ELEC5650 Homework1

CHENG, Wei 20292233

March 2019

Problem 1

(a) For $X \ge Y > 0$, we have for any non-zero vector z, $z^T Y z > 0$ and $z^T (X - Y) z \ge 0$ holds. Then $z^T Y z + z^T (X - Y) z = z^T X z > 0$, which means X is PD. If we set $\{z_k = 0 | 1 \le k < i, j < k \le n\}$, then we have

$$z^{T}Xz = \sum_{u=0}^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n} z_{u}z_{v}x_{uv} = \sum_{u=i}^{j} \sum_{v=i}^{j} z_{u}z_{v}x_{uv} = z[i:j]^{T}X[i:j,i:j]z[i:j] > 0$$
 (1)

for any non zero subvector z[i:j], so X[i:j,i:j] is PD, X[i:j,i:j] > 0.

- (b) We have PD matrix X and PSD matrix X Y, denote $y = C^T z$ which could be any vector including zero vector, $z^T C X C^T z = y^T X y \ge 0$, so $C X C^T$ is PSD. The same came be proven, $C(X Y)C^T$ is PSD, so $C X C^T \ge C Y C^T$.
- (c) Sufficiency: C has full row rank, then $y = C^T z$ is nonzero for any nonzero z, so that CXC^T is PD.

Necessity: CXC^T is PD means for any nonzero z, $z^TCXC^Tz > 0$. Because X is PD, $y = C^Tz$ should also be nonzero, then C is full row rank.

- (d) In (a) we proved that X is PD, then it is equivalent to Det(X) > 0 and Tr(X) > 0. From (a) we know X[i:j,i:j] is PD, when i=j holds, which means all diagonal elements are positive, so the trace is positive. Since diagonal elements are positive, and all the principle minors are PD, the determinate is positive.
- (e) We know $X Y \ge 0$, and X, Y are invertible, if we multiply X^{-1} and Y^{-1} on both side we have $Y^{-1} X^{-1} \ge 0$, then $Y^{-1} \ge X^{-1}$.

For any nonzero, y = Xz,

$$y^{T}X^{-1}y = z^{T}XX^{-1}Xz = z^{T}Xz > 0 (2)$$

so X^{-1} is also PD, $Y^{-1} \ge X^{-1} > 0$ proven.

Problem 2

(a) If A has no multiplicity eigenvalue, then z_i can span the whole space, so that any nonzero vector can be a linear combination of z_i , $z = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z_i$,

$$z^{T} A^{T} B A z - z^{T} B z = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}^{2} (\lambda_{i}^{2} - 1) z_{i}^{T} B z_{i} \ge 0$$
(3)

which is true.

To give a toy example, we set

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, X = I, Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow AXA^{T} + Q - X = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (4)

which has eigenvalues -0.4142 and 2.4142, so $AXA^T + Q - X \ge 0$ is invalid.

(b) We have both $(AA^T - I)$ and X are PSD, then

$$Tr(h(X)) - Tr(X) = Tr(AXA^{T} + Q - X) = Tr(A^{T}AX - X) + Tr(Q)$$

$$\geq Tr((A^{T}A - I)X) \geq 0$$
(5)

Problem 3

Necessity: If $\rho(A) < 1$, there exist a B > 0, such that $B - A^T B A > 0$.

 $\rho(A) < 1$ means there exist a nonsingular matrix P to perform similarity transformation or model decomposition, such that $||P^{-1}AP||_2 < 1$, which is equivalent to $(P^{-1}AP)^T(P^{-1}AP) < 1$, if we set $B = (PP^T)^{-1}$ which is PD, then we have

$$(P^{-1}AP)^{T}(P^{-1}AP) < 1 \Longrightarrow A^{T}(PP^{T})^{-1}A - (PP^{T})^{-1} < 0 \Longrightarrow B - A^{T}BA > 0$$
 (6)

Sufficiency: If a B > 0, has property $B - A^T B A > 0$, then $\rho(A) < 1$.

If A has a eigenvalue $|\lambda_i| \geq 1$ with its corresponding eigenvector z_i ,

$$z_i^T (B - A^T B A) z_i = z_i^T B z_i - z_i^T A^T B A z_i = (1 - \lambda_i^2) z_i B z_i \le 0$$
(7)

which contradicts with our assumption, so $\rho(A) < 1$ is proven.