

1) def max difference (array):

n = len(array)

~~array~~

~~sorted_array = array.sort()~~

sorted_array = array.sort()

sublist_one = []

sublist_two = []

sum_one = 0

sum_two = 0

for i in range(n//2):

sublist_one.append(~~sorted_array~~ sorted_array[i])

~~sorted_array~~

sum_one += sorted_array[i]

for i in range(n//2, n):

sublist_two.append(sorted_array[i])

sum_two += sorted_array[i]

return sublist_one, sublist_two, max(sum_one, sum_two)

Time complexity is $O(n \log(n))$.

2.

```
def min_difference (array):
```

```
    n = len(array)
```

```
    sorted_array = array.sort()
```

```
    sublist_one = []
```

```
    sublist_two = []
```

```
    sum_one, sum_two = 0, 0
```

```
    for i in range(n):
```

```
        if sum_one <= sum_two:
```

```
            sublist_one.append(sorted_array[i])
```

```
            sum_one += sorted_array[i]
```

```
        else:
```

```
            sublist_two.append(sorted_array[i])
```

```
            sum_two += sorted_array[i]
```

```
return sublist_one, sublist_two, absolute_value(sum_one - sum_two)
```

```
return (sublist_one, sublist_two, absolute_value(sum_one - sum_two))
```

Time complexity is $O(n \times \log(n))$

2.

1) Best case:

when the first loop only runs once

So $i \equiv n$ in the first run.

So Best Case = $O(1)$.

2). Worst case will be if all inner loops

run from 1 to n .

Worst case time complexity = $O(n^4)$.

5.

~~int any - equal (int n, int A[][100]) {~~

int any - equal (int n, int A[][100]) {

unordered_set<int> seen;

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {

int elem = A[i][j]

if (seen.count(elem) > 0)

{

return 1;

}

seen.insert(elem);

}

}

return 0;

}

3.

Bubble sort:

let Black ball = "1".

let White ball = "0".

Given an Array of $A = 2n$.

loop through from $2n-1$ to one in reverse order.

compare the index (i) from the first position (0)

if i find a element ("0"), that is smaller than the previous element (i) , the loop will run and the elements will be swapped.

This will run until it makes sure all the "0"s are before the "1"s.

The time complexity will be $O(n^2)$.

The worst case

(cost of the algorithm is $N(\frac{N}{2} + 1)$) the average of the list

should be between $\frac{N}{2} - 1$ and $(\frac{N}{2} + 1)$

So if the condition is satisfied, it will be sorted. If not, it will be sorted $N + (\frac{N}{2} + 1)$ times, which is the worst case.

4.

line 2 from 1 to N

~~the worst case~~

the best case will be ~~the~~ constant

$\left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right) 2$. This would make the
if statement true since there should be
 $\frac{N}{2} + 1$ elements that are greater than the
average.

the worst case count of the algorithm is

$N \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right)$. The average of the list

should be between $\frac{N}{2}$ and $\left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right)$

so if the if condition, if count > $\frac{N}{2}$,

it will be sum $N + \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right)$ times, which is

the worst case.

5.

a.

first loop

$$i < n^2 + 1$$

second loop

$$j < n^{3/5}$$

$$\text{Total count} = \frac{n^5}{5}$$

b. while ($n^2 > 0$)

$$j = j - 2.$$

$$\text{Total count} = \frac{n^2}{2}$$

c.

while ($j \leq n^2$)

$$n = 2^k.$$

$$j = 2 \times j.$$

$$\text{Count} = \log_2(n)$$

6.

a. $4^{2n+1} \in \Theta(16^n)$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^{2n+1}}{16^n} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^{2n} \cdot 4}{16^n} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2^2)^{2n} \cdot 4}{(4^2)^n}$$

$$\rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{4n} \cdot 4}{4^{2n}} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{4n-2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n} \rightarrow \infty$$

4^{2n+1} is upper bound of 16^n .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^{2n+1}}{16^n} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2^2)^{2n+1}}{4^{2n}} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{4n+2-4n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^2 = 4 < \infty$$

This shows that 4^{2n+1} is $O(16^n)$.

b.

$$n^5 - n^2 + 2n \geq c \cdot n$$

$$n^5 - n^2 + 2n \geq n^5$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^5 - n^2 + 2n}{n} \geq c$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^5 - n^2 + 2n}{n} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^5}{n} - \frac{n^2}{n} + \frac{2n}{n}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^5}{n} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^4 \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2}{n} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n}{n} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \rightarrow 2.$$

$$\infty - \infty + 2 = \infty$$

so it is bounded by $\Omega(n)$.

