



LUND
UNIVERSITY

ETSN15,
REQUIREMENTS ENGINEERING

Vultus - Anomaly Detection Software Requirement Specification

Version 2.0

*Edvin Havic, Martin Johansson, Niklas Bruce,
Oscar Rydh, Peter Skopal*

supervised by
Daniel HELGESSON @ LTH

December 4, 2017

Contents

1	Change Log	2
2	Introduction	2
3	About the Document	2
3.1	Purpose of Requirements Specification	2
3.2	Scope of Requirements Specification	2
4	Definitions and Terms	3
5	The System in Context	3
5.1	Stakeholders	4
5.1.1	Customer	4
5.1.2	FMS	4
5.1.3	Copernicus	5
5.1.4	Investors and Product Owner(Vultus)	5
5.1.5	Other stakeholders	5
5.2	Business Goals	5
6	System Requirements	5
6.1	Data Requirements	5
6.1.1	Farm Data	6
6.1.2	Analyzed Data	6
6.1.3	Data Dictionary	6
6.2	Functional Requirements	7
6.2.1	Work Area: 1. Satellite - Vultus Engine	7
6.2.2	Work Area: 2. Vultus Engine - Anomaly Detection	7
6.2.3	Work Area: 3. Anomaly detection - User	8
6.2.4	Work Area: 4. Vultus Engine - FMS	9
6.3	Use Cases	9
6.3.1	Farmer Checks Anomaly History	10
6.3.2	Notifying the farmer of an anomaly	10
6.4	Sequence Diagrams	10
6.4.1	New satellite image	10
6.4.2	Farmer checks anomaly history	11
6.5	Quality Requirements	12
6.5.1	Integrity/Security	12
6.5.2	Correctness	13
6.5.3	Reliability/Availability	13
6.5.4	Usability	14
6.5.5	Efficiency	14
6.5.6	Testability	14
6.5.7	Flexibility	14
6.5.8	Interoperability	14
6.5.9	Reusability	14
6.5.10	Installability	15
6.6	Design Requirements	15

1 Change Log

Table 1: Change Log

Date	Version	Changes
2017-11-20	R1	Baseline
2017-11-21	R1.1	Added Use Cases
		Added Tasks
		Added Functional Requirements for each Task
		Added Quality Requirements
2017-11-28	R1.2	Added Sequence Diagram
		Added example solutions to tasks as the task-support model
		Rewrote functional requirements introduction to support the latest changes
		Added a stakeholder analysis for FMS
		Added History Dates to data dictionary and updated relevant parts
		Changed gathered all business related goals under Business Goals
		Added an introduction to System Requirements
		Added Sequence Diagrams for two cases
		Added all quality requirements
		Updated Quality Grid.
		Added mockup design for the anomaly detector
		Added design requirements

2 Introduction

The goal of Vultus is to provide the farmers with tools to help increase their efficiency and increase yield. This is done by analyzing satellite data of their farmlands, detecting possible problems and notifying the user. This means that the farmer can take preventive action and thereby increase their profit. An example of farming problems is that 60% of all nitrogen used in farming is wasted [4]. Eliminating this will not only give greater profits for farmers but also give environmental benefits, as over-fertilization is one of the greatest environmental problems today[4].

Vultus has previous experience in plant life analysis. Their existing systems provides the farmers with information and instructions to maximize the yield of their fields. Now it is time to extend this system. The goal with this requirement document is to specify a tool for anomaly detection in the users farmlands. The idea is that instead of using pesticides evenly across large fields, give the user the ability to use them in areas where the system has detected an anomaly.

3 About the Document

3.1 Purpose of Requirements Specification

This Software Requirement Specification(SRS) was created to set requirements on the anomaly detector developed by Vultus. The goal of the document is not to provide specifications about the implementation of the anomaly detection, but to specify the connection between different parts in the system that makes the anomaly detection function correctly. The requirement will span all levels of the system, and a software developer should be able to take this document and produce a working system from it.

3.2 Scope of Requirements Specification

The scope as decided upon with Vultus is to create the specifications for links between the anomaly detection and the rest of the system. The rest of the system consists of the existing Vulture Engine,

Satellite data from the Copernicus project, the Farm Management System(FMS) and the farmer. We will go into more detail these parts later. The scope is created this way to encompass all the data we need and produce in the anomaly detection, from the stage where the farmer first puts information into the system to the point that the system puts out an alert to the farmer.

