

REAL-TIME NEURAL SIGNAL FILTERING VIA HODGKIN-HUXLEY SIMULATION MODELS

Peter Leong

Student# 1010892955

peter.leong@mail.utoronto.ca

Karys Littlejohns

Student# 1010893142

karys.littlejohns@mail.utoronto.ca

Katherine Shepherd

Student# 1010895097

k.shepherd@mail.utoronto.ca

1 INTRODUCTION

Spike detection from noisy extracellular recordings is crucial for advancing brain-computer interfaces and neuroscience research. While fundamentally a signal processing task, neural action potentials are well-modeled by the Hodgkin-Huxley (H-H) equations, which describe the ionic conductance changes underlying these waveforms. Our work adapts H-H generated waveforms to simulate realistic noisy conditions.

Beyond EEG and microelectrode arrays, neural signal processing enables critical applications including epileptic seizure prediction (Addai-Domfe & Daoud, 2024) and adaptive deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease (Aljalal et al., 2022). The emergence of high-density neural probes further motivates efficient filtering solutions for handling large data streams (Ye et al., 2024).

The primary goal of this project is to develop and validate a spike detection algorithm that operates accurately in low signal-to-noise ratio environments by leveraging synthetic data generated from the H-H model.

2 SCOPE & FEASIBILITY

This project applies concepts from ESC103: Engineering Mathematics & Computation and MAT292: Ordinary Differential Equations through four sequential, feasible phases: (1) generating synthetic neural data by solving the Hodgkin-Huxley equations, (2) processing this data with a digital filter, (3) developing a spike detection algorithm, and (4) quantitative comparison using Peak-Signal-to-Noise Ratio and local truncation error analysis. The phased approach and inclusion of buffer time make this scope achievable within the 12-week timeline.

2.1 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Data Generation: Implement numerical solvers for the Hodgkin-Huxley model to generate realistic synthetic action potential data.

Signal Processing: Design and apply a digital band-pass filter to isolate spike waveforms from generated signals with added synthetic noise.

Spike Detection: Develop an algorithm that detects action potentials using an adaptive threshold based on the estimated noise floor.

Validation: Qualitatively and quantitatively assess detection algorithm performance on noisy synthetic data.

2.2 PROJECT MILESTONES & TIMELINE

Key milestones from our detailed Gantt chart (A) ensure steady progress toward our objectives: **Week 4:** Complete Euler's and Improved Euler's method solvers and first noise generation algorithm. **Week 6:** Implement Runge-Kutta method solver(s) and first band-pass filter iteration. **Week 8:** Refine all components: band-pass filter, noise generation, and numerical methods. **Week 10:** Final results evaluation and report completion. **Weeks 11-12:** Buffer time for unexpected delays.

3 TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

Understanding neuronal biology is essential for appreciating the abstractions in the Hodgkin-Huxley equations. This section covers the fundamentals of neural action potentials, the underlying biochemical processes, key equations from the H-H model, and the numerical methods used in our analysis.

3.1 THE BIOLOGICAL BASIS: ION CHANNELS AND CURRENTS

Electrophysiology—the study of electrical properties of cells—provides the basis for cell communication via current signals. This supports not only the neural signalling process, but also fundamental processes like muscle contraction, nutrient transport, and cellular homeostasis. These current signals work tangentially with ion channels—pores discovered by Hodgkin and Huxley that use selective permeability to regulate intramolecular transport across lipid bilayers. Ion channels occur when current flows across a cell membrane. This transport occurs bi-directionally, its flux reversing as the Nernst potential is reached (zero-current state).

Ion channel movement can be measured via the ionic currents that pass through, this measurement is based on Ohm's Law (Rubaiy, 2017).

3.2 THE CELL MEMBRANE AND ELECTRIC POTENTIALS

Action potential is the change in membrane electric potential that creates ion channels Rubaiy (2017). The cell electrical state is measured as V_m , the potential difference between the cytoplasm and external environment Cervera et al. (2016). In their resting state, cell membranes possess a net negative charge (resting potential), preventing ion channel creation. Depolarization occurs when a membrane changes from a net negative charge to a net positive charge, opening an ion channel. Repolarization occurs when the membrane regains its negative charge, closing the ion channel Rubaiy (2017). This electrical bi-stability of depolarization to repolarization is an important characteristic of neural cells Cervera et al. (2016).