7.

$$10n + 8 \in O(n^3)$$

$$10n + 8 \notin \Omega(n^3)$$

$$\text{let } f(n) = 10n + 8$$

$$\text{so } 10n + 8 \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

$$10n + 8 \leq 10n^2$$

$$\text{Thus, } 10n + 8 \in O(n^2), g(n) = n^2$$

$$\text{let } 10n + 8 = f(n)$$

$$\text{So } 10n + 8 \geq 10 + 8$$

$$\text{Thus it is true for } c = 18, g(n) = 1$$
$$g(n) \in \Omega(n^2)$$

8.

$$f+g = O(f)$$

$$g = O(f)$$

$$f+g = O(f)$$

by definition of $g = O(f)$, there exist positive constant C and n_0 such that $n \geq n_0$, $g(n) \leq C \cdot f(n)$.
we need to show that $f+g$ is $O(f)$, which means we need to find C and N such that $n \geq N$,

$$(f+g)(n) \leq C \cdot f(n).$$

$$\text{so } (f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n)$$

$$\text{i.e. } f(n) + g(n) \leq f(n) + C \cdot f(n)$$

$$f(n) + g(n) \leq (1+C) \cdot f(n).$$

$$\text{Therefore } f+g = O(f).$$

$$f+g = \Omega(f).$$

To show that $f+g$ is $\Omega(f)$, we need to find constant C' and

$$N'$$
 such that for all $n \geq N'$, $(f+g)(n) \geq C' \cdot f(n)$.

$$(f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n)$$

$$\text{since } g = O(f) \text{ then } (f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n) \geq f(n) + C' \cdot f(n)$$

$$\text{let } (f+g)(n) \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

$$(f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n) \geq f(n) + C' \cdot f(n)$$

$$(f+g)(n) \geq (1+C') \cdot f(n)$$

$$(f+g)(n) \geq C' \cdot f(n) \text{ so } f+g = \Omega(f).$$

9. loop invariant:

i th iteration from $i=1$ to $i=n-1$

the array $A[0:i]$ has the same value of the cumulative sum of the squares of the first i element of the array X .

Before $i=1$

we set $A[0]$ to $X[0]$ and S to $X[0] \times X[0]$

After each loop:

$i = (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$

$A[0:i]$ contains the square root of the cumulative sum of the squares of the first i element of X .

After the loop ends

$i = n$ ~~iteration~~

$A[0:n-1]$ contains the square root of the cumulative sum of the squares of the first $n-1$ elements of X .

we can conclude the code is correct since the loop invariant holds true for all three stages. in range of $[0, n-1]$

10.

1) ~~time~~ time complexity is $n \times n \times n = O(n^3)$.

~~def calculate matrix (A):~~

2)

def calculate matrix (A):

n = len(A)

B = [[0] * n for _ in range(n)] n

// create matrix

B[0][0] = A[0]

for j in range(1, n): n

B[0][j] = B[0][j-1] + A[j]

for i in range(1, n):

for j in range(1, n):

B[i][j] = B[i-1][j] + A[i-1]

return B

Time complexity $O(n^2)$.

11.

1). Each minute the president gives student with 'A' a opportunity to come to the podium. After each minute person comes up to the podium, students with 'A' can deduce their own label by observing answers. Eventually all 5 students with A will come to the podium.

Let's solve this by induction.

Base case:

minute 1: no students come to the podium with 'A'. Since they are not certain about their label.

Inductive Hypothesis:

Assume after k minutes, where k is the positive integer less than or equal to 5 all students with A figured out their label and went to the podium.

Inductive step.

By minute $(k+1)$, if no students with label 'A' come to the podium, the students with label 'A' will realize they are among those of 'A' and will come to the podium.

And so we are done by solving this with induction.