This project has multiple goals, definitions and scope depending on the stakeholder. The following sections will describe it more in detail. The main goal of the anomaly detection is to provide the customers of Vultus with even more value in form of an early detection system for pests.

4 Definitions and Terms

The following definitions will be used throughout the document.

Farmer Management System (FMS) is an management system used by farmers, keeping track of many different aspects on a farm. Examples are keeping track of crops, seeds, revenue, growth etc.

Copernicus is an EU project aiming at retrieving and developing an information service based on satellite data.

NASA is a well known space organisation in the US which, as well as Copernicus, offers satellite data.

User is referred to any person using or being affected by the FMS, e.g. when a farmer delegates a task from the FMS to a worker on a farm.

Vultus Engine is an already existing system within Vultus. It processes satellite images making decisions on crop health. It will act as an part communication with the system specified in this SRS.

Yield is the output of a field and how much product this delivers over one growing cycle.

5 The System in Context

Weeds, insects, fungus, drought and deficiencies are among the problems plaguing farmers worldwide. They cause tremendous damage to crops, and are often combated and controlled. The standard method to monitor these damages is by walking around the field. Walking limits the frequency that the field can be checked, and therefore issues go undetected for longer periods of time and cause tremendous damage.

The proposed product idea is to apply machine learning to remotely sensed satellite data to detect and predict crop damage. This early detection system would at the earliest possible time detect problems in the field, and possibly even predict upcoming damage. This would warn the farmer and allow on the ground inspection and appropriate action to be taken. The goal is not to diagnose the problem, as this is almost impossible to do from remotely sensed data, however, using machine learning, it should be possible to notice indicators of upcoming crop damage to predict when areas will be damaged.

The satellite data would be multi-spectral, and a direct indication of vegetation status. Common such indices are the Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), that compares red and near infrared light to accurately depict crop status. When specific areas of a field have a decrease of those index values, it is likely due to crop damage. The proposed system would look at a time series of satellite data, taken every 5 days, of specific fields. This time series exists from previous




Figure 1: Context diagram of the entire domain, our system is the anomaly detection

years, dating back to the 80s. The system should be able to detect when certain areas of fields grow worse, automatically. However, parts of the field that are underperforming each year should not be detected as anomalies. These anomalies would be sent to the farmer to warn about current damages. The system will communicate with already existing modules, both with existing backend, but also with an interface as a separate module in a farmer management system [3].

The satellite data used in the product is generated by a different organizations, in the case of data in regard to Europe the data comes from the Copernicus project. Which is a project financed by the European Commission and the observation infrastructure is provided by ESA(European Space Agency). Similar data can be retrieved by similar organizations like NASA. this information would then provide Vultus with the information needed to predict anomalies and calculate crop health. The system referred to in this SRS is depicted as a context diagram in figure 1.

5.1 Stakeholders

We have identified multiple stakeholders affected by the project. Here we will describe each stakeholder and their relation to the system.

5.1.1 Customer

Given that the analysis can be generalized across crops and climate zones, every farmer could be a potential customer. Even smallholder farmers without internet can get SMS notifications. We believe this kind of analysis could drastically reduce crop loss. Pests alone reduce global yields by over 30%. Most likely the initial customers would be industrialized farms with larger areas due to increased purchasing power, decreased ability to scout by foot and technological maturity.

5.1.2 FMS

Farm Management Systems (FMS) make the lives of farmers easier, and providing a wider set of services for the customer is beneficial for the FMS since this benefits the end user, i.e. the farmers. In this case Vultus will use their product as an integration module for their existing system. The FMS have interest in the system to make their system more desirable and profitable.

As Vultus is using the FMS data to provide their functionality it is interesting to see how the access to this data is handled. The main problem with researching different FMS providers is that most of them have quite sparse information regarding their data. One of the more useful informations we've come across was something called the *adapt framework*[7]. This framework tries to create a

common library for interactions with FMS services for people to use. As Vultus is quite dependent on the data from Farm Management Systems frameworks like these needs to be respected.

