD 11 ]------
caregiverId
reliability_issue_count | 85
-[ RECORD 12 ]----+--
caregiverId
reliability_issue_count | 82
-[ RECORD 13 ]------
caregiverId
reliability_issue_count | 82
-[ RECORD 14 ]----+--
caregiverId
reliability_issue_count | 75
```

3. Visit Duration Analysis:

Calculate and clearly present the average actual duration of caregiver visits. Clearly handle potential anomalies such as missing or inconsistent timestamps.

Answer:

Duration time:

clock_out_actual_datetime- clock_in_actual_datetime

Average duration time:

sum (clock_out_actual_datetime - clock_in_actual_datetime) over all visiting carelogs of the caregiver, and then divide it by the total number of visiting carelogs of this caregiver

```
SQL Query:
SELECT
 "caregiverId",
 COUNT(*) AS valid visit count,
 ROUND(
 AVG(
  EXTRACT(EPOCH FROM "clockOutActualDatetime" - "clockInActualDatetime") /
60
  )::numeric,
) AS avg_visit_duration_minutes
FROM carelogs
WHERE
 "clockInActualDatetime" IS NOT NULL
 AND "clockOutActualDatetime" IS NOT NULL
 AND "clockOutActualDatetime" > "clockInActualDatetime"
GROUP BY "caregiverId"
ORDER BY avg_visit_duration_minutes DESC;
```

Sample Outputs:

```
-[ RECORD 1 ]-----
caregiverId | 4d923d62d0
valid_visit_count | 13
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1956.9
-[ RECORD 2 ]-----
caregiverId | d10ad48e4a
valid_visit_count | 10
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1941.0
-[ RECORD 3 ]-----
caregiverId | 80bd335c43
valid_visit_count | 12
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1925.6
-[ RECORD 4 ]-----
caregiverId | fffac188bd valid_visit_count | 5
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1728.0
-[ RECORD 5 ]-----+----
caregiverId | 9685da0500 valid_visit_count | 8
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1687.5
-[ RECORD 6 ]-----+----
caregiverId | 211115fea1 valid_visit_count | 10
avg visit duration minutes | 1610.9
-[ RECORD 7 ]-----
caregiverId | 9a21240ae0 valid_visit_count | 9
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1573.7
-[ RECORD 8 ]-----+----
caregiverId | 6b952adc33
valid_visit_count | 6
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1445.0
-[ RECORD 9 ]-----+----
caregiverId | 271704d430 valid_visit_count | 6
avg visit duration minutes | 1444.6
-[ RECORD 10 ]-----+----
caregiverId | 1c7cb68c6b
valid_visit_count | 8
avg_visit_duration_minutes | 1441.2
```

4. Identifying Outliers

Identify and clearly present visits significantly shorter or longer than typical durations. Explain your criteria and reasoning clearly but succinctly. Briefly suggest potential operational causes or implications of these anomalies.

Answer:

Criteria:

Calculate the mean value and standard deviation(std) of all the duration times. For a given duration time, if it is less than mean minus one std, then it is considered significantly shorter. If it is larger than mean plus three times std, then it is considered significantly longer.

Suggestion:

Operationally, a significantly shorter duration time implies that the patient may be not satisfied with the current caregiver. And the patient may want to change for another caregiver. On the other hand, a significantly longer duration time suggests that some emergent events may have occurred, which requires the caregiver much more time than usual to deal with.

```
SQL Query:
WITH durations AS (
 SELECT
  "caregiverId",
  "carelogId",
  EXTRACT(EPOCH FROM "clockOutActualDatetime" - "clockInActualDatetime") / 60
AS duration minutes
 FROM carelogs
 WHERE
  "clockOutActualDatetime" IS NOT NULL
  AND "clockInActualDatetime" IS NOT NULL
  AND "clockOutActualDatetime" > "clockInActualDatetime"
),
stats AS (
 SELECT
  AVG(duration minutes) AS mean,
  STDDEV(duration_minutes) AS stddev
 FROM durations
),
outliers AS (
 SELECT d.*, s.mean, s.stddev
 FROM durations d
 CROSS JOIN stats s
 WHERE d.duration minutes < (s.mean - s.stddev)
  OR d.duration minutes > (s.mean + 3 * s.stddev)
)
SELECT *
FROM outliers
ORDER BY duration minutes;
Sample Outputs:
Significantly shorter duration
```

