# **Uninitialized Memory Use in kcm**

Gabe Kirkpatrick - g@be-k.biz

## **Summary**

An issue exists in kcm due to insufficient validation of return values, that results in uninitialized stack memory being used. This can result in free being called on an invalid address, as well as potentially causing an integer overflow, leading to be buffer overflow.

Tested on the following software version:

ProductName: macOS
ProductVersion: 11.1
BuildVersion: 20C69

### **PoC Details**

This PoC works by sending a malformed message to kcm. This malformed message will result in uninitialized memory being used as a pointer, and passed to free.

#### Repro Steps:

Build and run the PoC by running the following from the kcm\_poc folder: make

./kcm poc

This should cause the distnoted process to repeatedly crash with the following stack:

```
Thread 2 Crashed:: Dispatch queue: com.apple.root.default-qos
0 libsystem_kernel.dylib
                                      0x00007fff2033e462 __pthread_kill + 10
1 libsystem_pthread.dylib
                                    0x00007fff2036c610 pthread_kill + 263
2 libsystem_c.dylib
                                     0x00007fff202bf720 abort + 120
   libsystem_malloc.dylib
                                      0x00007fff201a0430 malloc vreport + 548
   libsystem malloc.dylib
                                      0x00007fff201a34c8 malloc report + 151
   com.apple.Heimdal
                                      0x00007fff2b6b0768 krb5_data_free + 40
   kcm
                                      0x0000001070c4bca kcm_op_cred_label_set + 506
                                      0x0000001070bfabe kcm_dispatch + 430
   kcm
  kcm
                                      0x00000001070bdf59 kcm service + 553
                                      {\tt 0x0000001070c6519} \ \underline{\quad} {\tt mheim\_do\_call\_block\_invoke} \ + \ 89
                                      0x00007fff201c05dd _dispatch_call_block_and_release + 12
10 libdispatch.dylib
11 libdispatch.dylib
                                      0x00007fff201c17c7 _dispatch_client_callout + 8
12 libdispatch.dylib
                                      {\tt 0x00007fff201d09b5 \_ dispatch\_root\_queue\_drain + 676}
13 libdispatch.dylib
                                      \tt 0x00007fff201d0fb8 \_dispatch\_worker\_thread2 + 92
14 libsystem pthread.dylib
                                      0x00007fff20369453 _pthread_wqthread + 244
15 libsystem_pthread.dylib
                                      0x00007fff20368467 start wgthread + 15
```

## **Bug Details**

kcm accepts messages on a mach port "org.h51.kcm". When parsing these messages, the return values of the functions which read from the messages must be validated. An example of this being done properly can be seen below:

```
static krb5 error code
kcm op add ntlm cred(krb5 context context,
             kcm client *client,
             kcm operation opcode,
             krb5 storage *request.
             krb5 storage *response)
{
    struct kcm ntlm cred *cred, *c;
    krb5_error_code ret;
    cred = create cred(KCM NTLM CRED);
    if (cred == NULL)
    return ENOMEM;
    ret = krb5_ret_stringz(request, &cred->user);
    if (ret) <-- Return value checked properly</pre>
    goto error;
    ret = krb5 ret stringz(request, &cred->domain);
    if (ret) <-- Return value checked properly</pre>
    goto error;
    ret = krb5 ret data(request, &cred->nthash);
    if (ret) <-- Return value checked properly</pre>
    goto error;
```

In the above code, all calls beginning in krb5\_ret read data from the client provided message, and return an error code indicating the success of the call. If there is an error after attempting to read one of these values, the function immediately exits by going to the error label.

An issue exists in the kcm\_op\_cred\_label\_set function due to failing to check to return values when calling krb5\_ret\_stringz and krb5\_ret\_data. If processing a message that does not contain a string or data block when these functions are called, the destination parameter passed to them will remain uninitialized. The code can be seen below, with the missing checks and use of uninitialized memory annotated:

```
ssize_t sret;
KCM_LOG_REQUEST(context, client, opcode);
sret = krb5_storage_read(request, &uuid, sizeof(uuid));
if (sret != sizeof(uuid)) {
krb5_clear_error_message(context);
return KRB5_CC_IO;
krb5_ret_stringz(request, &label); <-- Return value not checked</pre>
krb5 ret data(request, &data); <-- Return value not checked</pre>
HEIMDAL_MUTEX_lock(&cred_mutex);
for (c = ntlm_head; c != NULL; c = c->next) {
if (!kcm is same session(client, c->uid, c->session))
    continue;
if (memcmp(uuid, c->uuid, sizeof(uuid)) == 0) {
    heim_string_t s;
    // Uses of potentially uninitialized data
    s = heim_string_create(label);
    if (data.length) {
    heim_data_t d;
    d = heim_data_create(data.data, data.length);
    heim_dict_set_value(c->labels, s, d);
    heim_release(d);
    } else {
    heim_dict_delete_key(c->labels, s);
    kcm data changed = 1;
    heim_release(s);
    break;
}
}
HEIMDAL_MUTEX_unlock(&cred_mutex);
krb5 data free(&data);<--Free of potentially uninitialized pointer</pre>
free(label); <--Free of potentially uninitialized pointer</pre>
if (c == NULL)
return ENOENT;
```

```
return 0;
}
```

In the PoC provided, kcm will crash when calling krb5\_data\_free, which then calls malloc. The uninitialized data is also usable in the body of the loop, with heim\_data\_create even containing a malloc call using an uninitialized size value added with a constant, causing the potential for an integer overflow, leading to a buffer overflow.

This bug should be relatively easy to fix, by adding checks for the return values of krb5 ret stringz and krb5 ret data in the function shown above.

## **Impact**

kcm runs as root, and the mach port on which it receives these messages is accessible from many privilege levels, including for example the WebKit networking process. kcm itself also houses sensitive user data, specifically credentials used for authentication. For this reason I believe this issue qualifies as a sandbox escape, and would like it to be considered for eligibility under the "Unauthorized access to sensitive data" section of the Apple Security Bounty.