5.1.3 Copernicus

While Copernicus is not directly involved in the project, it's in their best interests that their product is used. Vultus uses Copernicus to provide satellite images over the farms that they can analyze to provide their service.

5.1.4 Investors and Product Owner(Vultus)

While development cost is an initial risk for both investors and Vultus, extending the functionality of the systems offered by Vultus makes them more competitive on the market by having a wider range of products.

5.1.5 Other stakeholders

Crop insurers have a huge interest in reducing crop loss due to expensive payouts (often hundreds of thousands of kr). Food security is a large issue for many developing countries. Early warnings can provide the chance to import food when food production is hurt, as well as decrease the loss from pests. Furthermore, all governments are concerned with guaranteeing domestic food security, hence subsidies on food production. The product would further their work in guaranteeing food security.

5.2 Business Goals

Vultus has multiple goals for their anomaly detector. Not only should it be able to give the user a status of their fields. It should also be able to be integrated with already existing systems and their functionality. More precisely, the mayor goals of the product is:

- to develop a system being able to detect crop anomalies for a farmer and determine its' severity.
- to integrate the system into already existing parts of the organisation
- to increase overall crop yield for a farmer

6 System Requirements

During these next parts we will state the formal system requirements. These are divided into different parts for readability, but should not be seen as separate features. The goal is to gather the full context of the system and make the development process as smooth and unambiguous as possible.

The first part contains the data requirements, which describe what data that flows in the system. This is followed by functional requirements. These are described in multiple ways, mainly as tasks which the product needs to complete to be able to achieve its goal. Lastly, the quality and design requirements are stated.

6.1 Data Requirements

The system uses different types of data to analyze and detect anomalies in the fields. For the system to work correctly the data must both be of sufficient quality and quantity. The system uses machine learning to detect anomalies and for this detection to work we need sufficient, specific and quality data.

6.1.1 Farm Data

The system must have data about the farm it is to analyze.

- An id keeping track of the current farmer
- Field data
 - Field coordinates indicating where on the globe the crop field is located.
 - * GeoCoordinates following rfc standard [5]
 - Crop data
 - * Crop type
 - * Planting dates
 - * Fertilizer type
 - * Fertilizing dates
 - * Special events during crop growth

6.1.2 Analyzed Data

This data is crucial for the system actually helping in the decision making on anomaly detection.

1. A crop health model containing differences based on a combination of the satellite imagery and field data.
2. A severity classification based on the level of anomaly found in a field area
3. The area owned by the user to be used as a basis for the analysis.

6.1.3 Data Dictionary

- **GeoCoordinates** is a RFC standard defining how a geographic coordinate is described using the format of a JSON.

Example

```
{
  "type": "Feature",
  "geometry": {
    "type": "Point",
    "coordinates": [55.712449, 13.214965]
  },
  "properties": {
    "name": "Vultus AB"
  }
}
```

- **Crop type** is an identifier indicating what crop are growing on a certain field.

Example

1. corn
2. potatoes
3. tomatoes
4. etc.

- **Planting dates** are identifiers indicating when a certain crop in a field has been planted

Example

2017-04-14T12:00:00+00:00

- **Fertilizing dates** are identifiers indicating when a certain crop in a field has been fertilized.

Example

2017-04-16T14:00:00+00:00

- **History dates** are identifiers indicating points in time where anomaly data exists and can be visualized.

Example

2017-04-18T14:00:00+00:00

6.2 Functional Requirements

In this section we will define the functional requirements of the system. The system consist of many different parts that communicates with each other. This part will define the connection between two entities in our context diagram as a separate work area.

The functional requirements will use a combination of Lausens task-support model and traditional functional requirements [6]. The main goal of this is to both describe the context and the reason for the specified requirement, as well as give the developer some more details when working on the task. This means that each work area will have a set of tasks. Each task has a purpose in regards to the product, a trigger or precondition for when the task is to be done, a frequency on how often the task will be triggered and an example on how the task could be implemented. It will also contain a description of the task in its context. Finally, the feature requirements will give the developer some more details on the more crucial parts to fulfill the tasks.

