

Computational and Empirical Methods Assignment VI

Instructor: Prof. Gunnar Heins

Teaching Assistant: Pedro de Sousa Almeida

Student: C.H. (Chenhui Lu)

UFID: 76982846





```
% Assignment 6
% Empty the Workspace
clear
clc
                    % Clear the Screen
                   % compact format
format compact
% 1 Cournot - Linear Case (15 points)
%In a Cournot oligopoly, all rms produce and sell a homogeneous product. All rm's≰
%is sold in a single market, for which there is a speci ed market demand. Each rm≰
chooses its
%own quantity to maximize its own pro ts, but cannot directly infuence the quantitym{arkappa}
produced
%by its rivals. Here, we consider a Cournot oligopoly with three \, rms that isarksim c
characterized by
%the following inverse market demand function and individual rm cost function,arksim
respectively:
P(Q) = 450 - 0.125Q
%Ci(qi) = 50qi + 180
%When each \, rm maximizes its own pro t by selecting its own quantity, taking theirarksim
rivals'
%quantities as given, we obtain the following First Order Conditions:
%450 - 0.25q1 - 0.125q2 - 0.125q3 - 50 = 0
%450 - 0.125q1 - 0.25q2 - 0.125q3 - 50 = 0
%450 - 0.125q1 - 0.125q2 - 0.25q3 - 50 = 0
%% 1.1. Present the linear system of First Order Conditions in matrix form.
%need to rewrite the equitions as Aq = b
% - 0.25q1 - 0.125q2 - 0.125q3 = -400
% - 0.125q1 - 0.25q2 - 0.125q3 = -400
% - 0.125q1 - 0.125q2 - 0.25q3 = -400
%[-0.25, -0.125, -0.125; -0.125, -0.25, -0.125; -0.125, -0.125, -0.25] *
%[q1; q2; q3] = [-400; -400; -400]
% 1.2. Solve it in Matlab via Matrix Inversion.
A = [-0.25, -0.125, -0.125; -0.125, -0.25, -0.125; -0.125, -0.125, -0.25]; % set up A∠
metrix
b = [-400; -400; -400];
                                                                            % set up b∠
metrix
q = inv(A)*b;
                                                                                 % q = A^∠
(-1)b
q
%q =
  800
%
  800
pprox 1.3. Can you apply the iterative method for this problem that we covered in class?
%Why or why not? If your answer is yes, nd the three quantities via this approach.
%Yes, with Gauss - Seidel Method.
```

%Gauss—Jacobi Method (could not solve the problem since couldnot update with new≰

```
paramiters, as shown below)
q1 = 300;
                           % Initial guess
q2 = 300;
q3 = 300;
for i = 1:20
q1_new = (-0.125*q2 - 0.125*q3 + 400)/0.25;
                                                  % Update q1
                                               % Update q1
% Update q2
% Update q3
q2_new = (-0.125*q1 - 0.125*q3 + 400)/0.25;
q3_new = (-0.125*q1 - 0.125*q2 + 400)/0.25;
q1 = q1_new;
q2 = q2_new;
q3 = q3_new;
disp([q1, q2, q3]);
end
% 300; 300; 300
%Gauss-Jacobi Method, can solve the problem.
                     % Initial Guess
q1 = 300;
q2 = 300;
q3 = 300;
for i = 1:20
    q1 = (-0.125 * q2 - 0.125 * q3 + 400) / 0.25;
                                                     % Update
    q2 = (-0.125 * q1 - 0.125 * q3 + 400) / 0.25;
    q3 = (-0.125 * q1 - 0.125 * q2 + 400) / 0.25;
    disp([q1, q2, q3]);
                                                     % show each result
end
%800; 800; 800
% 2 Cournot - Nonlinear Case (20 points)
%In class we have covered the Cournot example with 2 \, rms (N = 2) and c = 2.
% In this question, you'll compute numerically how the number of firms N
% affects equilibrium prices and quantities. Speci cally, assume that there
% are N rms, each producing a quantity qi. Total output in the economy is
% hence given by Q = q1 + q2 + ... + qN. Suppose the inverse demand for the
% good is given by
% P(Q) = 1 - Q
% and, as in class, each firm's total cost equals
% TC = c*(2/3)*q^{(3/2)}
% As before, assume that parameter c = 2.
% 2.1. Find the equilibrium quantity and price in the case of a monopolist
% instead, i.e. in the case of 1 rm. Use fsolve().