```
-[ RECORD 585 ]--+---
                           _____
caregiverId | e004b827ec
carelogId | 9322f0d85c
duration_minutes | 1.40000000000000000
          332.39851121914687170633
stddev
                 237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
-[ RECORD 586 ]--+
caregiverId
                 | 310fcf1fa6
carelogId | 3alcff0ccd
duration_minutes | 1.4000000000000000
         332.39851121914687170633
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
mean
stddev
-[ RECORD 587 ]--+
caregiverId
                  | 8222655a46
                b2af5c9460
carelogId
duration_minutes | 1.416666666666667
mean | 332.39851121914687170633
stddev
                 237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
-[ RECORD 588 ]--+-
caregiverId | 6d60518778
carelogId | 5128ed38e9
duration_minutes | 1.416666666666667
          332.39851121914687170633
                 237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
stddev
carelogId | 0b3606d762
duration_minutes | 1.416666666666667
          332.39851121914687170633
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
stddev
-[ RECORD 590 ]--+
caregiverId | cdobucc
| 2d82c8441a
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
stddev
-[ RECORD 591 ]--+-
caregiverId | 1209c9695d carelogId | 665ea970cd
duration_minutes | 1.43333333333333333
          332.39851121914687170633
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
mean
stddev
```

Significantly longer duration

```
-[ RECORD 361 ]--+---
caregiverId | b60f834118
carelogId | f09c18e527
duration_minutes | 1442.2500000000000000
                             332.39851121914687170633
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
-[ RECORD 362 ]--+-
carejverId | f804411d39
carelogId | f9ae13ac52
duration_minutes | 1442.2166666666666667
                             332.39851121914687170633
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
mean
stddev
-[ RECORD 363 ]--+-
caregiverId | eadc0c422d | eadc0c422d | eadc0c422d | eadc0c42d | e
-[ RECORD 365 ]--+-
caregiverId | a2f5987eea carelogId | d0126e1bd7
duration_minutes | 1442.116666666666667
                               332.39851121914687170633
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
mean
stddev
-[ RECORD 366 ]--+-
caregiverId | 24aa134edd
carelogId | a670b0410d
duration_minutes | 1442.10000000000000000
                                332.39851121914687170633
237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
-[ RECORD 367 ]--+-
caregiverId | 1c771e8725
carelogId | b8c936c586
stddev
                           237.9390291542180552861062436373420224927113
```

5. Detailed Documentation Providers:

Clearly identify caregivers consistently leaving detailed comments. Define your own criteria for "consistent" and "detailed".

Answer:

```
Criteria for detailed:
```

general_comment_char_count>=100.

Criteria for consistent:

80% of a certain caregiver's carelogs are detailed.

SQL Query:

```
WITH caregiver_logs AS (
SELECT
"caregiverId",
COUNT(*) AS total_logs,
COUNT(*) FILTER (
WHERE "generalCommentCharCount" >= 100
) AS detailed_logs
FROM carelogs
GROUP BY "caregiverId"
```

```
),
consistent_documenters AS (
SELECT
   "caregiverId",
   detailed_logs,
   total_logs,
   ROUND(detailed_logs::numeric / total_logs, 2) AS detailed_ratio
FROM caregiver_logs
   WHERE total_logs > 0
)
SELECT *
FROM consistent_documenters
WHERE detailed_ratio >= 0.8
ORDER BY detailed_ratio DESC;
Sample Outputs:
```

```
-[ RECORD 254 ]+---
detailed_logs | 7
total_logs
detailed_ratio | 0.88
-[ RECORD 255 ]+----
caregiverId
              96e2a8ed79
detailed_logs | 7
total_logs
              1 8
detailed ratio | 0.88
-[ RECORD 256 ]+----
caregiverId
              9e597ca7fc
detailed_logs | 7
total_logs
               8
detailed_ratio | 0.88
-[ RECORD 257 ]+----
detailed_logs | 7
total_logs
detailed_ratio | 0.88
-[ RECORD 258 ]+----
caregiverId | 769c628450
detailed_logs | 7
total logs
detailed_ratio | 0.88
-[ RECORD 259 ]+----
caregiverId
              cclda14fa6
detailed logs | 7
total_logs | 8
detailed_ratio | 0.88
-[ RECORD 260 ]+----
caregiverId | b53b48b187
detailed_logs | 7
total logs
detailed_ratio | 0.88
```

6. Data Quality Check:

Clearly highlight any unusual or suspicious patterns in documentation data. Briefly describe your methodology and explain why these patterns are important operationally.

Answer:

Most documentation fields are blank or null in carelogs table. We can regard extreme long documentation as an unusual or suspicious pattern.

Operationally, a blank or null documentation means there is nothing special happened during a scheduled shift. While a very long documentation implies something emergent may have happened during the scheduled shift. So it is reasoning to look at these very long documentations as unusual.

Then what is the threshold for 'long'? This can be investigated by SQL queries. The query we use is

SELECT COUNT(*) AS documentation_count FROM carelogs WHERE LENGTH(documentation)>n;

Here n can take any positive integer.

The query results are listed in the following table

n	0	100	500	1000	1300	1400	1500
documentation count	58608	58606	43796	12115	3698	731	12

As the threshold n becomes larger, the number of documentations whose length is greater than n sharply drops. For instance, we can simply take n=1400 as the threshold length for unusual documentation. Then the table tells us there are totally 731 unusual documentations in the existing database.

7. Overtime Identification:

Clearly identify caregivers regularly incurring overtime hours. Define clearly how you determine overtime(e.g., number of hours per week exceeding a threshold, such as 40 hours).

Answer:

Definition

- (1) overtime=(clock_out_actual_datetime clock_in_actual_datetime)-(end_datetime - start_datetime)
- (2) If 50% of the carelogs of a given caregiver have overtime>1 hour, then this caregiver is considered to regularly incur overtime hours.

SQL Query:

