

A detailed total energy analysis of the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM)

P.H. Lauritzen^{1*}, and D.L. Williamson¹

¹National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Key Points:

- ...

*1850 Table Mesa Drive, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Corresponding author: Peter Hjort Lauritzen, pe1@ucar.edu

Abstract

1 Introduction

[Lauritzen *et al.*, 2018]

2 Method

- ‘These fixers add a uniform increment to the temperature field to compensate for the global average energy lost by the dynamical core that time step. While this ensures a global energy balance, any impact of the conservation error would be in the spatial distribution which cannot be determined.’ - *Williamson et al.* [2015]

CAM-SE is formulated using a terrain-following hybrid-sigma vertical coordinate η but the coordinate levels are defined in terms of dry air mass ($M^{(d)}$) instead of total air mass; $\eta^{(d)}$ [see *Lauritzen et al.*, 2018, for details]. In such a coordinate system it is convenient to define the tracer state in terms of a dry mixing ratio instead of moist mixing ratio

$$m^{(\ell)} \equiv \frac{\rho^{(\ell)}}{\rho^{(d)}}, \text{ where } \ell = \text{'wv', 'cl', 'ci', 'rn', 'sw'}, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho^{(d)}$ is the mass of dry air per unit volume of moist air and $\rho^{(\ell)}$ is the mass of the water substance of type ℓ per unit volume of moist air. Moist air refers to air containing dry air, water vapor, cloud liquid, cloud ice, rain amount and snow amount. For notational purposes define the set of all components of air

$$\mathcal{L}_{all} = \{\text{'d', 'wv', 'cl', 'ci', 'rn', 'sw'}\}, \quad (2)$$

Define associated heat capacities at constant pressure $c_p^{(\ell)}$. Using the $\eta^{(d)}$ vertical coordinate and dry mixing ratios the total energy that the frictionless adiabatic equations of motion in the CAM-SE dynamical core conserves is

$$E^{(dycore)} = \int_{\eta=0}^{\eta=1} \iint_S \left(\frac{\partial M^{(d)}}{\partial \eta^{(d)}} \right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{all}} \left[m^{(\ell)} \left(K + c_p^{(\ell)} T + \Phi_s \right) \right] dAd\eta^{(d)}, \quad (3)$$

where $K = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ is the kinetic energy (\mathbf{v} is the wind vector) and Φ_s is the surface geopotential.

In the CAM physical parameterizations a different definition of total energy is used. For the computation of total energy condensates are assumed to be zero and the heat capacity of moisture is the same as for dry air

$$E^{(physics)} = \int_{\eta=0}^{\eta=1} \iint_S \left(\frac{\partial M^{(d)}}{\partial \eta^{(d)}} \right) (1 + m^{(wv)}) \left[(K + c_p^{(d)} T + \Phi_s) \right] dAd\eta^{(d)}. \quad (4)$$

One can make the adiabatic, frictionless equations of motion in the dynamical core conserve $E^{(physics)}$ by only including water vapor in the pressure field and setting the heat capacity for moisture to $c_p^{(d)}$ [Taylor, 2011]. We will make use of this configuration in the analysis presented in this paper.

Under the assumption that pressure remains constant during the computation of the subgrid-scale parameterization tendencies, the total energy budget associated with each parameterization is closed. In other words, the total energy change in the column is exactly balanced by the net sources/sinks given by the fluxes through the column. That said, if parameterizations update specific humidity then the surface pressure changes (e.g., moisture leaving the column). **discuss energy implications** [section 3.1.8 in *Neale et al.*, 2012]

3 Results

‘The discrepancy between the more comprehensive energy formula (3) and the CAM physics formula for total energy is about 0.5 W/m^2 [Taylor, 2011]. By only including dry air and water vapor in ρ and setting $c_p^{(wv)} = c_p^{(d)}$ in the equations of motion, the dynamical core (in the absence of truncation errors) will conserve the energy used in CAM physics.’

```
do nt=1,ntotal

  PARAMETERIZATIONS:

  output 'pBF'
  Energy fixer
  output 'pBP'
  Physics updates the state and state saved for energy fixer
  output 'pAP'
  Dry mass correction
  output 'pAM'

  DYNAMICAL CORE:

  output 'dED'
  do ns=1,nsplit
    output 'dAF'
    Update state with physics tendencies
    output 'dBD'
    do nr=1,rsplit
      Advance the adiabatic frictionless equations of motion
      in floating Lagrangian layer.
      do ns=1,hypervis_subcycle
        output 'dBH'
        Advance hyperviscosity operators.
        output 'dCH'
        Add frictional heating to temperature.
        output 'dAH'
      end do
    end do
    output 'dAD'
    Vertical remapping from floating Lagrangian levels to Eulerian levels
    output 'dAR'
  end do
  output 'dBF'
end do
```

Figure 1. Pseudo-code ...

4 Conclusions

A: ...

Acknowledgments

The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation. We thank NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL) and NCAR's Climate and Global Dynamics division (CGD) for computational resources.

References

- Lauritzen, P. H., R. Nair, A. Herrington, P. Callaghan, S. Goldhaber, J. Dennis, J. T. Bacmeister, B. Eaton, C. Zarzycki, M. A. Taylor, A. Gettelman, R. Neale, B. Dobbins, K. Reed, and T. Dubos (2018), Ncar release of cam-se in cesm2.0: A reformulation of the spectral-element dynamical core in dry-mass vertical coordinates with comprehensive treatment of condensates and energy, *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, revised.
- Neale, R. B., C.-C. Chen, A. Gettelman, P. H. Lauritzen, S. Park, D. L. Williamson, A. J. Conley, R. Garcia, D. Kinnison, J.-F. Lamarque, D. Marsh, M. Mills, A. K. Smith, S. Tilmes, F. Vitt, P. Cameron-Smith, W. D. Collins, M. J. Iacono, R. C. Easter, S. J. Ghan, X. Liu, P. J. Rasch, and M. A. Taylor (2012), Description of the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model (CAM 5.0), *NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-486+STR*, National Center of Atmospheric Research.
- Taylor, M. A. (2011), Conservation of mass and energy for the moist atmospheric primitive equations on unstructured grids, in: P.H. Lauritzen, R.D. Nair, C. Jablonowski, M. Taylor (Eds.), Numerical techniques for global atmospheric models, *Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, Springer, 2010, in press.*, 80, 357–380, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-11640-7_12.
- Williamson, D. L., J. G. Olson, C. Hannay, T. Toniazzo, M. Taylor, and V. Yudin (2015), Energy considerations in the community atmosphere model (cam), *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 7(3), 1178–1188, doi:10.1002/2015MS000448.