% Profit = Total Revenue-Total Cost
% Profit = Price * Total Profuction -Total Cost
% Profit = (1-q)*q - 2*(2/3)*q^{(3/2)}
% first set up the function: HW6_Cournot
%calculation:
q0 = 1;
                                            % Pick an initial guess
Cournot_handle = @(q) HW6_Cournot(q);
                                            % Create the function handle = cricial ✓
```

```
variable function(variable)
                                           % Use fsolve (hundle, initial guess) to solve ∠
q opt = fsolve(Cournot handle, q0)
the system
% Optimal production: Q_opt = 0.1340
p = 1 - q_opt
% eq price: p = 0.8660
pprox 2.2. Find the equilibrium quantities and price in the case of 3 firms.
% P(Q) = 1 - q1 - q2 - q3
% TC = c*(2/3)*q^{(3/2)}
% Profit1 = (1 - q1 - q2 - q3)*q1 - 2*(2/3)*q1^(3/2)
% Profit2 = (1 - q1 - q2 - q3)*q2 - 2*(2/3)*q2^(3/2)
% Profit3 = (1 - q1 - q2 - q3)*q3 - 2*(2/3)*q3^(3/2)
% first set up the function: HW6_Cournot2
% calculation
q0 = [1;1;1];
                                                 % Pick an initial guess
Cournot_handle = @(q) HW6_Cournot2(q);
                                           % Create the function handle = cricial ∠
variable function(variable)
q_opt = fsolve(Cournot_handle, q0)
                                         % Use fsolve (hundle, initial guess) to solve∠
the system
%q_opt = [0.0955, 0.0955, 0.0955]
p = (1 - q_opt(1) - q_opt(2) - q_opt(3))
% p = 0.7135
%% 2.3. Based on your results, what do you think will be the equilibrium price when N ->ዾ
infinity
%when N -> infinity, the market will become perfectly competitive market.
%Then the market price will equal the marginal cost : P = 1 - Q = MC = 2 st
%q^(1/2)
% 3 Finding the Equilibrium (30 points)
%Suppose you have an economy with a demand for cars of
% x = 2 - p
% supply
% x = -1 + exp(p)
%such that in equilibrium it must hold that
%2 - p = -1 + exp(p)
% <==> 3 - p - exp(p) = 0
% 3.1. Given that equilibrium quantities cannot be negative,
% in what range will the equilibrium price need to be?
Since x >= 0
% 1. 2 - p >= 0, 2. -1 + exp(p) >= 0
% 0 <= p <= 2
% 3.2. Use this range and do a grid search to find the equilibrium price.
% Use increments of 0.01.
p = meshgrid(0:0.01:2);
                                                 % build up the grid
for i = 1:size(p,1)
                                                 % double loops
```

```
for j = 1:size(p,2)
        diff(i,j) = 3 - p(i,j) - exp(p(i,j));
                                                  % function
        obj(i,j) = diff(i,j)^2;
                                                  % objective is the diff^2
    end
end
c_min = min(min(obj));
                                                  % find the minimum of obj, the ideal ✓
minimum should colse to 0
[i_max, j_max] = find(obj == c_min, 1);
solution = p(i_max,j_max)
solution = 0.7900
pprox 3.3. Now instead, use Newton's method as covered in class to find the equilibriumarksim
price.
% Do not use fsolve(). Do you find the same price as you did with the grid search?
%3 - p - exp(p) = 0
p = 6
                             % initial guess
for i = 1:20
                             % iterate 20 times
                             % Numerator is the original geuition
    num = 3 - p - exp(p);
    denom = -1 - exp(p);
                             % Denomerator is the FOC
    p = - num/denom + p;
                             % The iteration starts
    р
end
% p = 0.7921 the solution is different to what we got with grid search,
% This discrepancy is likely due to us only using a second—order Taylor series,
% which results in a loss of some accuracy.
% 3.4. Now suppose you have two cars with demand functions
% 1x1 + 0x2 + 0.2p1 - 0.3p2 = 2
% 0x1 + 1x2 - 0.3p1 + 0.2p2 = 2
% and supply
% 1x1 + 0x2 - 1p1 + 0p2 = 1
% 0x1 + 1x2 + 0p1 - 1p2 = 1
%Set supply and demand equal for each type of car and solve for p1 and p2
% using matrix inversion.