3.3 HODGKIN-HUXLEY EQUATIONS

3.3.1 MODEL COMPONENTS AND EQUATIONS

3.3.2 GATING VARIABLES AND ACTIVATION/INACTIVATION

3.4 NUMERICAL METHODS

Many real-world differential equations do not possess elegant algebraic solutions; however, this does not prevent us from understanding their solutions. Numerical methods offer a powerful alternative, enabling us to visualize and approximate solutions with considerable accuracy. Our work focuses on three foundational techniques: Euler's Method, Improved Euler's Method, and the Runge-Kutta Method. We detail Euler's Method in this section, while comprehensive explanations of the others are available in C. All derivations and governing equations are sourced from Brannan & Boyce (2015).

3.4.1 EULER'S METHOD

Intuitively, Euler's Method solves the initial value problem by constructing an approximate solution from connected tangent line segments over discrete time intervals. Given a differential equation with initial condition:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y) \quad \text{with} \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

we begin at the initial point (t_0, y_0) and use the slope given by $f(t_0, y_0)$ to construct the first tangent line:

$$y = y_0 + f(t_0, y_0)(t - t_0)$$

This linear approximation provides our next point (t_1, y_1) by evaluating at $t = t_1$. We then iterate this

process, using each new point (t_n, y_n) to compute the next approximation:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + f(t_n, y_n) \cdot \Delta t$$

where $\Delta t = t_{n+1} - t_n$ is the fixed step size. As the number of steps increases and Δt decreases, this piecewise linear approximation converges toward the true solution curve $\phi(t)$.

REFERENCES

- Gladys Addai-Domfe and Hisham Daoud. Epileptic seizure prediction using spiking neural networks. In *American Epilepsy Society (AES) Annual Meeting*, 12 2024. URL <https://www.aesnet.org>. Submission ID: 1436. Presentation date: December 7, 2024.
- Majid Aljalal, Saeed A. Aldosari, Khalil AlSharabi, Asem M. Abdurraqueeb, and Fahd A. Alturki. Parkinson's disease detection from resting-state EEG signals using common spatial pattern, entropy, and machine learning techniques. *Diagnostics*, 12(5):1033, 2022. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12051033.
- James R. Brannan and William E. Boyce. *Differential Equations: An Introduction to Modern Methods and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 3 edition, 2015. ISBN 978-1-118-53177-8. With contributions from Mark A. McKibben.
- J. Cervera, A. Alcaraz, and S. Mafe. Bioelectrical signals and ion channels in the modeling of multicellular patterns and cancer biophysics. *Scientific Reports*, 6 (20403), 2016. doi: 10.1038/srep20403.
- A. S. Pivovarov, F. Calahorro, and R. J. Walker. Na⁺/K⁺-pump and neurotransmitter membrane receptors. *Invert Neurosci*, 19, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s10158-018-0221-7.
- H. N. Rubaiy. A short guide to electrophysiology and ion channels. *J Pharm Pharm Sci*, 20, 2017. doi: 10.18433/J32P6R.
- Z. Ye, A. M. Shelton, J. R. Shaker, J. Boussard, J. Colonell, D. Birman, S. Manavi, S. Chen, C. Windolf, C. Hurwitz, T. Namima, F. Pedraja, S. Weiss, B. Raducanu, T. V. Ness, X. Jia, G. Mastroberardino, L. F. Rossi, M. Carandini, M. Häusser, G. T. Einevoll, G. Laurent, N. B. Sawtell, W. Bair, A. Pasupathy, C. M. Lopez, B. Dutta, L. Paninski, J. H. Siegle, C. Koch, S. R. Olsen, T. D. Harris, and N. A. Steinmetz. Ultra-high density electrodes improve detection, yield, and cell type identification in neuronal recordings. *bioRxiv*, 2024. doi: 10.1101/2023.08.23.554527. Preprint.