```
WITH overtime_stats AS (

SELECT

"caregiverId",

COUNT(*) AS total_logs,

COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE "overtimeMinutes" > 60) AS overtime_logs

FROM carelogs

WHERE "overtimeMinutes" IS NOT NULL

GROUP BY "caregiverId"
),

overtime_flags AS (

SELECT

"caregiverId",

total_logs,

overtime_logs,

ROUND((overtime_logs::decimal / total_logs) * 100, 2) AS overtime_percentage
```

```
FROM overtime_stats
WHERE (overtime_logs::decimal / total_logs) >= 0.5
)
SELECT
of."caregiverId",
a."agencyId",
of.total_logs,
of.overtime_logs,
of.overtime_percentage
FROM overtime_flags of
JOIN caregivers a ON of."caregiverId" = a."caregiverId"
ORDER BY of.overtime_percentage DESC;
```

Sample Outputs:

```
caregiverId
                              49e67ec68a
                              7c792a8279
agencyId
total_logs
                              21
overtime_logs
overtime_percentage
                              19
-[ RECORD 19 ]----
caregiverId
                              a18631d720
agencyId
total_logs
                              7c792a8279
overtime_logs |
overtime_percentage |
-[ RECORD 20 ]-----+
                              101
caregiverId
agencyId
total_logs
                              0678ca2eae
overtime_logs
                              82
overtime_percentage | 88.17
-[ RECORD 21 ]----+
                              5e9cf763c0
caregiverId
agencyId
                              b90ba83119
total_logs
overtime_logs
overtime_percentage | 87.37
-[ RECORD 22 ]----+
caregiverId agencyId
                              e14b12c5d1
                              0765933456
caregiverId
                              24b30a5ab1
agencyId
                              b90ba83119
                             154
129
total_logs
overtime_logs |
overtime_percentage |
-[ RECORD 24 ]-----+
caregiverId
                              69f03622b6
agencyId
total_logs
                              bfbb56bee6
overtime_logs |
overtime_percentage |
-[ RECORD 25 ]-----+
caregiverId
                              98bceaf3fc
agencyId
                              ebd774c929
total logs
overtime_logs | 164
overtime_percentage | 83.25
```

8. Operational Insights:

Highlight any patterns or insight related overtime:

Are specific caregivers or agencies disproportionately responsible for overtime? Do certain schedules or visit types correlate with higher overtime? *Answer:*

(1) Yes, there are specific caregivers or agencies disproportionately responsible for overtime.

```
These agencies' agency_id are: "7c792a8279", "a0872cc5b5".
```

(2) From the carelogs data table, there are no fields related to visit types. From the following query results, we see that when scheduled start time is between 1~4 o'clock, then the corresponding overtime is highest.

SQL Query:

```
SELECT

EXTRACT(HOUR FROM "startDatetime") AS hour_of_day,

COUNT(*) AS total_visits,

ROUND(AVG("overtimeMinutes")::numeric, 2) AS avg_overtime_minutes

FROM carelogs

WHERE

"startDatetime" IS NOT NULL

AND "overtimeMinutes" IS NOT NULL

GROUP BY hour_of_day

ORDER BY avg_overtime_minutes DESC;
```

Sample Outputs:

_	
-[RECORD 1]	+
hour_of_day	1
total_visits	429
avg_overtime_minutes	89.34
-[RECORD 2]	+
hour_of_day	2
total_visits	1558
avg_overtime_minutes	32.20
-[RECORD 3]	+
hour_of_day	3
total_visits	9207
avg_overtime_minutes	12.92
-[RECORD 4]	+
hour_of_day	4
total_visits	23757
avg overtime minutes	11.89
-[RECORD 5]	+
hour of day	11
total_visits	9773
avg_overtime_minutes	11.85
-[RECORD 6]	+
hour_of_day	12
total_visits	10064
avg_overtime_minutes	11.21
-[RECORD 7]	
hour of day	0
total visits	218
avg overtime minutes	9.14
-[RECORD 8]	
hour of day	5
total visits	35188
avg_overtime_minutes	7.61
-[RECORD 9]	
hour of day	10
total visits	10158
avg overtime minutes	7.23
-[RECORD 10]	
hour of day	15
total visits	8136
avg_overtime_minutes	6.93
total_visits	