%mertix:
A = [1,0,0.2,-0.3;0,1,-0.3,0.2;1,0,-1,0;0,1,0,-1];
b = [2;2;1;1];
x = A \setminus b;
p1 = x(3)
p2 = x(4)
%solution: p1 = 1.1111, p2 = 1.1111.
% 4 Pro t Maximization (35 points)
%Now, consider the problem of a firm that produces a good with production function
% F(K: L) = 3K^0.2 * L^0.4.
% using two inputs: Capital at price 2 and labor at price 1. Formally, the firm
% problem is to maximize profits :
% \max(K,L) = 3K^0.2 * L^0.4 - 2K - L
%% 4.1. Solve this problem numerically using a grid search,
```

```
% i.e. find the combination of K and L that maximizes prfits pai.
% Assume that K\sim[0,1] and L\sim[0,1] and use increments of 0.01.
% FOC on K: 0.6K^{(-0.8)}*L^{0.4} - 2 = 0
% FOC on L: 1.2K^0.2*L^(-0.6) - 1 = 0
[K, L] = meshgrid(0:0.01:1,0:0.01:1);
                                                 % set the grid
for i = 1:size(K,1)
                                                   % set double loops
    for j = 1:size(K,2)
        pai1(i,j) = 0.6*K(i,j)^{-0.8}*L(i,j)^{0.4} - 2; % profit function
        pai2(i,j) = 1.2*K(i,j)^0.2*L(i,j)^(-0.6) - 1;
        obj(i,j) = pai1(i,j)^2 + pai2(i,j)^2;
    end
end
                                                   % find the maxmium of profit
c_min = min(min(obj));
[i min, j min] = find(obj == c min);
solution = [K(i_min,j_min);L(i_min,j_min)]
%solution =
              0.2000
                         0.8000
pprox 4.2. Instead of using a grid search you can also derive the first–order conditions andoldsymbol{arepsilon}
solve those:
% 0.6K^{(-0.8)}*L^{0.4} = 2
% 1.2K^0.2*L^(-0.6) = 1
% Based on the discussions in class, what would be the most efficient way
% to solve these FOCs for K and L? Why?
%% 4.2.1. if we are asked to solve the maximization:
% Solution: Since we are doing maximization. Trust-Region Algorithm, which implies ✓
Newton's Method. Newton's Method has very good
st convergence properties and comparative fast. In addition, computing Gradient and oldsymbol{arepsilon}
Hessian manually
st and supplying it to the optimizer can substantially enhance the optimization.
% 4.2.2. if we are asked to only solve this 2 equitions:
% soultion: The Newton' Method is the best, since the equitions are not
% linear.
pprox 4.3. Solve the system using the method you proposed in question (2).
%% 4.3.1. if we are asked to solve the maximization:
I0 = [1;1];
                                               % Pick an initial guess
objective_handle = @(I) \max 4_2(I);
                                               % Create the function handle
options = optimoptions('fminunc','Algorithm','trust-region', 'GradObj', 'on', 'Hessian', ∠
'on');
fminunc(objective_handle, I0, options)
% ans = 0.1972; 0.7887
% 4.3.2. if we are asked to only solve this 2 equitions:
% 0.6K^{(-0.8)}*L^{0.4} = 2
% 1.2K^0.2*L^(-0.6) = 1
% Pick an initial guess
K = 0.2;
L = 0.8;
I = [K; L];
for i = 1:10
```

```
F = [0.6*K^{(-0.8)}*L^{0.4-2}; 1.2*K^{0.2}*L^{(-0.6)-1}];
                                                                      % Function
DF = [-0.48 * K^{(-1.8)} * L^{(0.4)}, 0.24 * K^{(-0.8)} * L^{(-0.6)}; 0.24 * K^{(-0.8)} * L^{(-0.6)}, \checkmark
-0.72 * K^{(0.2)} * L^{(-1.6)};
                                          % Gradient
I = - inv(DF) * F + I;
                                                                                      % Newton∠
Step
K = I(1);
L = I(2);
disp(I');
% solution: K = 0.1972; L = 0.7887.
