

1 Exploring environmental factors influencing vascular plant species richness in UK Woodlands.

2 Internal Supervisor Professor Colin Prentice, c.prentice@imperial.ac.uk

3 External Supervisor, Dr Simon Smart, CEH, ssma@ceh.ac.uk

4 Key words: species area, mixed models, biodiversity upscaling

5 The Biodiversity Strategy 2020 sets out the UK Government's plan to stop net loss of biodiversity by 2020. A  
6 variety of measures, such as establishing a resilient ecological network where wildlife can survive are  
7 described, (Department for Environment, Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, 2017). The report recognizes  
8 the need for evidenced based strategies and monitoring. Woodlands are specifically recognised as a rich  
9 habitat requiring "active management".

10 One measure we can use to help assess biodiversity is the richness of vascular plants; providing food and  
11 habitats for other organisms, their diversity is fundamental to the health of habitat. However, measuring  
12 their richness is not straightforward. Simply counting the number of different species present is not  
13 physically possible. Therefore, methods of estimating total species richness are important.

14 Relationships between species richness and area have been extensively studied using a variety of  
15 approaches such as extrapolations from occupancy rank curves, diversity or relative abundance. See Bill  
16 Kunin's review for a summary of current methods. (Kunin et al 2017). Theoretical and empirical models vary  
17 in their predictions and may only be applicable within specific habitats. For example, the canonical power  
18 law relationship may be good predictors in more homogenous natural environments, but not applicable in  
19 fragmented landscapes such as the UK

20 Some empirical methods, such as occupancy rank curve, OCR, (see Cang Hui in supplement to Kunin's  
21 review, above) have been shown to be very effective, even in the UK. But what they do not consider are the  
22 environmental factors that affect the richness. These factors are intrinsically involved in the occupancy rank  
23 curve, but, in OCR models, they are not specified explicitly. OCR estimations may be a useful way to  
24 estimate richness, we cannot infer from them what factors might contribute to higher or lower values of  
25 richness. We need to know these factors in order to protect or increase biodiversity, or suggest land  
26 management changes. Where authors have considered environmental factors, it has been suggested that  
27 habitat heterogeneity may play a bigger role in species richness than area, (Baldi et al, 2008, Shen et al  
28 2009).

29 In this project, we will explore datasets of species counts of vascular plants in 103 UK Woodlands. Counts  
30 consist of presence data for vascular plants from sixteen nested plots in each woodland, each containing  
31 five nests from 2m<sup>2</sup> to 200m<sup>2</sup>. The plots were randomly located in each wood. At the plot level, variables  
32 such as pH, diameter at breast height of trees have been measured. At the whole woodland level variables  
33 such as surrounding land use are recorded. The data has been collected in 1971 and 2001 as part of a long-  
34 term survey of UK woodlands, full details of the survey and methods can be found in English Nature  
35 Research Report 653, 2005.

36 Data exploration will initially consist of examining the relationships between habitat heterogeneity, area,  
37 and soil pH, as well as other factors using linear regressions, pairs plots and ANOVA.

38 The power law relationship first described by Arrhenius is still used to successfully fit models to species area  
39 relationships (Tjorve and Tjorve 2017). Tjorve reports that the power law does not describe the species area  
40 curve across all scales, changing from a convex semi-log curve at fine scales (less than 1km<sup>2</sup>) to a power law  
41 at intermediate scales. The plots in the Woodland Survey are all at fine scales, being less than 200m<sup>2</sup>. We  
42 will therefore look at the relationship between species and area alone for all the plots and see to what  
43 extent they reflect a power law or semi-log relationship.

44 A model for species richness as a function of the above terms will be derived using a mixed effect model  
45 and used to predict total species richness for each woodland. The relationship between species and area  
46 found in the preliminary examination of the woodland plots will be trialled as a term in this model.  
47 Predictions will be tested against verified, recent biological records from the NBN Gateway as well as vice  
48 county recorder's records. Comparison of derived species richness from our model will be compared with  
49 those derived using other successful techniques such as zeta diversity, (Hui and McGeoch, 2014). Total  
50 species richness for entire woodlands will then also be explored against landscape variables such as  
51 surrounding land use, woodland circumference and accessibility.

52 Department for Environment, Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (2017). Biodiversity 2020. [online] DEFRA.  
53 Available at:  
54 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/69446/pb13583-](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69446/pb13583-biodiversity-strategy-2020-111111.pdf)  
55 [biodiversity-strategy-2020-111111.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69446/pb13583-biodiversity-strategy-2020-111111.pdf) [Accessed 12 Nov. 2017].

56 Báldi, A. (2008). Habitat heterogeneity overrides the species-area relationship. *Journal of Biogeography*,  
57 35(4), pp.675-681.

58 Hui, C. and McGeoch, M. (2014). Zeta Diversity as a Concept and Metric That Unifies Incidence-Based  
59 Biodiversity Patterns. *The American Naturalist*, 184(5), pp.684-694.

- 60 Kunin, W. Harte, J, He, F., Hui, C., Jobe, R.T., Ostling, A., Polce, C., Sizling, A., Smith, A.B., Smith, K., Smart, S.,  
61 Storch, D., Tjorve, E., Ugland, K., Ulrich, W., Varma, V. (2017) Up-scaling biodiversity: estimating the Species-  
62 Area Relationship from point samples. Ecology. Not yet published
- 63 Shen, G., Yu, M., Hu, X., Mi, X., Ren, H., Sun, I. and Ma, K. (2009). Species-area relationships explained by  
64 the joint effects of dispersal limitation and habitat heterogeneity. Ecology, 90(11), pp.3033-3041.
- 65 English Nature Research Report 653 (2005). Long term ecological change in British woodland (1972 -2001).  
66 English Nature.
- 67 Tjorve, E., Tjorve, K.M.C. (2017) Species-Area Relationship. eLS John Wiley and Sons, Ltd: Chichester.