

**Assignment 3: ARIMA model atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>**

In this assignment you will use ARIMA models for the development of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> over the past 60 years. Monthly observations from Mauna Loa, Hawaii, are provided by NOAA and the goal is to predict the concentration in the coming years.

The data (same as in assignment 1) is provided in `A3_co2.txt` and includes four columns: year, month within year, time as decimal year and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in ppm. The data is provided by NOAA/ESRL: [www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/](http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/)

You should not use the observations for years 2018 and 2019 (Last 20 observations) for estimations/training - only for comparisons/testing.

**Question 3.1: Plotting**

Plot the data as a function of time. Indicate the test data in the plot. (It is OK to reuse from assignment 1)

**Question 3.2: Correlation structure**

Plot the autocorrelation function and the partial autocorrelation function of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

If relevant you should also plot for transformations of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

Comment on the plot(s) including what can you say about a potential model structure at this stage?

**Question 3.3: Procedure for identifying ARIMA model**

State the approach/algorithm that you will use for identifying the best model. Include your stopping criteria.

**Question 3.4: ARIMA model**

Finding a suitable ARIMA model for the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

Try to find a suitable ARIMA model for the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Develop the model using graphical and numerical indicators (Including tests) as you described in Q3.3.

In case the residuals of your final are not satisfactory, describe what you have tried and argue why it is not possible to find a better model.

You don't have to present a full residual analysis for every single model that you have looked at. However, you should present some intermittent models in order to argue for the choices that you have made.

**Question 3.5: Predictions**

Use the model you have developed for predicting the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration 4 years ahead and include prediction limits. Compare with the data that was left out.

Make a table presenting the predictions 1, 2, 6, 12 and 20 months ahead.

Comment on your results.

**Question 3.6: Attaining 460 ppm**

It has often been stated that the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration should be attained below 460 ppm. E.g. "Politically Feasible Emissions Targets to Attain 460 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations" (<https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/rer022>)

Use your model to predict when the concentration will exceed 460 ppm if the process continues according to your model.