%% 4.4. Now instead suppose that the firm problem is
% \max(K;L) \ pai(K,L) = 3K^{0.2}*L^{0.4}-e^{K+L}
%FOC on K = 0.2*3*K^(-0.8)*L^(0.4) - e^(K+L)
%FOC on L = 0.4*3*K^{(0.2)}*L^{(-0.6)} - e^{(K+L)}
I0 = [1;1];
                                          % Pick an initial guess
\max 4 \text{ 4 handle} = \bigcirc(I) \max 4 \text{ 4}(I);
                                          % Create the function handle
solution_opt = fsolve(max4_4_handle, I0)
                                                  % Use fsolve to solve the system
%solution opt = 0.1636; 0.3271
\% 5. Now instead, linearize the first-order conditions you obtained in (4)
% around K0 = 1 and L0 = 2 and solve this linearized system using matrix
pprox inversion. Is the solution close to the one you found in (4)?
%No.
% unliner FOC:
%FOC on K = 0.2*3*K^{(-0.8)}*L^{(0.4)} - e^{(K+L)}
%FOC on L = 0.4*3*K^{(0.2)*L^{(-0.6)}} - e^{(K+L)}
%Tylar Extension:
%FOC1 taylor = (0.6 * 2^(0.4) - exp(3)) + (-0.48 * 2^(0.4) - exp(3)) * (K − 1) + (0.24 *✔
2^{(-0.6)} - \exp(3) \times (L - 2);
%F0C2 taylor = (1.2 * 2^(-0.6) - exp(3)) + (0.24 * 2^(-0.6) - exp(3)) * (K - 1) + (-0.72 🗸
* 2^{(-1.6)} - \exp(3)) * (L - 2);
%rearrange:
\% (-0.48 * 2^(0.4) - exp(3)) * K + (0.24 * 2^(-0.6) - exp(3)) * L = -(1.08 * 2^(0.4) - \checkmark
0.48 * 2^{(-0.6)} + 2 * exp(3)
% (0.24 * 2^{(-0.6)} - \exp(3)) * K + (-0.72 * 2^{(-1.6)} - \exp(3)) * L = -(0.96 * 2^{(-0.6)} + \checkmark
1.44 * 2^{(-1.6)} + 2 * exp(3)
% rearrange to be metrix:
A = [-0.48 * 2^{(0.4)} - \exp(3), 0.24 * 2^{(-0.6)} - \exp(3); 0.24 * 2^{(-0.6)} - \exp(3), -0.72 \checkmark
* 2^{(-1.6)} - \exp(3);
b = [-(1.08 * 2^{\circ}(0.4) - 0.48 * 2^{\circ}(-0.6) + 2 * exp(3)); -(0.96 * 2^{\circ}(-0.6) + 1.44 * 2^{\circ} \checkmark
(-1.6) + 2 * exp(3));
X = A \setminus b;
K = X(1)
L = X(2)
% solution: K = 0.6815; L = 1.3630. No, they are not close.
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} & function \ pai\_deri = HW6\_Cournot(q) \\ pai\_deri = 1 - 2 * q - 2*q^(1/2) & \% \ calculate \ the \ derivitive \ of \ pai \\ end & \end{array}
```

```
function pai_deri = HW6_Cournot2(q)
q1 = q(1)
q2 = q(2)
q3 = q(3)

pai1_deri = 1 - 2 * q1 - q2 - q3 - 2 * q1^(1/2); % calculate the derivitive of pai1
pai2_deri = 1 - q1 - 2 * q2 - q3 - 2 * q1^(1/2); % calculate the derivitive of pai2
pai3_deri = 1 - q1 - q2 - 2 * q3 - 2 * q1^(1/2); % calculate the derivitive of pai3

pai_deri = [pai1_deri; pai2_deri; pai3_deri]; % return
end
```

```
function [f,g,H] = \max_{1 \le i \le m} 4_2(I)
K = I(1);
L = I(2);
f = 3 * K^{(0.2)} * L^{(0.4)} - 2*K - L;
f = -f;
                                                     % since max
% Supply the Gradient
if nargout > 1
                                                     % nargout: Number of output arguments
    g = [0.6*K^{(-0.8)}*L^{(0.4)}-2;
         1.2*K^{(0.2)}*L^{(-0.6)}-1;
    g = -g;
                                                     % since max
end
% Supply the Hessian
%-----
if nargout > 2
H = [-0.8*0.6*K^{(-1.8)}*L^{0.4}, 0.6*0.4*K^{(-0.8)}*L^{(-0.6)}; 0.2*1.2*K^{(-0.8)}*L^{(-0.6)}, -1.2 \checkmark
*0.6*K^0.2*L^(-1.6);
H = -H;
                                                     % since max
end
end
```