6.2.1 Work Area: 1. Satellite - Vultus Engine

Task 1.1 *Receive satellite data*

Purpose:	Collect data for processing
Trigger/Precondition:	Data is needed. A notification is broadcast by the satellite image holder
Frequency:	One every broadcast
Example Solution:	A modification of the current preprocessing unit in the Vultus Engine for the satellite images

Satellite data in the form of images is needed for analysing and building a model over the farm area. The images is used for finding anomalies in the farmland and this helps detecting plagues, pests etc.

Requirements

- 1.1.1 Data space needs to be available in order to download images and process them.

6.2.2 Work Area: 2. Vultus Engine - Anomaly Detection

Task 2.1 *Analyse data and train AI*

Purpose:	Find anomalies
Trigger/Precondition:	Task: 1.1, 4.1
Frequency:	Approx. every 5 days
Example Solution:	Use modern AI concepts and classifiers based on satellite and FMS data.

Copernicus provides fresh satellite data every 5 days, which means new data for the Anomaly Detection System to train on. This training is to be done in conjunction with farmer data from the FMS. This means that each user will have its' own model.

Requirements

- 2.1.1: The anomaly detection should start to create a model for a farmer from the moment that the farmer enters his farmland coordinates into the FMS.
- 2.1.2: When training the model for the anomaly detector it should be trained from the earliest possible point where all needed data is available until the current date.

Task 2.2 *Anomaly Classification*

- Purpose: Classify anomaly severity
Trigger/Precondition: A new satellite image is available. Task 2.1
Frequency: Varying, dependent on the image update frequency
Example Solution: Use the pretrained model from Task 2.1

An anomaly should be able to be classified in 2 ways. Firstly, it should be able to tell if a part of the farmland has an anomaly. Secondly, it should also be able to determine the severity of the anomaly.

Requirements

- 2.2.1: The anomaly detector should be able to find and classify anomalies every time a new satellite image is available.
- 2.2.2: The anomaly detector should be able to grade each dataset on different severity levels based on the anomaly.
- 2.2.3: The anomaly detector should be able to classify an anomaly of a given farmland area.

6.2.3 Work Area: 3. Anomaly detection - User

Task 3.1 *Alert the farmer of anomaly*

- Purpose: Alert the farmer of a potential problem
Trigger/Precondition: Task 2.2
Frequency: On anomaly detected
Example Solution: Push notifications, emails, text messages.

Each time an anomaly is detected, this anomaly should be classified by the anomaly detection and alert the farmer to the problem and the severity of the problem detected. This so that the farmer can react quickly to the problem and prioritize if there is more than one anomaly

Requirements

- 3.1.1 Anomaly is notified to the FMS with the data structure described in 6.1.2.2.

Task 3.2 *Data visualization to the user*

- Purpose: Show the user the properties and location of the anomaly
Trigger/Precondition: Anomaly classified and user login. Task 2.2
Frequency: Varying, triggered by user using the anomaly detector in FMS
Example Solutions: An satellite image over the farmland with the anomalies marked using an overlay heatmap. For example see figure 2

When an anomaly is detected the problem should be presented to the user with sufficient and easy visualization. This so that the user can understand where the problem is and why the system have detected an anomaly. The user can also visualize the anomaly history for his farmlands.



Figure 2: An mockup of the potential user experience

Requirements

- 3.2.1: An detected anomaly of a users farmland should be presented in the form of a heat map overlayed on top of graphical interface of the farmland.
- 3.2.2: The user should be able to view the history of anomaly of his farmland.
- 3.2.3: The history of the anomaly should be presented as an heat map for the user for an existing history date.
- 3.2.4: The history of the anomalies should be able to be shown as an animation between 2 history dates.

6.2.4 Work Area: 4. Vultus Engine - FMS

Task 4.1 *Deliver Farmer Data to Vultus Engine*

Purpose: Deliver Farmer Related Data
 Trigger/Precondition: New data is available
 Frequency: Approx. every 5 days
 Example Solution: Using any available open API from the FMS.

The FMS has information about each specific farmer. This can be used to increase the AI's accuracy.

Requirements

- 4.1.1: The Anomaly Detection should be able to use data from FMS to increase its test accuracy.

6.3 Use Cases

We will now state some of the most common use cases. These are to put some of the tasks in context.