A GANTT CHART




Figure 1: Our project plan is organized into three distinct phases with integrated buffers between them. Direct access to the chart can be found [here](#)

B ELECTROCHEMICAL BIOLOGY OF NEUROLOGICAL SIGNALS

The generation and propagation of neural signals relies on sophisticated electrochemical mechanisms that regulate ion flow across cell membranes. This section examines two fundamental components: voltage-gated ion channels that enable rapid electrical signaling, and the sodium-potassium pump that maintains the resting potential essential for neuronal excitability.

B.1 VOLTAGE-GATED ION CHANNELS

Ion channels use several mechanisms to facilitate movement, for example, active transport against a concentration gradient, or passive transport with the concentration gradient. Passive systems employ gating, ion conductance, and selectivity to regulate movement. Gated channels leverage protein C- and N-termini to open and close a pore across transmembrane domains, increasing control of ion flow. A common gating mechanism are voltage-gated ion channels (VGICs), which regulate transport via transmembrane voltage changes. VGICs are critical to neurons and play key roles in repolarization, signal regulation, and propagation of electrical impulses Rubaiy (2017).

B.2 SODIUM-POTASSIUM PUMP

A concentration imbalance exists between the internal and external environments of a cell, with potassium (K^+) being high within the cell and low outside, and sodium (Na^+) being low within the cell and high outside. In the resting state, the membrane is more permeable to K^+ , meaning an entity must maintain this electric gradient and reduce K loss. This entity is the sodium-potassium pump, which uses an energy source called ATP (adenosine triphosphate) to remove three Na^+ for every two K^+ that enter. This creates a more negative environment, regulating the cell membrane resting potential. The Na^+/K^+ pump also plays a role in the modulation of neurotransmitter receptors in neurons Pivovarov et al. (2018).

C IMPROVED EULER'S METHOD & RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD

Numerical methods for solving differential equations largely constitute a family of techniques that refine and extend the fundamental principles of Euler's Method. This section details two such advanced methods we will employ: the Improved Euler's Method and the classical Runge-Kutta Method, both of which offer significant gains in accuracy and efficiency.

C.1 IMPROVED EULER'S METHOD

While Euler's Method provides insight into solution behavior, it requires very small time steps to achieve reasonable accuracy due to error accumulation. Improved Euler's Method addresses this limitation by incorporating a more sophisticated slope estimation.

The method builds intuitively upon Euler's foundation: rather than relying solely on the derivative at the beginning of each interval, it computes the derivative at both the starting point and an Euler-predicted endpoint, then uses their average for the final step. This predictor-corrector approach yields significantly better accuracy with fewer computations.

The general formulation is given by:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{f_n + f(t_n + h, y_n + hf_n)}{2} \cdot h$$

where

$$h = t_{n+1} - t_n \quad \text{and} \quad f_n = f(t_n, y_n)$$

C.2 RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS

While both Euler's Method and Improved Euler's Method technically fall within the Runge-Kutta family, this section focuses on the classical fourth-order method developed by Runge and Kutta. Often referred to simply as "the" Runge-Kutta Method, this approach is more precisely classified as a fourth-order, four-stage method.

The key innovation lies in computing a weighted average of slopes at strategically chosen points within each time step Δt , building upon the foundational ideas of the simpler methods. This sophisticated sampling provides greater accuracy than either Euler or Improved Euler methods alone.

The general form for advancing the solution is:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \cdot \frac{k_{n1} + 2k_{n2} + 2k_{n3} + k_{n4}}{6}$$

where $h = \Delta t$ and the intermediate slopes are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{n1} &= f(t_n, y_n) \\ k_{n2} &= f\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y_n + \frac{1}{2}hk_{n1}\right) \\ k_{n3} &= f\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y_n + \frac{1}{2}hk_{n2}\right) \\ k_{n4} &= f(t_n + h, y_n + hk_{n3}) \end{aligned}$$