6.3.1 Farmer Checks Anomaly History

Primary Actors:

- Farmer
- FMS
- Vultus Engine

Preconditions:

- Task 1.1
- Task 2.2
- Task 3.2

Event Flow:

1. The farmer navigates to the Anomaly History section of the FMS
2. The farmer requests anomaly history for a specific time frame
 - (a) Vultus Engine sends the data for the given time frame
 - (b) No data for the given time frame is available
3. The farmer receives an visualization of his farmland's state.

6.3.2 Notifying the farmer of an anomaly

Primary Actors:

- Farmer
- FMS
- Vultus Engine

Preconditions:

- Task 1.1
- Task 2.2
- Task 4.1

Event Flow:

1. The FMS receives an anomaly alert from Vultus Engine
2. The farmer receives an anomaly notification
 - (a) The severity of the anomaly is low, in which case the notification will only be available when logging into the FMS
 - (b) The severity of the anomaly is moderate, in which case the farmer will be notified by email and in the FMS
 - (c) The severity of the anomaly is high, in which case the farmer will be notified by SMS, email and in the FMS

6.4 Sequence Diagrams

6.4.1 New satellite image

Figure 3 shows the data flow when a new satellite image is available, and how the data relates to each domain. The sequence diagram relates to the following tasks and use cases:

1. Tasks

See figure 3 to see which tasks relate to each step in the sequence diagram.

- **Task 1.1**
This task relates to *Broadcast Notification*, *GET Image*, and *Image*.
- **Task 2.1**
This task relates to *Process image according to filters*, and *Processed image*
- **Task 2.2**
This task relates to *Possible case: Detects anomaly*
- **Task 3.1**
This task relates to *Notification (Anomaly Detector to Vultus Engine)* and *Notification (Vultus Engine to Farmer)*

2. Use cases

- Use case 6.3.2




Figure 3: Sequence diagram illustrating the data flow when a new satellite image is available.

6.4.2 Farmer checks anomaly history

Figure 4 shows the data flow of a farmer requesting their anomaly history. The sequence diagram relates to the following tasks and use cases:

1. Tasks

- **None**
The sequence diagram only depends on there being a history of anomalies.

2. Use cases

- Use case 6.3.1




Figure 4: Sequence diagram illustrating the data flow when a new satellite image is available.

6.5 Quality Requirements

The following section will define quality requirements for the system. Quality Requirements are requirements that specify how well the system must perform its functions. Quality requirements can be divided into different types of requirements, these types are: Capacity, accuracy, Performance, Usability, Security and maintainability. Quality requirements are made out from a couple of factors where different projects value the factors differently. The different factors are specified in a Quality Grid depicted in table 2. The quality grid is a table where you can see the relationship between the values of different factors[6].

The following terms will be used throughout the quality requirements section to further increase the understanding of the requirements.

Capacity Requirements (CR)

Quality requirements related to the volume of computer resources used by the product. For example memory space and computing power.

Accuracy Requirements (AR)

Quality requirements related to the accuracy of the data the product uses. Accuracy refers to the range and the precision of the data.

Performance Requirements (PR)

Quality requirements that specify how fast the product shall be. This can for instance be the response time for the functions of the product.

Usability Requirements (UR)

Quality requirements related to the usage of the program. For example how easy it is to use.

Security Requirements (SR)

Quality requirements related to the security of the system. For example, many software products should often have high security since they might contain sensitive information about users.

Maintainability Requirements (MR)

Quality requirements related to the maintenance of the product. For example repair and extending the product.

6.5.1 Integrity/Security

Requirements

Quality factors Vultus	Critical	Important	As Usual	Unimportant	Ignore
Integrity/Security		X			
Correctness		1			
Reliability/Availability				X	
Usability	2				
Efficiency		X			
Maintainability			X		
Testability		3			
Flexibility				4	
Portability					X
Interoperability		5		6	
Reusability				7	
Installability				7	

Table 2: Quality Grid.

1. False positives are okay, and false negatives are also okay, but the frequency of them are to be considered.
2. Our users are not the most technical people.
3. Testability are important to be able to support good correctness.
4. Vultus engine already supports flexible modules.
5. Communication with Vultus Engine is important.
6. External communication is unimportant.
7. Nice to have.

- SR 6.5.1.1:** The information gathered from the FMS will need to be protected during transmission to and from the system.
- SR 6.5.1.2:** The communication to and from the system should be integrity protected.
- SR 6.5.1.3:** The communication to and from the system should have perfect forward secrecy.
- SR 6.5.1.4:** The information stored in the system needs to be protected to malicious API users.

6.5.2 Correctness

Requirements

- AR 6.5.2.1:** 50% of the information delivered to the customer should be correct, as in not giving false positives or false negatives in anomaly detection. This is depicted in figure 5 as the differentiation level.
- AR 6.5.2.2:** The data delivered should only contain analytics for one specific area.




Figure 5: Quper model illustration of barriers and goals in information deliverance quality with the scale representing percentage of information.

6.5.3 Reliability/Availability

Requirements

- PR 6.5.3.1:** The system should be up and running after maximum 10 seconds after invocation.
- PR 6.5.3.2:** When running, the uptime should be 99%.

6.5.4 Usability

Requirements

- UR 6.5.4.1:** The functionality to the customer should be easy to understand.
- UR 6.5.4.2:** The functionality to the customer should be easy to learn.
- UR 6.5.4.3:** The notifications to customers should be at maximum 10 words long to keep interest and importance.

6.5.5 Efficiency

Requirements

- PR 6.5.5.1:** The efficiency of the system should be enough to calculate all models within an hour after retrieval image.
- PR 6.5.5.2:** The efficiency of the system should be enough to create a model within an hour, based on all data since a certain year, after a customer registers as a user.
- PR 6.5.5.3** The notification mentioned in figure 3 sent from the system should be sent within 10 seconds after anomaly was found.

6.5.6 Testability

Requirements

- PR 6.5.6.1:** The tests should have 80% code coverage.
- PR 6.5.7.2** The product should be tested once a day to make sure everything works.
- CR 6.5.7.3** To make sure the detector is able to find anomalies it should before pre release be tested on an area where there exist a known anomaly.

6.5.7 Flexibility

Requirements

- PR 6.5.7.1:** The system should be able to handle all the different types of inputs it can receive.

6.5.8 Interoperability

Requirements

- MR 6.5.8.1:** The product need to be able to communicate with the Vultus Engine.

6.5.9 Reusability

Requirements

MR 6.5.9.1: The parts of the system that is not specific to anomaly detection should be easy to reuse in other systems.

6.5.10 Installability

Requirements

UR 6.5.10.1: The product should be easy to install.

6.6 Design Requirements

The goal of these requirements are to specify certain parts of the system where details on the design is important. This is to give the developer a precise view of certain parts of systems. The design requirements are quite few, as they might restrict the software architects in designing the system, which is not the point. Instead they revolve around the aesthetics and user experience.

Requirements

- 6.6.1: Each severity level of an anomaly should be represented as a different color on the visualization.
 - 6.6.1.a: The color green should be used to show no anomalies
 - 6.6.1.b: Any anomaly found should use a scale from yellow: **Low severity** to red: **High severity**
- 6.6.2: The transformation between different severity levels on the visualization should be linear and **not** distinct.
- 6.6.3: The animation of the visualization between different history dates should be smooth and **not** distinct.

References

- [1] Vultus <http://www.vultus.se> Fetched: 2017-11-07.
- [2] Copernicus, Europe's eyes on Earth. <http://www.copernicus.eu> Fetched: 2017-11-07.
- [3] Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. *Farm management systems and the Future Internet era* 2012 Elsevier B.V.
- [4] European Commission. *IN-DEPTH REPORT Nitrogen Pollution and the European Environment Implications for Air Quality Policy* http://ec.europa.eu/environment/integration/research/newsalert/pdf/IR6_en.pdf Fetched: 2017-11-18
- [5] Internet Engineering Task Force. *The GeoJSON Format* <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7946> Fetched: 2017-11-18
- [6] Lausen, Soren. *Software requirements – Styles and Techniques*. 2012. Pearson Education Limited, Great Britain
- [7] AgGateway. **AgGateway**. <https://adaptframework.org/>. Fetched: 2017-11-28